

Subject:	Intakes River Sediment Analysis – North Delta Intakes (Final Draft)
Project feature:	Intakes
Prepared for:	California Department of Water Resources (DWR) / Delta Conveyance Office (DCO)
Prepared by:	Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority (DCA)
Copies to:	File
Date/Version:	December 23, 2021
Reference no.:	EDM_IN_CE_TMO_Intakes-River-Sediment-Analysis_000937_V03_FD_20211223

1. Purpose

The purpose of this technical memorandum (TM) is to document the data sources and methodology used to analyze the suspended sediment in the Sacramento River at each of the three potential Delta Conveyance System (Project) intake locations. This TM also presents the suspended sediment settling velocity analysis for the particles at the intake locations using various state-of-the-art approaches. Further, the data and methodologies used to analyze project-specific sediment quantities and off-site disposal estimates are discussed in this TM.

1.1 Organization

This TM is organized in the following main sections:

- Purpose
- Background
- Methodology
- Analysis and Evaluation
- Particle Settling Velocity Analysis
- Project-Specific Sediment Quantity Analysis
- Summary of Results
- Conclusions
- References
- Document History and Quality Assurance

2. Background

The Project currently has three potential intake sites identified by the DCA, as discussed in the *Intakes Site Identification and Evaluation* TM (DCA, 2021a). Currently, a combination of one, two, or three intakes, sized for diversion rates of 1,500, and 3,000 cubic feet per second (cfs), are being considered to accomplish the total Project diversion flow rates.

The intakes would include sedimentation basins to remove suspended sediment from the diverted river water. Each intake sedimentation basin would be sized, at a minimum, to capture 100 percent of the sand sized suspended sediment which includes a size greater than or equal to 0.0625 millimeter (mm) prior to the diverted flows entering the outlet channel into the tunnel conveyance system. Depending on a sediment particle's position in the water column as it begins the sedimentation process, smaller particles

would also be captured. Captured sediment would be dredged annually, dewatered, dried, and disposed of at a permitted offsite disposal location. In an effort to appropriately size the intake facilities and estimate the number of annual truck trips required to transport the sediment, a suspended solids particle analysis was performed using data from two stream gauges located in proximity to the potential intakes on the Sacramento River. Generally, metal fish screens are used to prevent fish from entering the intake facility. The screens have 1.75-mm openings that allow water and sediment to enter the facility.

It is important to understand the fate and transport of particles within the intake structures. The particle fall velocity, along with the potential deposition of suspended sediments, are important design parameters because they determine the sedimentation management facilities size and therefore the overall site footprint.

The settling rate of suspended sediment it is related to the particle size. Capture efficiency of the sediment particles is related to the sedimentation basin length. In this study, the potential settling rate of particles is estimated based on five different methods, as presented in Section 5.

2.1 Data Collection and Information Sources

Data used for the Sacramento River suspended solids analysis were collected from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) *National Water Information System* (NWIS) (2020). USGS maintains gauges along the Sacramento River and publishes the available data online for each gauge. For this analysis, two gauges were used: the Sacramento stream gauge and the Freeport stream gauge.

Data collected from March 1957 to September 1979 were based on laboratory sampling and testing results from samples collected from USGS stream gauge 11447500, Sacramento. This gauge was located at Latitude 38 degrees (°) 35 minutes (′) 12 seconds (″), Longitude 121°30′16″ using North American Datum of 1927 (NAD27) datum. Locally, this gauge was located along the river near I Street in downtown Sacramento. Available data for this location include the following particle size distribution analysis for suspended solids:

- By sieve analysis for larger-diameter particles (greater than or equal to 0.0625 mm)
- By fall diameter (deionized [DI] water) for smaller particles (less than 0.0625 mm)

Data collected from September 1979 to May 2019 were based on laboratory sampling and testing results from samples collected from USGS stream gauge 11447650, Freeport. This gauge was located at Latitude 38°27'22", Longitude 121°30'01" using NAD27 datum. Locally, this gauge is located along the river near the Freeport Bridge over the river south of downtown Sacramento. Available data for this location include the following particle size distribution analysis for suspended solids:

- By sieve analysis for larger-diameter particles (greater than or equal to 0.0625 mm)
- By fall diameter (DI water) for smaller particles (less than 0.0625 mm)

Data collected for the Sacramento stream gauge were also available through the Freeport stream gauge, but redundant data were allocated to the corresponding stream gauge reference point.

Estimates of total sediment load and capture quantities at the project intakes were developed using simulated data for river flow and diversion rates based on CalSim 3 analyses conducted by DCO and provided to DCA on March 25, 2021. The CalSim 3 results included the average monthly Sacramento River flow (in cfs) at the Freeport gauge and the average monthly total project diversion rate for each month of the 94-year CalSim simulation period. Data were provided for each project design capacity and

conveyance alternative being considered in the project Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The values provided does not include the effects of climate change.

3. Methodology

The following methodology was used to evaluate the suspended sediment concentration and particle size distributions within the Sacramento River:

- Retrieved suspended sediment and particle size distribution data from two USGS stream gauges near the proposed intake sites.
- Separated and organized data by sieve size, fall diameter, and river concentration.
- Confirmed information complies with the number of samples required for determining a statistical value for a range of data points.
- Applied statistical equations for the 98th percentile, 95th percentile, median, and mean for the applicable data available.

The following methodology was used to determine the sediment characteristics:

- Analyzed suspended sediment data from previous reports (CH2M, 2003) as a basis for settling velocity estimates.
- Reviewed the American Society of Civil Engineers' (ASCE's) Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 110 – Sedimentation Engineering: Processes, Measurements, Modelling and Practice (2007) and the ASCE's Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 54, Sedimentation Engineering (2006) about the settling velocity of suspended particles.
- Compared several settling velocity equations (e.g., from G.G. Stokes (1851) and Chakraborti et al. [2007]) and modified the Stokes law equation and two equations derived from the empirical relationships, as described in ASCE's Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 110 Sedimentation Engineering: Processes, Measurements, Modeling and Practice (Manual 110) (2007).
- Estimated particle settling velocities for various sizes, densities, and porosities.

The information developed according to the methodology described above would be applied to estimates of water diversions by month at each project intake. That analysis results in estimates of sediment loading and capture by particle size at each intake. Sediment loading and capture methodology included the following:

- Applied the median river concentration to the river flow to determine the total river sediment load for each month of the CalSim 3 simulation period.
- Conducted statistical analysis of CalSim 3 results to determine the minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years based on water year type.
- Applied the median river concentration to the water diversions by month, distributed to each project intake, for the minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years based on water year type.
- Determined the total sediment diverted and the amount captured at each intake.
- Determined the work duration and the truck trips required to dredge, dry, haul, and dispose of the captured sediment for minimum, median, and maximum diversion years based on water year type.

4. Analysis and Evaluation

4.1 Particle Size Distribution

For each stream gauge location, the particle size distribution data were downloaded from the USGS NWIS (2020) and combined into a single Microsoft Excel data table. Valid data were separated by size and month and then sorted in ascending order. While data are available for particles up to 2 mm, particles larger than 1.75 mm were not assessed, because fish screen openings are generally no larger than 1.75 mm. Therefore, it is assumed for this analysis that no particle equal to or larger than 1.75 mm in diameter would enter the system.

Once data were organized and analyzed, the total available particle size distribution for each month ranged from 0–35 samples per month. Figure 1 shows the test values available for each particle size range.



Figure 1. Particle Size Distribution Test Quantities

Based on an article written for the journal *Biometrika* by William Gosset under the pseudonym Student (1908), a minimum of 30 samples appears to be required to develop a valid statistical conclusion for the value of a sample series. Since many months do not have 30 samples, a by-month particle distribution analysis could not be used, so each value for a particular particle size range was based on the total collected data for that range. Table 1 summarizes the values used for each statistical method and particle size.

Particle Size (mm)	98 th Percentile for % Retained	95 th Percentile for % Retained	Median for % Retained	Mean for % Retained		
0.002	11	11	8	9		
0.004	8	10	9	9		
0.008	12	12	9	7		

 Table 1. Particle Size Distribution Percent Retained

Particle Size (mm)	98 th Percentile for % Retained	95 th Percentile Median for % for % Retained Retained		Mean for % Retained	
0.016	34	10	9	9	
0.031	76	12	8	10	
0.0625	2	3	3 12		
0.125	0	0	7	9	
0.25	0	0	3	5	
0.5	0	0	0 0		
1	0	0	0	0	

Table 1. Particle Size Distribution Percent Retained

Notes:

% = percent

4.2 Suspended Sediment Analysis

For the analysis of the suspended sediment concentration in the Sacramento River, a similar approach was used as for the particle size distribution. After the available data were collected from USGS (2020), the data were sorted by month and placed in ascending order for that month. In terms of distribution, year over year, August and November had the lowest number of samples reported (156 and 158 samples, respectively). May and December had the highest number of samples reported (315 and 296, respectively).

Figure 2 shows the number of samples collected by USGC for each month from February 1, 1973, to May 9, 2019.



Figure 2. Monthly Suspended Sediment Concentration Sample Quantities

For each month, statistical values were assessed for 98th percentile, 95th percentile, median, and mean values (Figure 3). Upon comparison with individual values for Sacramento River concentrations, it was determined that the highest and average sediment loading values for each month was most closely represented by the 95th percentile and mean statistical values, respectively. However, using this approach assumes that the highest or average loading values would occur consistently in each month for a given year. This scenario is highly unlikely. However, using a less conservative percentile could reduce the sediment loading rate to a level that could lead to an under-designed facility.



Figure 3. Monthly Suspended Sediment Concentration Values

4.3 Suspended Sediment Loading Recommendations

As previously discussed, each sedimentation basin would be sized to capture 100 percent of sediment particles greater than or equal to 0.0625 mm in diameter, but a percentage of smaller particles would also be captured. The percentage captured was calculated based on the settling velocity of each particle size (per Method 4 as discussed in Section 5), the flow velocity through each basin, and the length of the sedimentation basin. The flow velocity and the length of the sedimentation basin would vary based upon the intake layout for each site and the assumed operational flow diversion patterns. Project-specific suspended sediment loading is discussed further in Section 6.

5. Particle Settling Velocity Analysis

The settling of suspended particulate material depends on a variety of environmental factors, including organic or inorganic particle origin, shear flow (mixing), and other factors (Gregory, 1989). These factors affect aggregation (size and shape) kinetics. Due to variable porosity, size and shape, and uncertainty in the estimation of floc density, it is difficult to predict the settling rate of aggregates, which are often fragile, porous, wispy, and nonspherical (Chakraborti and Kaur, 2014; Guérin et al., 2019).

The determination of particle settling rate is a key factor for predicting the path of transport for suspended particles in a reservoir or sedimentation basin. Settling velocities often are calculated on the basis of Stokes law (Thomann and Mueller, 1987), which assumes particles to be solid spheres. Stokes law has

many limitations. Therefore, there are many expressions that have been derived to estimate particle settling behavior based on shape, size, density, and porosity. Most of these approaches are modifications of Stokes law.

The suspended sediment data presented by CH2M (2003) were used in this analysis to estimate the settling velocity of the suspended sediment for the Project. These data were then used to estimate the settling characteristics of the particles at each of the intake sedimentation basins.

For this analysis, the settling velocity of particles (Thomann and Mueller, 1987) was estimated using the following approaches:

- Method 1: Stokes law settling velocity estimate
- Method 2: Chakraborti et al. (2007) settling velocity estimate
- Method 3: Particle shape factor settling velocity estimate Stokes law (Thomann and Mueller, 1987) and Manual 110, page 40–41 (ASCE, 2012)
- Method 4: Manual 110 settling velocity estimate, page 41, Equation 2-46g (ASCE, 2012)
- Method 5: Manual 110 settling velocity estimate with shape factor, page 41, Equation 2-46h (ASCE, 2012)

5.1 Particle Data

The sediment data used in the settling velocity analysis were collected from the Suspended Sediment Loading and Transport in the Freeport Regional Water Project TM (CH2M, 2003). The suspended sediment data from the Sacramento River at or near Freeport were collected from largely from the NWIS [USGS, 2020]).

Median suspended sediment values were used rather than mean for this analysis, because the median value is based upon the "middle" value and the "mean" is based upon the "average" value (sum of all values divided by the number of values). Due to the calculation method for the "mean" value, a few very large or very small numbers can make the value very different than the "median" value. The median value would be more representative of a large number of sediment samples.

The suspended sediment concentration in the Sacramento River is three to four times higher in the winter than in the summer (CH2M, 2003). The median suspended sediment particle concentration from the 1973–2001 period measured by the USGS gauge 11447650 at Freeport ranged between 19 and 114 milligrams per liter (mg/L) from November through April and between 17 and 35 mg/L from May through October. Sieve analysis particle sizes were not available for the USGS Freeport station but were available for the USGS Sacramento station approximately 15 miles upriver from the Project, just downstream of the American River confluence and in the relative same Sacramento River reach as the intake locations for this analysis (CH2M, 2003).

Figure 4 shows particle size distribution of the noncolloidal fraction of particles suspended in water. The noncolloidal particles (greater than 0.002 mm or 0.00008 inch) represent clay, fine sand, and medium sand. Figure 5 shows the percent fines of various particle sizes. About 40 percent of particles are less than 0.0625 mm, 0.0025 inch). For practical purposes, this fine fraction of particles is considered as near-colloidal, and the settling of those fractions within the intake basin would be insignificant. In essence, those particles would be in suspension within the intake basin area. Some of those small fractions could collide as a result of mixing caused by flow conditions and form larger flocs that could settle by gravity.

Larger sized particles (greater than 0.0625 mm or 0.0025 inch) are the size of interest in terms of potential sedimentation settling prior to reaching the back of the sedimentation basin. Therefore, the focus of this study was to characterize settling behavior of this larger fraction of particles, which is 60 percent of the suspended sediment particle load (Figure 5).



Figure 4. Particle Size Distribution of Noncolloidal Sediment Particles Greater Than 0.00008 inch



Figure 5. Percent Fines of Noncolloidal Sediment Particles Greater Than 0.00008 inch

Intakes River Sediment Analysis – North Delta Intakes (Final Draft)

5.2 Settling Velocity Analysis Using Various Approaches

This section discusses the settling velocity of suspended sediment particles, using various methods reported in the literature. Five methods developed from various concepts of particle shape and size were used to estimate potential settling of suspended particles. The estimate methods evolved from the consideration of particles as spherical or irregular shapes that are expressed by some form of shape factor. Because neither shape nor density was measured in this study, various approaches were used to estimate the spectrum of settling velocities.

5.2.1 Method 1: Settling Velocity Estimate Using Stokes Law

The settling velocities for suspended sediment particles of various sizes were estimated using Stokes law. The velocities determined from Stokes law provide the theoretical settling velocity of a spherical particle in motionless fluid. This is only an approximation of the real-world settling characteristics of sediment particles. Stokes law has been widely used to estimate settling velocities for many years, although this rule is developed for conditions with (1) a low particle Reynolds number (ASCE, 2012), (2) solid spherical particles, and (3) under laminar flow conditions. These conditions rarely exist in natural settings. In spite of these limitations, historically, the theoretical settling velocities have been used extensively to estimate particle settling velocity (Graf, 1971; Thomann and Mueller, 1987).

Stokes law is derived from the following equation (Thomann and Mueller, 1987):

$$V_p = \frac{g(\rho_w - \rho_p)d_p^2}{18\mu_w} \tag{1}$$

Where:

- V_p = particle settling velocity, meters per second (m/s)
- d_p = particle diameter, meter (m)
- g = gravity constant = 9.81 meters per square second (m/s²)
- ρ_w = density of water = 998 kilograms per cubic meter (kg/m³) (62.3 lb/ft³)
- ρ_p = particle density = 1,600 kg/m³ (99.9 lb/ft³)
- μ_w = viscosity of water = 1.408 x 10⁻³ kilograms per meter per second (kg/m/s) (3.4 lb/ft-h) at 7.5 degrees Celsius (°C)

Figure 6 shows the settling velocities derived from Stokes law for various particle sizes greater than 0.00008 inch using these parameters. Applicable values are also listed in Table 11 (Section 7).



Figure 6. Method 1 Noncolloidal Sediment Particle Settling Velocity

Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00008 inch. Velocity was determine using Stokes law using a particle density of 1,600 kg/m³. (99.9 lb/ft³)

With a setting velocity of only approximately 0.0001 centimeter per second (cm/s) (0.5 centimeter per hour [cm/h], or 0.2 inch per hour [in/h]) for particles smaller than 0.00008 inch and a very low shear velocity requirement for resuspension, these particles have been considered nonsettling.

For comparison, the Stokes law equation was used to determine the settling velocity using a different set of values for the particle density and viscosity than the values shown underneath Equation 1. The complete set of parameters used for the Stokes law settling estimate includes the following:

- V_p = particle settling velocity, m/s
- d_p = particle diameter, m
- g = gravity constant = 9.81 m/s^2 (32.2 ft/s^2)
- ρ_w = density of water = 998 kg/m³ (62.3 lb/ft³)
- ρ_p = particle density = 2,650 kg/m³ (165.4 lb/ft³)
- μ_w = viscosity of water = 0.0014084 kg/m/s (3.41 lb/ft-h) at 7.5°C

Figure 7 shows the settling velocity estimated for various particle sizes for noncolloidal particles with higher particle density and lower temperature than the previous analysis. The settling velocity values are higher than the values presented on Figure 6. For example, the settling estimate for the largest particle (0.015 inch) presented on Figure 7 is double the value presented on Figure 6. For comparison, both estimates are plotted on Figure 8. The separation of the values increases as particle size increases, and the difference in particle density is more important than the smaller size range.





Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00002 inch. Velocity was determined using Stokes law using a particle density of 165.4 lb/ft3.





Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00002 inch. Velocity was determine using Stokes law and a variable particle density, viscosity of water, and temperature.

5.2.2 Method 2: Settling Velocity Estimate by Chakraborti et al. (2007)

Stokes law is commonly used to determine particle settling velocity estimates; however, there are several limitations:

- There is a relatively small range of particle Reynolds numbers (less than 0.1) that the laminar drag assumption is valid for.
- Stokes law assumes that particles are spherical.
- The Stokes law influence of the floc's structure on the floc's density and permeability.

Significant prior research has been conducted on these topics. For instance, Graf (1971) reported the experimental work to extend the drag relationship for spheres to Reynolds numbers up to 800. McNown and Malaika (1950) conducted experimental work investigating the effects of shape and orientation of slowly settling particles and developed corrections to the drag relationship for these effects. Fractal geometry concepts for floc characterization have also been addressed by research (Chakraborti et al., 2003). Kranenburg (1994) described and derived relationships for the influence of fractal geometry on floc density and strength. Winterwerp (1998, 2002) extended fractal concepts to settling velocity, which includes the Schiller-Naumann drag expression, a shape factor, and the fractal geometry effects on particle density. Settling velocity estimates based on particle structure are a realistic assumption because particles as found in nature are often nonspherical, irregular-shaped, and porous. The Sacramento River's suspended sediments potentially have each of these qualities, so the particles cannot be expressed as spherical particles with constant density. Also, the flow and wind-induced mixing in a shallow waterbody like a river may prevent particles from floc formation as large flocs normally found in lake water. Suspended sediments in the Sacramento River are more like fine and medium sand (Figure 4) with relatively larger specific gravities than flocs of same mass observed in large lakes (Chakraborti et al 2007; Chakraborti and Kaur 2014).

Particle density is not constant; rather, it changes with particle shape and size. Chakraborti et al. (2007) developed a relationship between density and porosity as a function of particle size, as shown in the following equation:

$$\rho = 1.23^{*} l^{0.03}$$
 and $\phi = 0.87^{*} l^{0.02}$ (2)

Where:

l = the particle size $\rho =$ particle density $\phi =$ porosity

Figure 9 shows the density and porosity of noncolloidal particles, using the Chakraborti et al. (2007) method.



Source: Chakraborti et al., 2007

Figure 9. Method 2 Noncolloidal Sediment Particle Density and Porosity

Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00008 inch.

The revised density and porosity of particles illustrated on Figure 6 were substituted for density and porosity in the Stokes law settling velocity equation. The resulting estimated settling velocity distribution for various particle sizes is presented on Figure 10. Applicable values are also listed in Table 11. In comparison with Method 1, the density of particles in this estimate varied with the particle size per Equation 2, and the settling velocity for particles less than 0.0025 inch is very low.



Source: Chakraborti et al., 2007

Figure 10. Method 2 Noncolloidal Sediment Particle Settling Velocity Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00008 inch.

5.2.3 Method 3: Settling Velocity Estimate Using Particle Shape Factor

Under the Method 3 approach, the Stokes law settling velocity was modified with a Corey shape factor of 0.7 from the Manual 110 [ASCE, 2012]. In this estimate, the viscosity of water was assumed as 3.41 lb/ft-h at 7.5°C, with a particle density of 136 lb/ft³). Figure 11 shows the resulting particle settling velocity for various sizes. Applicable values are also listed in Table 11.



Figure 11. Method 3 Noncolloidal Sediment Particle Settling Velocity

Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00008 inch with a Corey shape factor.

5.2.4 Method 4: Settling Velocity Estimate per ASCE Manual 110

Under the Method 4 approach, the settling velocity of particles is estimated using Equation 2-46g in ASCE's Manual 110 (2012).

Equation 2-46g $v_s = (gRD^2)/(18v)$

Where:

R = submerged specific gravity (SG) of quartz = 1.65

v = kinematic viscosity = 1.41568E-06 square meters per second (m²/s) at 7.5°C per Equation 2-46h (ASCE, 2012)

Equation 2-46h $v = (1.79 \ 10^{-6})/(1 + 0.03368T + 0.00021T^2)$

V as square meters/second

T = Temperature in Celsius

The settling velocity plot and values are shown on Figure 12 and listed in Table 11, respectively.

5.2.5 Method 5: Settling Velocity Estimate per ASCE Manual 110, with Shape Factor

Dietrich (1982) performed dimensional analysis to analyze sediment settling velocity data for natural particles. Later, Jimenez and Madsen (2003) fitted Dietrich's formula to an expression that was used for Method 5.

Under the Method 5 approach, particle settling velocity is estimated using Equations 2-48a and 2-48b in Manual 110 (ASCE, 2012), as follows:

$$W_* = (v_s)/[(gRD_N)^{1/2}] = [A + (B/S_*)]^{-1}$$
 with $S_* = [(D/4v)^*(gRD_N)^{1/2}]$

Where:

```
R = submerged SG of quartz = 1.65
```

v = kinematic viscosity= 1.41568E-06 m²/s at 7.5°C per Equation 2-46h (ASCE, 2012)

 D_N varies with particle size as D/0.9.

Where:

The settling velocity plot and values are shown on Figure 12 and listed in Table 11, respectively.

5.3 Risk and Accuracy

This study is based on certain factors that can substantially impact the settling velocity estimates. These factors would be weighed against the final size of the basin relative to its theoretical minimum length. The basins included in the engineering concept drawings are sized for an on-site earth balance and therefore have a conservative safety factor relative to theoretical settling length. If future revisions to the basin length results in a significant reduction in the safety factor, additional analyses would be considered to help account for some the accuracy and risk factors presented in this section. The results of this study depend on the accuracy of the data, which can be influenced by many geomorphological and physical-chemical-biological factors, such as the following:

- Environmental impacts, such as global warming and sea-level rise, can affect particle shape and size, which can impact the settling velocity estimates. In situ measurements of particle characteristics can improve the estimates.
- The assumptions about a sample's constant sediment loading and constant density have serious flaws because geomorphic changes could impact particle concentration and density. In practice, sediment loading is a variable caused by settling and resuspension events. Wind velocity and mixing contribute to the suspended sediment particle concentration and floc density. Filamentous algae floating in the water column often develop flocs of various structures that can be different from the shape and size of primary particles.
- The porous structure of sediment flocs was not considered in the estimates. Flow through the floc structure and around the particle could have impacted the drag; therefore, settling characteristics could be different than estimated.
- The assumption of using the constant rate of sediment resuspension in the form of single particle size distribution consideration for settling velocity estimates has limitations because sediment control

plays a big part in sediment erosion, which could eventually result in time varying sediment loading, which was not considered in this study.

6. Sediment Capture and Disposal

6.1 **Project-Specific Sediment Quantity Analysis**

A project-specific sediment quantity analysis was performed to estimate the total sediment in the river, diverted sediment, and captured and dewatered sediment disposed for each Project alternative using CalSim 3 results provided by DCO on March 25, 2021. The CalSim 3 results includes the average monthly Sacramento River flow (in cfs) at the Freeport gauge and the average monthly total DCP diversion for each month of the 94-year simulation period for each alternative. The data provided does not include the effects of climate change.

To calculate the sediment quantities in the analysis, the following key assumptions were used:

- Statistical analysis of CalSim 3 results was conducted to determine the minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years.
- Suspended sediment quantities were determined by applying the median monthly sediment concentration in the river to the CalSim 3 flowrate.
 - The median concentration values were applied to the river flow predicted by CalSim 3 for the for every month of the simulation period. The resulting total river sediment load data was provided to DCO for other analyses by the environmental team and is not described further in this TM.
 - The median concentration values were applied to the diversion flows predicted by CalSim 3 for the for each month of the minimum, median, and maximum year of simulation period. The median suspended sediment concentration in the river was selected for the analysis due to sample size limitations as described in Section 4.1.
- The particle size distribution analysis could not be performed monthly due statistical limitations as described in Section 4.1. The median particle size distribution was selected for the analysis as the most representative of the particle distribution based on the information available.
- Particle settling velocities within the sedimentation basins at each intake were determined using Method 4 as described in Sections 5 and 7.
- The monthly CalSim 3 flows were provided as total project diversions, so these flows were distributed to the various intakes to develop an assumed flow distribution for determining sediment quantities at the various intakes. Flows were generally assigned in 500 cfs increments, except the minimum flow of 300 cfs per intake required special distribution for lower flows. Flow were assigned to the intakes as follows:
 - O- to 800 cfs CalSim 3 flows: full flow distributed to the furthest downstream intake (example: 600 cfs all to intake C-E-5)
 - 800 to 1300 cfs CalSim 3 flows: first 500 cfs distributed to furthest downstream intake; next 300 to 500 cfs distributed to upstream intake, flows 1000 to 1300 cfs distributed to further downstream intake (examples: for 900 cfs—500 cfs to intake C-E-5 and 400 cfs to Intake C-E-3; for 1200 cfs—700 to Intake C-E-5 and 500 to Intake C-E-3); for alternatives that include Intake C-E-2, flows would not be assigned to Intake C-E-2 until the total project flows were greater than 1300 cfs

- Flows greater than 1300 cfs:
 - Alternatives with two intakes: first 500 cfs distributed to furthest downstream intake; next 500 cfs distributed to upstream intake, flows above 1000 cfs distributed alternatively to each intake in 500 cfs increments (example: for 2900 cfs—1500 cfs to intake C-E-5 and 1400 cfs to Intake C-E-3)
 - Alternatives with three intakes: first 500 cfs distributed to furthest downstream intake; next 500 cfs distributed to central intake, next 300 to 500 cfs distributed to upstream intake; flows above 1500 cfs distributed alternatively to each intake in 500 cfs increments (examples: for 1750 cfs—750 cfs to intake C-E-5 and 500 cfs to both Intakes C-E-3 and C-E-2; for2900 cfs—1000 cfs to intake C-E-5, 1000 cfs to intake C-E-3, and 900 cfs to Intake C-E-2)

The flow distribution scheme described above is not intended to reflect actual flow distribution patterns that may be developed by the operations and permitting teams. It is simply an even distribution to each active intake that accounts for a 300 cfs minimum flow accommodation at each intake. A different flow distribution is likely to be developed prior to actual operations, but it would not be expected to have a significant impact on the sediment capture quantities.

- The analysis was performed using the cylindrical tee screen intake configurations for the sedimentation basin at each intake.
- Sediment accumulation and disposal years mirror CalSim 3 simulation year runs from October through September.

6.2 Sediment Capture – Design Flow

The sediment capture at each intake is a function of geometry of the intakes, settling velocity, depth, and diversion flow rate. The capture percentage is independent of river level since the lateral velocity and the depth to settle mathematically compensate for each other. Using the design flows, the sediment capture percentages based on particle size were calculated at each intake, for each alternative using the following equations:

$$T_s = \frac{d}{V_{settling}}$$

Where:

$$\begin{split} T_s &= \text{Time to settle particle (s)} \\ d &= 200\text{-year depth (ft)} \\ V_{settling} &= \text{particle settling velocity (fps)} \end{split}$$

The calculated time to settle the particle is used to determine the theoretical length required to settle the particle.

$$L_T = V_{200} \times T_s$$

Where:

 L_T = Theoretical length required to settle the particle (ft) V_{200} = sedimentation basin velocity based on 200 year (fps) T_s = Time to settle particle (s)

The theoretical length required to settle the particle is compared to the actual sedimentation basin length to determine the percent of sediment captured in the basin.

$$SC_{\%} = \frac{L_T}{L_A} \times 100$$

Where:

 $\begin{array}{l} SC_{\%} \mbox{ = Percent sediment captured (\%), design flow} \\ L_T \mbox{ = Theoretical length required to settle the particle (ft)} \\ L_A \mbox{ = Actual length of the basin minus 50 feet (ft)} \end{array}$

The captured sediment percentages by particle size for design flows at each intake are shown in Tables 2 through 5.

Table 2. Maximum Percent of Sediment Captured at Intake Design Flow Capacity – EIR Alternatives
1,3 & 5 (6,000 cfs Project Capacity)

Particle Size (mm)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-3 (3,000 cfs)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-5 (3,000 cfs)
2	100	100
1	100	100
0.5	100	100
0.25	100	100
0.125	100	100
0.0625	100	100
0.031	67.9	49.9
0.016	18.1	13.3
0.008	4.5	3.3
0.004	1.1	0.8
0.002	0.3	0.2
0.001	0.1	0.1

Table 3. Maximum Percent of Sediment Captured at Intake Design Flow Capacity – EIR Alternatives
2a & 4a (7,500 cfs Project Capacity)

Particle Size (mm)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-2 (1,500 cfs)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-3 (3,000 cfs)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-5 (3,000 cfs)	
2	100	100	100	
1	100	100	100	
0.5	100	100	100	
0.25	100	100	100	
0.125	100	100	100	
0.0625	100	100	100	
0.031	73.6	67.9	49.9	
0.016	19.6	18.1	13.3	
0.008	4.9	4.5	3.3	
0.004	1.2	1.1	0.8	
0.002	0.3	0.3	0.2	
0.001	0.1	0.1	0.1	

Table 4. Maximum Percent of Sediment Captured at Intake Design Flow Capacity - EIR Alternatives
2b & 4b (3,000 cfs Project Capacity)

Particle Size (mm)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-5 (3,000 cfs)
2	100
1	100
0.5	100
0.25	100
0.125	100
0.0625	100
0.031	49.9
0.016	13.3
0.008	3.3
0.004	0.8
0.002	0.2
0.001	0.1

Particle Size (mm)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-3 (3,000 cfs)	Percent Captured Intake C-E-5 (1,500 cfs)
2	100	100
1	100	100
0.5	100	100
0.25	100	100
0.125	100	100
0.0625	100	100
0.031	67.9	56.3
0.016	18.1	15.0
0.008	4.5	3.8
0.004	1.1	0.9
0.002	0.3	0.2
0.001	0.1	0.1

Table 5. Maximum Percent of Sediment Captured at Intake Design Flow Capacity – EIR Alternatives2c & 4c (4,500 cfs Project Capacity)

6.3 Total Diverted Sediment

The maximum, median, and minimum flow diversion years were determined using the 94 years of data for representative sediment quantities during varying flow conditions. The maximum, median, and minimum years were 1993, 2007, and 1983 respectively.

Using the CalSim 3 results diversion flows and the median monthly sediment concentrations, the total suspended sediment diverted per intake was calculated at minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years for each alternative, using the following equation:

$$S_{DT} = \sum_{M=1-12} C_M \times Q_{DM} \times CF$$

Where:

 S_{DT} = Total diverted sediment per year (tons), summed for months (M) 1 through 12 C_M = Median monthly sediment concentration in the river (mg/l) Q_{DM} = Monthly diversion rate (cfs) CF = unit conversion factor (0.0027 multiplied by days in the month)

The annual diverted sediment is summarized in Table 6. Monthly data is shown for minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years for each intake and each alternative in Appendix A.

	Total Annual Sediment Diverted (Tons)								
	Intake C-E-2		Intake C-E-3			Intake C-E-5			
	Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year		
EIR Alternative	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Alternative 1 – 6000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	1,087	17,263	52,669	2,330	24,009	58,090
Alternative 2a – 7500 cfs for Central Corridor	0	10,146	38,420	1,361	14,430	43,753	2,055	19,110	48,175
Alternative 2b – 3000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,523	30,141	71,412
Alternative 2c – 4500 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	1,087	16,547	67,499	2,330	20,258	37,684
Alternative 3 – 6000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	1,087	17,263	52,669	2,330	24,009	58,090
Alternative 4a – 7500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	0	10,146	38,420	1,361	14,430	43,753	2,055	19,110	48,175
Alternative 4b – 3000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,523	30,141	71,412
Alternative 4c – 4500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	1,087	16,547	67,499	2,330	20,258	37,684
Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs for Bethany Reservoir Alternative	-	-	-	1,087	17,263	57,312	1,635	24,011	63,147

Notes: Based on CalSim 3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

Intakes River Sediment Analysis – North Delta Intakes (Final Draft)

6.4 Captured Sediment

The amount of captured sediment at each intake varies by conveyance alternative and diverted flow. For example, if the actual flow rate (represented by the CalSim 3 flow rate data results) were half of the design flow rate, then twice as much sediment in each size fraction would settle relative to design flow conditions.

Therefore, the ratio of the design flow rate (i.e. 3,000 or 1,500 cfs, depending on the EIR alternative) to the actual (CalSim 3) flow rate establishes a flow factor for each month analyzed. The monthly flow factor can be multiplied by the sediment capture percentages by particle size per Tables 2 through 4, to establish a flow-specific capture ratio for that particle size for that same month. Then, the average of the flow specific capture ratio for the upper and lower end of each size range defines the amount of sediment captured in that range.

The annual tons of sediment captured in each intake sedimentation basin was calculated by multiplying the total month diverted sediment (Appendix A) by the percent of the total sediment in each fraction (Table 1, median data) and by the average flow-specific capture ratio for each size fraction and summed overall size fractions and all months as represented by the following equation:

$$S_{CT} = \sum_{M=1-12} \left(\sum_{Fs} (S_{DM} \times X_{50} \times \overline{X}_{Fs}) \right)$$

Where:

S_{CT} = Total captured (settled) sediment per year (tons), summed for months (M) 1 to 12

 S_{DM} = Total diverted sediment per month for lower end of size fraction (tons), per Appendix A

X₅₀ = Particle size percent retained for lower end of size fraction, using median values per Table 1, (%, input as decimal ratio)

 \overline{X}_{Fs} = Average of flow-specific percent capture ratio between upper and lower end of size range (decimal ratio),

Fs = Size fractions of particles, using upper and lower limits defined in Table 1

The annual captured tons of sediment per intake, EIR alternative, and minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years is summarized in Table 7. Monthly data is summarized in Appendix A.

	Total Annual Captured Sediment (Tons)								
	Intake C-E-2			Intake C-E-3			Intake C-E-5		
	Flo	w Diversion \	/ear	Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year		
EIR Alternative	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Alternative 1 – 6000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	0	6,689	18,485	496	8,645	18,853
Alternative 2a – 7500 cfs for Central Corridor	0	3,681	13,099	596	5,744	16,238	834	7,095	16,443
Alternative 2b – 3000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,326	10,344	22,406
Alternative 2c – 4500 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	496	6,449	22,491	863	6,773	12,054
Alternative 3 – 6000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	0	6,689	18,485	496	8,645	18,853
Alternative 4a – 7500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	0	3,681	13,099	596	5,744	16,238	834	7,095	16,443
Alternative 4b – 3000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,326	10,344	22,406
Alternative 4c – 4500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	496	6,449	22,491	863	6,773	12,054
Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs for Bethany Reservoir Alternative	-	-	-	496	6,689	19,932	650	8,646	20,248

Notes: Based on CalSim 3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

Intakes River Sediment Analysis – North Delta Intakes (Final Draft)

6.5 Sediment Management and Disposal

6.5.1 Sedimentation Basin Operation and Maintenance

The sedimentation basins at each intake would operate passively and sediment would naturally settle from the water column to the bottom of the basin during flow diversions. A turbidity curtain would be provided in the sedimentation basin so that about half of the basin could be dredged while the other half of the basin would remain in service.

Once a year, during the warm summer months (assumed to be May through September), the sediment would be dredged from the sedimentation basins using a portable floating hydraulic suction dredge. The sediment basin dredge would discharge a sediment slurry into the sediment drying lagoons using a combination of portable (floating) piping in the basin and permanently installed piping leading to the lagoons. The operation of the lagoons is described below. The sediment would be removed during the summer to maximize natural drying in the sediment drying lagoons.

The suction dredge would be maneuvered around the sedimentation basin until manual soundings demonstrate that the accumulated sediment has been removed. The dredging operation would be coordinated with the operation of the drying lagoons to maximize dredging and drying efficiency. Once the dredging operation on one side of the sedimentation basin was completed, the dredge would be moved to the other side and the process repeated. If excess sediment builds up before the summer dredging season, the dredge would be mobilized earlier in the year and used to fill one or more of the four drying lagoons in order to make room for additional sediment.

Depending on season, the presence of regulated fish species in the river, and the Project diversion requirements, the operating portion of the intake would potentially be operated up to 0.33 fps approach velocity during dredging to preserve most of the intake diversion capacity during the dredging operation.

Dredging equipment would require maintenance on an annual basis.

6.5.2 Sediment Drying Lagoon Operation and Maintenance

Sediment dredged from the sedimentation basin would be separated from the dredged water and dried in the sediment drying lagoons for removal off-site by trucks. The sediment is anticipated to be large silt and sand particles with minimal organic material. Therefore, no substantial odors are anticipated from the sediment drying lagoon operations.

Sediment dredged from the sedimentation basin would be conveyed to the drying lagoons using piping installed from the basins to the lagoons. The lagoons would be equipped with several inlet valves such that the dredged slurry would be distributed around the full lagoon area. The lagoons would include an outlet structure with an adjustable weir to decant water off the top of the sediment slurry and underdrains to transport water from beneath the dredged sediment.

The suction dredge would operate to fill each lagoon up to the level of the top of the adjustable weir in its full up position. Once the first lagoon is full, the dredge would begin to fill a second lagoon. It would be expected to take up to about 2 days to fill each lagoon. Therefore, it would take about 6 to 8 days to fill all four lagoons.

After the lagoon is filled, the weir gate would be gradually lowered to decant the water off the top of the sediment. The decanting process would take about a day. After decanting, the remaining water would be allowed to drain into the outlet structure through the underdrains. Decant and underdrain water would flow to a central return flow pump station and be pumped back into the sedimentation basin. Each time the lagoons are filled, about 1 foot of sediment would be expected to settle to the bottom of the lagoon. Once the sediment was collected and most of the water removed by decanting and underdrains, the basin would be allowed to dry for 2 to 3 days while being mixed with agricultural or municipal style mixing implements. Over the next two days, the basin would be cleaned using front end loaders and dozers. The sediment would be loaded into trucks and hauled off site for disposal at a permitted disposal site or used for beneficial uses off site. For the purposes of this analysis, it was assumed the sediment would be hauled to Florin Perkins landfill in Sacramento County.

Each lagoon would be filled and drained for about 3 days, then the sediment would be dried and removed in about 4-5 days. Therefore, the fill and drain/dry sequence would be about 7 to 8 days, which would approximately match the dredged material filling rate so continuous operation would be possible. The full 7-8 period is defined as one dredge cycle, as further considered in Section 6.5.3.

Other sediment drying lagoon maintenance would include periodic cleaning of the underdrains using conventional agricultural drain cleaning equipment. Replacement of the underdrains and the gravel envelope surrounding the lines. would be required on an infrequent basis, possibly every five to ten years or more.

Return flow pumping equipment, adjustable weir gates, and mixing and loading equipment would require typical mechanical equipment maintenance on an annual basis. Minor debris collection would be conducted on a continuous basis.

6.5.3 Sediment Disposal

As described above, the total captured sediment would be removed from the basin annually, dewatered, and trucked to an off-site disposal facility using one or more dredge cycles per intake. The captured sediment per EIR alternative and water year type, as described in Table 7, was assumed to all be dried and disposed. Therefore, the values in Table 7 were used to estimate truck trips required to transport dried sediment off-site. The Florin Perkins landfill in Sacramento was assumed as the location for disposal for the purposes of this analysis. The dried sediment was assumed to weigh 112.5 pounds per cubic yard and the truck size was assumed to carry an average of 15 cubic yards per load. Using the dried sediment volume and the truck size, the annual truck trips for each intake was estimated. The total number of truck trips per year for each intake are shown in Table 8 for minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years for each EIR alternative.

Table 8. Number of Truck Trips per Year

	Number of Truck Trips per Year								
		Intake C-E-2		Intake C-E-3			Intake C-E-5		
	Fle	ow Diversion Y	ear	Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year		
EIR Alternative	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Alternative 1 – 6000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	22	294	812	40	380	828
Alternative 2a – 7500 cfs for Central Corridor	0	162	575	27	253	713	37	312	722
Alternative 2b – 3000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	455	984
Alternative 2c – 4500 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	22	284	988	38	298	530
Alternative 3 – 6000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	22	294	812	40	380	828
Alternative 4a – 7500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	0	162	575	27	253	713	37	312	722
Alternative 4b – 3000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	455	984
Alternative 4c – 4500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	22	284	988	38	298	530
Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs for Bethany Reservoir Alternative	-	-	-	22	294	875	29	380	889

Notes: Based on CalSim 3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

In order to fully clean out the sedimentation basin at each intake, the captured sediment would be moved to the drying lagoons by repeating the dredge cycle described above as many times as needed until the sediment was all removed, dried, and hauled offsite. The number of dredge cycles required per year per year helps establish the number of truck trips per day and the duration of the dredging, drying, and disposal operation at each intake.

To determine the yearly number of dredge cycles per intake required to remove the sediment, a 1-foot depth of dried sediment, (about 2,074 cubic yards) in each drying lagoon was assumed to be removed per dredging cycle. This value is consistent with the duration of the activities described for each dredge cycle. The number of dredge cycles was estimated by determining the number of drying lagoon volumes (at the unit weight of dried sediment defined above) would be required to remove the full quantity of capture sediment defined in Table 7. The yearly number of dredge cycles of the sediment disposal is shown in Table 9 for minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years for each EIR alternative.

Assuming a sequential application of the dredge cycle activities, the trucking component would be continuous once the first cycle reaches the trucking component. In other words, the send dredge cycle would reach the trucking component as soon as trucking was completed for the first cycle, and so on throughout all the required cycles. Therefore, the number of work days to conduct the sediment cleanout operation can be estimate by assuming three days of trucking for each cycle, plus the initial 5 days for dredging and drying. About 3-5 days would be required at each site once the work is complete to clean up and get the sediment facilities ready to sit idle until the following year.

In accordance with the description of the dredge cycle above, the number of trucking days is assumed to be three days per dredge cycles. The corresponding number of truck trips per day as estimated for minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years for each intake and EIR alternative are shown in Table 10.

Table 9. Dredge Cycles Per Year at each Intake

	Number of Dredge Cycles per Year								
		Intake C-E-2		Intake C-E-3			Intake C-E-5		
	Fle	ow Diversion Y	ear	Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year		
EIR Alternative	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Alternative 1 – 6000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	1	3	6	1	3	6
Alternative 2a – 7500 cfs for Central Corridor	0	2	5	1	2	6	1	3	6
Alternative 2b – 3000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	8
Alternative 2c – 4500 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	1	3	8	1	3	4
Alternative 3 – 6000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	1	3	6	1	3	6
Alternative 4a – 7500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	0	2	5	1	2	6	1	3	6
Alternative 4b – 3000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	8
Alternative 4c – 4500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	1	3	8	1	3	4
Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs for Bethany Reservoir Alternative	-	-	-	1	3	7	1	3	7

Notes: Based on CalSim 3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

Table 10. Truck Trips per Day at each Intake

	Number of Truck Trips per Day								
		Intake C-E-2		Intake C-E-3			Intake C-E-5		
	Fl	ow Diversion	/ear	Flow Diversion Year			Flow Diversion Year		
EIR Alternative	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
Alternative 1 – 6000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	8	33	46	14	43	46
Alternative 2a – 7500 cfs for Central Corridor	0	27	39	9	43	40	13	35	41
Alternative 2b – 3000 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	38	41
Alternative 2c – 4500 cfs for Central Corridor	-	-	-	8	32	42	13	34	45
Alternative 3 – 6000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	8	33	46	14	43	46
Alternative 4a – 7500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	0	27	39	9	43	40	13	35	41
Alternative 4b – 3000 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	38	41
Alternative 4c – 4500 cfs for Eastern Corridor	-	-	-	8	32	42	13	34	45
Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs for Bethany Reservoir Alternative	-	-	-	8	33	42	10	43	43

Notes: Based on CalSim 3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

Review of Table 10 shows that sediment disposal could be conducted at each intake in 24 days, or less, with a maximum of 46 of trucks per day over a 10 hour period. A fleet of about 6 trucks would be required assuming a 1 hr. total cycle time. The total work period to conduct annual cleaning would be about 34 days, or less, at each intake when the initial 5 day dredging period and the 5 day cleanup period at the end are considered. The combined worst case total duration would be 61 days for EIR Alternatives 2a and 4a. Assuming 7 day work weeks, this amounts to about 9 weeks which is much less than the 5 months of warm dry weather typical for the area. These results demonstrate that a single dredging, sediment drying, disposal crew, equipment and truck fleet can clean out all intakes in a single summer dry season. The durations demonstrate that a buffer for up to double the sediment accumulation would be available for anomalously extreme sediment buildup years.

7. Results and Conclusions



The settling velocity distribution for various particle sizes using various methods is shown in Figure 12. Table 11 provides settling velocity values for various noncolloidal particle sizes.

Figure 12. Settling Velocity Distribution for Various Particle Sizes Using Various Methods Notes: Particles depicted on the figure are greater than 0.00008 inch.

		Settling Velocity Estimate (ft/s)							
Particle Class	Size (inch)	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Method 4	Method 5			
	0.015	0.29	0.14	0.21	0.29	0.15			
Sand	0.007	0.073	0.037	0.052	0.073	0.106			
	0.004	0.018	0.009	0.012	0.018	0.074			
	0.002	0.0082	0.0045	0.0058	0.0081	0.0610			
	0.002	0.0044	0.0025	0.0031	0.0044	0.0524			
	0.001	0.00121	0.00071	0.00085	0.00120	0.0378			
Silt	0.0005	0.00030	0.00019	0.00021	0.00030	0.0268			
	0.0002	0.00008	0.00005	0.00005	0.00008	0.0189			
	0.0001	0.00002	0.00001	0.00001	0.00002	0.0134			

Table 11. Particle Settling Velocity Estimate Using Various Methods

Notes:

ft/s = foot (feet) per second

In reality, natural suspended particles have variable shapes, sizes, effective density, pore structures, and surface areas, which can each influence drag on the particle surface and, therefore, also influence the settling velocities. General physicochemical conditions prevailing in the system also impact settling; for example:

- Fluid shear
- Organic content
- Particle concentration
- Location of particle with reference to the settling area
- Depth of the water column

A variety of attempts have been made to characterize the settling velocity. Initial efforts were focused on Stokes law, followed by many modifications through empirical relationships with settling velocity data and considering particle shape characteristics experiencing variable drag. Basically, the particle density expression in Stokes law varied with particle size, and as natural particles are not spherical, various shape factors were considered to define the particle size and modify the Stokes law equation.

As a result of this analysis, the settling velocity of particles based on Method 4 would be used to size the intake area. The results derived from Method 1 are based on Stokes law, which does not consider the irregular shape of particles, variable density, and porosity. Method 3 is a modification of Method 1 with a constant shape factor for all particle size classes. Method 2 is based on the direct measurement method and illustrates the impact of variable density with particle size, but it also assumes particles are too fluffy, highly irregular, and porous. The drag effect considered in Method 2 may not be appropriate for Sacramento River sediment suspensions, which are relatively rounded and heavier sand particles (Figure 4) and less floc compared with aggregates present in a quieter environment like a lake. The coefficient drag for sand particles would be much less than flocs with high organic content (Chakraborti and Kaur 2014). Method 5 is heavily dependent on the appropriateness of various empirical values used in the model, including a constant shape factor in terms of the nominal diameter of particles. The trend

of this plot is different from the trend determined by the other four methods. Method 4 considers the SG of quartz, which is the most appropriate settling estimate for coarse sediment particles. Note that it is difficult to predict the settling velocity of particles accurately without a settling column experiment and use of noninvasive measurement methods such as the coulter counter method to precisely determine particle size distribution.

In summary, particle sizes of 0.002 inch are estimated to settle at a rate of 0.008 ft/s. In perspective, a 0.002 inch particle would travel 15 feet downward in 31 minutes with a 0.008-ft/s settling speed.

In accordance with the goal to capture all sediment with particle size greater than 0.002 inch, the minimum settling basin length, assuming a 1.25 safety factor for sediment settling velocity and a 50 foot inlet mixing buffer zone, would be as listed in Table 12 for the cylindrical tee screen configuration being considered as part of the EIR.

	Intake Capacity						
	1,500 cfs	3,000 cfs					
Intake	Minimum Sedimentation Basin Bottom Length (Feet)						
C-E-2	438	N/A					
C-E-3	N/A	464					
C-E-5	423	464					

Table 12. Minimum Sedimentation Basin Bottom Length

Note: Length based on configuration shown in the Engineering Concept Drawings (DCA, 2021b)

The intake sedimentation basin would capture between 500 and 46,00 tons of sediment each year, depending on the project design capacity over minimum, median, and maximum flow diversion years. This sediment would be dredged and dried in less than 61 days. Review of the estimates of captured sediment presented in this TM suggested that considerable variability exists relative to the flow diversion quantities during that year. In some years, illustrated by the estimates for minimum diversion years, the accumulation could be so low that no dredging, drying, and disposal operation is needed. During the maximum diversion years, the estimated accumulated sediment would be orders of magnitude higher than minimal years, but still within the quantity that could be hauled off-site for disposal using less than about 2,000 truck trips per year with about 46 truck trips per day during the peak operation. During median diversion years, the accumulated sediment would be hauled off-site for disposal using less than about 725 truck trips per year with about 43 truck trips per day during the peak operation.

The results of the sediment management analyses demonstrate that a single dredging, sediment drying, disposal crew, equipment and truck fleet can clean out all intakes in a single summer dry season. Also, the analysis shows that a seasonal buffer would be available to manage about double the amount of sediment produced for the maximum diversion year in the case of an anomalous set of circumstances.

8. References

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). 2012. ASCE Manual of Practice 110 — Sedimentation Engineering: Processes, Measurements, Modeling and Practice. April 26. https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/40856%28200%2994.

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). 2013. Sedimentation Engineering (Manual 54). Vito A. Vanoni, ed. March 21. <u>https://ascelibrary.org/doi/abs/10.1061/9780784408230</u>.

CH2M HILL (CH2M). 2003. Suspended Sediment Loading and Transport in the Freeport Regional Water Project. Prepared for the Freeport Regional Water Project.

Chakraborti, R.K., K.H. Gardner, J.F. Atkinson, and J.E. Van Benschoten. 2003. "Changes in Fractal Dimension during Aggregation." Water Research. 37(4), 873–883.

Chakraborti, Rajat. K., Kevin. H. Gardner, Jagiit. Kaur, and Joseph. F. Atkinson. 2007. "In situ analysis of flocs." Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology—Aqua. 56(1).

Chakraborti, R.K., and J. Kaur. 2014. "Non-invasive Measurement of Particle Settling Velocity and Comparison with Stokes' Law". J. Environ. Engr – ASCE. 140(2), 04013008.

Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority (DCA). 2021a. Intakes Site Identification and Evaluation Technical Memorandum. Final Draft.

Delta Conveyance Design and Construction Authority (DCA). 2021b. Engineering Project Report. Volume 2. Engineering Concept Drawings. Final Draft.

Gregory, J. 1989. "Fundamentals of Flocculation." Crit. Rev. Env. Con. 19 (3), 185-230.

Guérin L, C. Francesa C., A. Liné, and C. Coufort-Saudejaud. 2019. "Fractal dimensions and morphological characteristics of aggregates formed in different physico-chemical and mechanical flocculation environments." Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem Eng. Aspects. 560, 213-222.

Jimenez, J.A., and O.S. Madsen. 2003. "A Simple Formula to Estimate Settling Velocity of Natural Sediments." Journal of Waterways, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering. ASCE, 129(2), 70–78.

Kranenburg, C. 1994. "The Fractal Structure of Cohesive Sediment Aggregates." Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 39(5). pp. 451–460.

McNown, J.S., and J. Malaika. 1950. "Effects of Particle Shape on Settling Velocity at Low Reynolds Numbers." Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union. 31(1). pp.74–82.

Graf W. H. 1971. "Chapter 4, Title." Hydraulics of Sediment Transport. New York: McGraw Hill.

Student. 1908. "Probable Error of a Correlation Coefficient." Biometrika. William Gosset, author. 6, 2–3, 302–310.

Thomann, R. V., and J. A. Mueller. 1987. Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. HarperCollins Publishers, Inc.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2020. "USGS 11447650 SACRAMENTO R A FREEPORT CA." National Water Information System. June 2. Accessed September 2019. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory/?site_no=11447650.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2003. Structural Design and Evaluation of Outlet Works – Engineer Manual. EM 1110-2-2400. June 2. <u>http://www.usace.army.mil/inet/usace-docs/</u>.

Winterwerp, J.C. 1998. "A Simple Model for Turbulence Induced Flocculation of Cohesive Sediment." Journal of Hydraulic Research. 36(3), pp.309–326.

Winterwerp, J.C. 2002. "On the Flocculation and Settling Velocity of Estuarine Mud." Continental Shelf Research. 22(9). pp. 1339–1360.

9. Document History and Quality Assurance

Reviewers listed have completed an internal quality review check and approval process for deliverable documents that is consistent with procedures and directives identified by the Engineering Design Manager (EDM) and the DCA.

Approval Names and Roles									
Prepared by	Internal Quality Control review by	Consistency review by	Approved for submission by						
Samantha Daigle / EDM Project Engineer	Phil Ryan / EDM Intakes Lead and Design Manager	Gwen Buchholz / DCA Environmental Consultant	Terry Krause / EDM Project Manager						

This interim document is considered preliminary and was prepared under the responsible charge of Philip K. Ryan, California Professional Engineering License C41087.

Appendix A

Appendix A. Monthly Sediment & Sediment Disposal Calculations

A.1 EIR Alternative 1 & 3 – 6000 cfs – Max Year
EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	2819	10607	3545	0	0	318	689	1220	731	437	115	29	6
February	3000	12349	4064	0	0	370	803	1420	829	478	126	31	7
March	2186	13344	4785	0	0	400	867	1535	1031	708	186	47	10
April	2000	7768	2852	0	0	233	505	893	621	444	119	30	7
May	946	2927	1179	0	0	88	190	337	234	207	94	24	5
June	2500	4754	1640	0	0	143	309	547	345	221	58	15	3
July	500	920	419	0	0	28	60	106	74	83	53	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	52669	18485	0	0	1580	3423	6057	3865	2578	750	188	42

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	36,969,069.61
yards	12,170.89
truck trips/year	811.39
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	6.00
Trucking Days	18.00
Total Work Days	23.00
truck trips/day	46.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.60
cycle time (mins)	13.04

EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	3000	11288	3478	0	0	339	734	1298	677	321	84	21	5
February	3000	12349	3805	0	0	370	803	1420	740	351	92	23	5
March	2500	15260	4880	0	0	458	992	1755	976	521	137	34	8
April	2040	7924	2658	0	0	238	515	911	549	331	87	22	5
May	1000	3094	1183	0	0	93	201	356	248	195	69	17	4
June	2543	4836	1541	0	0	145	314	556	307	162	43	11	2
July	899	1654	641	0	0	50	107	190	132	107	41	10	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	775	1685	667	0	0	51	110	194	135	115	49	12	3
	Annual	58090	18853	0	0	1743	3776	6680	3764	2103	603	151	33

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	37,706,478.37
yards	12,413.66
truck trips/year	827.58
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	6.00
Trucking Days	18.00
Total Work Days	23.00
truck trips/day	46.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.60
cycle time (mins)	13.04

A.2 EIR Alternative 1 & 3 – 6000 cfs – Median Year

EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversio	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month Flow Rate (cfs)		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	2000	8232	3022	0	0	247	535	947	659	471	126	31	7
March	1000	6104	2437	0	0	183	397	702	488	424	186	47	10
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1000	1840	734	0	0	55	120	212	147	128	56	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
	Annual	17263	6689	0	0	518	1122	1985	1381	1120	430	109	24

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	13,378,777.74
yards	4,404.54
truck trips/year	293.64
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	33.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.30
cycle time (mins)	18.18

EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

					Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution											
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)			
January	675	2540	1029	0	0	76	165	292	203	182	84	21	5			
February	2204	9072	2986	0	0	272	590	1043	609	351	92	23	5			
March	1380	8424	3110	0	0	253	548	969	674	489	137	34	8			
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
July	1471	2706	993	0	0	81	176	311	216	155	41	10	2			
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
December	583	1267	527	0	0	38	82	146	101	96	49	12	3			
A	Annual	24009	8645	0	0	720	1561	2761	1804	1272	404	101	22			

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	17,290,863.55
yards	5,692.47
truck trips/year	379.50
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	43.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.30
cycle time (mins)	13.95

A.3 EIR Alternative 1 & 3 – 6000 cfs – Min Year

EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004 0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	991,062.51
yards	326.28
truck trips/year	21.75
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	8.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	0.80
cycle time (mins)	75.00

EIR Alternative 1 & 3 - 6000 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

					Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution										
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	ted ons) Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004 0.002 mm (tons)		
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
August	752	1635	650	0	0	49	106	188	131	113	49	12	3		
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
October	660	607	247	0	0	18	39	70	49	44	21	5	1		
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Annual	2242	897	0	0	67	146	258	179	156	69	17	4		

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	1,794,312.49
yards	590.72
truck trips/year	39.38
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	14.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	1.40
cycle time (mins)	42.86

A.4 EIR Alternative 2a & 4a – 7500 cfs – Max Year

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

				Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution										
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)	
January	2000	7526	2527	0	0	226	489	865	523	316	83	21	5	
February	2000	8232	2765	0	0	247	535	947	572	346	91	23	5	
March	1526	9315	3128	0	0	279	605	1071	647	391	103	26	6	
April	1028	3993	1473	0	0	120	260	459	319	231	64	16	4	
May	1866	5773	1939	0	0	173	375	664	401	242	64	16	4	
June	1500	2852	958	0	0	86	185	328	198	120	31	8	2	
July	396	728	308	0	0	22	47	84	58	57	30	8	2	
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Anr	nual	38420	13099	0	0	1153	2497	4418	2718	1703	467	117	26	

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	26,197,031.32
yards	8,624.54
truck trips/year	574.97
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	5.00
Trucking Days	15.00
Total Work Days	20.00
truck trips/day	39.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.90
cycle time (mins)	15.38

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

					Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution										
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)		
January	2000	7526	2763	0	0	226	489	865	602	431	115	29	6		
February	2000	8232	3022	0	0	247	535	947	659	471	126	31	7		
March	2000	12208	4482	0	0	366	794	1404	977	698	186	47	10		
April	1500	5826	2201	0	0	175	379	670	466	357	119	30	7		
May	2000	6188	2272	0	0	186	402	712	495	354	94	24	5		
June	1500	2852	1078	0	0	86	185	328	228	175	58	15	3		
July	500	920	419	0	0	28	60	106	74	83	53	14	3		
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Anr	nual	43753	16238	0	0	1313	2844	5032	3500	2568	750	188	42		

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	32,475,370.16
yards	10,691.48
truck trips/year	712.77
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	6.00
Trucking Days	18.00
Total Work Days	23.00
truck trips/day	40.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.00
cycle time (mins)	15.00

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

				Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution											
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)		
January	2150	8090	2679	0	0	243	526	930	549	321	84	21	5		
February	2277	9373	3061	0	0	281	609	1078	621	351	92	23	5		
March	2000	12208	4117	0	0	366	794	1404	854	521	137	34	8		
April	1500	5826	2134	0	0	175	379	670	466	331	87	22	5		
May	2000	6188	2087	0	0	186	402	712	433	264	69	17	4		
June	2042	3883	1303	0	0	116	252	447	269	162	43	11	2		
July	500	920	395	0	0	28	60	106	74	74	41	10	2		
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
December	776	1687	668	0	0	51	110	194	135	115	49	12	3		
Anr	nual	48175	16443	0	0	1445	3131	5540	3400	2139	603	151	33		

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	32,885,973.07
yards	10,826.66
truck trips/year	721.78
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	6.00
Trucking Days	18.00
Total Work Days	23.00
truck trips/day	41.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.10
cycle time (mins)	14.63

A.5 EIR Alternative 2a & 4a – 7500 cfs – Median Year

IR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

		Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution											
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	1500	6174	2074	0	0	185	401	710	429	259	68	17	4
March	500	3052	1235	0	0	92	198	351	244	218	101	25	6
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	500	920	372	0	0	28	60	106	74	66	30	8	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	10146	3681	0	0	304	660	1167	747	543	200	50	11

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	7,362,054.61
yards	2,423.72
truck trips/year	161.58
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	2.00
Trucking Days	6.00
Total Work Days	11.00
truck trips/day	27.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	2.70
cycle time (mins)	22.22

IR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

				Sediment Contured Reced on Particle Size Distribution											
						Sediment Cap	otured based on	Particle Size I	distribution						
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)		
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
February	1500	6174	2333	0	0	185	401	710	494	378	126	31	7		
March	881	5378	2194	0	0	161	350	618	430	391	186	47	10		
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
July	950	1748	704	0	0	52	114	201	140	124	56	14	3		
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
December	520	1131	514	0	0	34	73	130	90	102	64	17	4		
	Annual	14430	5744	0	0	433	938	1659	1154	995	432	109	24		

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	11,488,504.08
yards	3,782.22
truck trips/year	252.15
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	2.00
Trucking Days	6.00
Total Work Days	11.00
truck trips/day	43.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.30
cycle time (mins)	13.95

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

	1		1										
							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	540	2032	858	0	0	61	132	234	163	159	84	21	5
February	1944	8002	2718	0	0	240	520	920	566	351	92	23	5
March	1000	6104	2333	0	0	183	397	702	488	384	137	34	8
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1000	1840	703	0	0	55	120	212	147	116	41	10	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	521	1133	482	0	0	34	74	130	91	90	49	12	3
	Annual	19110	7095	0	0	573	1242	2198	1455	1100	404	101	22

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	14,190,459.26
yards	4,671.76
truck trips/year	311.45
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	35.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.50
cycle time (mins)	17.14

A.6 EIR Alternative 2a & 4a – 7500 cfs – Min Year

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	nnual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	-
yards	-
truck trips/year	-
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	-
Trucking Days	-
Total Work Days	5.00
truck trips/day	-
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	-
cycle time (mins)	-

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	626	1361	596	0	0	41	88	157	109	114	66	17	4
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	nnual	1361	596	0	0	41	88	157	109	114	66	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	1,191,223.34
yards	392.17
truck trips/year	26.14
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	9.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	0.90
cycle time (mins)	66.67

EIR Alternative 2a & 4a - 7500 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	626	1361	559	0	0	41	88	157	109	100	49	12	3
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	754	694	276	0	0	21	45	80	55	48	21	5	1
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	nnual	2055	834	0	0	62	134	236	164	148	69	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	1,668,705.85
yards	549.37
truck trips/year	36.62
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	13.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	1.30
cycle time (mins)	46.15

A.7 EIR Alternative 2b & 4b – 3000 cfs – Max Year

EIR Alternative 2b & 4b – 3000 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

				Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution									
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)
January	2932	11033	3415	0	0	331	717	1269	667	321	84	21	5
February	3000	12349	3805	0	0	370	803	1420	740	351	92	23	5
March	3000	18312	5643	0	0	549	1190	2106	1098	521	137	34	8
April	2594	10076	3196	0	0	302	655	1159	636	331	87	22	5
May	3000	9282	2860	0	0	278	603	1067	556	264	69	17	4
June	3000	5705	1758	0	0	171	371	656	342	162	43	11	2
July	1626	2991	1069	0	0	90	194	344	230	157	41	10	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	766	1665	661	0	0	50	108	192	133	114	49	12	3
	Annual	71412	22406	0	0	2142	4642	8212	4402	2221	603	151	33

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	44,812,628.24
yards	14,753.13
truck trips/year	983.54
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	8.00
Trucking Days	24.00
Total Work Days	29.00
truck trips/day	41.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.10
cycle time (mins)	14.63

A.8 EIR Alternative 2b & 4b – 3000 cfs – Median Year

EIR Alternative 2b & 4b – 3000 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

			Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution												
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)		
January	674	2536	1027	0	0	76	165	292	203	182	84	21	5		
February	2352	9681	3138	0	0	290	629	1113	634	351	92	23	5		
March	1779	10859	3780	0	0	326	706	1249	800	521	137	34	8		
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
July	2501	4601	1471	0	0	138	299	529	294	157	41	10	2		
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
December	1133	2463	928	0	0	74	160	283	197	150	49	12	3		
	Annual	30141	10344	0	0	904	1959	3466	2128	1360	404	101	22		

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	20,688,337.64
yards	6,810.98
truck trips/year	454.07
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	4.00
Trucking Days	12.00
Total Work Days	17.00
truck trips/day	38.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.80
cycle time (mins)	15.79

A.9 EIR Alternative 2b & 4b – 3000 cfs – Min Year

EIR Alternative 2b & 4b - 3000 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversion	
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	otured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	1252	2722	1015	0	0	82	177	313	218	161	49	12	3
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	871	801	312	0	0	24	52	92	64	53	21	5	1
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	3523	1326	0	0	106	229	405	282	214	69	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	2,652,661.92
yards	873.30
truck trips/year	58.22
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	20.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	2.00
cycle time (mins)	30.00

A.10 EIR Alternative 2c & 4c – 4500 cfs – Max Year

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	tured Based on	Particle Size I	istribution			
Month Flow Rate (cfs)		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	2884	10852	3606	0	0	326	705	1248	741	437	115	29	6
February	3000	12349	4064	0	0	370	803	1420	829	478	126	31	7
March	3000	18312	6027	0	0	549	1190	2106	1230	708	186	47	10
April	2595	10080	3442	0	0	302	655	1159	720	451	119	30	7
May	3000	9282	3055	0	0	278	603	1067	623	359	94	24	5
June	3000	5705	1878	0	0	171	371	656	383	221	58	15	3
July	500	920	419	0	0	28	60	106	74	83	53	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	67499	22491	0	0	2025	4387	7762	4599	2736	750	188	42

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	44,981,597.36
yards	14,808.76
truck trips/year	987.25
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	8.00
Trucking Days	24.00
Total Work Days	29.00
truck trips/day	42.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.20
cycle time (mins)	14.29

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Max Year Flow Diversion	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

							Sediment Cap	tured Based on Particle Size Distribution						
Month Flow Rate (cfs)		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)	
January	1500	5644	1782	0	0	169	367	649	353	181	48	12	3	
February	1500	6174	1949	0	0	185	401	710	386	198	52	13	3	
March	1500	9156	2890	0	0	275	595	1053	573	294	77	19	4	
April	1500	5826	1839	0	0	175	379	670	364	187	49	12	3	
May	1500	4641	1465	0	0	139	302	534	290	149	39	10	2	
June	1500	2852	900	0	0	86	185	328	178	92	24	6	1	
July	935	1720	611	0	0	52	112	198	131	89	23	6	1	
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
December	768	1670	617	0	0	50	109	192	134	97	28	7	2	
	Annual	37684	12054	0	0	1131	2449	4334	2409	1287	340	85	19	

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	24,107,818.05
yards	7,936.73
truck trips/year	529.12
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	4.00
Trucking Days	12.00
Total Work Days	17.00
truck trips/day	45.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.50
cycle time (mins)	13.33

A.11 EIR Alternative 2c & 4c – 4500 cfs – Median Year

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

							Sediment Cap	tured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month Flow Rate (cfs		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	1826	7516	2782	0	0	225	489	864	601	439	126	31	7
March	1000	6104	2437	0	0	183	397	702	488	424	186	47	10
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1000	1840	734	0	0	55	120	212	147	128	56	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
	Annual	16547	6449	0	0	496	1076	1903	1324	1088	430	109	24

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	12,898,912.72
yards	4,246.56
truck trips/year	283.10
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	32.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.20
cycle time (mins)	18.75

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Median Year Flow Diversion						
River Sediment Concentration	Median					
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median					

			Total Settled Sediment (tons)				Sediment Cap	tured Based on	Particle Size I	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)		Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	675	2540	951	0	0	76	165	292	203	152	48	12	3
February	1500	6174	1949	0	0	185	401	710	386	198	52	13	3
March	1161	7087	2373	0	0	213	461	815	490	294	77	19	4
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1483	2728	863	0	0	82	177	314	171	89	23	6	1
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	795	1728	637	0	0	52	112	199	138	100	28	7	2
	Annual	20258	6773	0	0	608	1317	2330	1389	833	228	57	13

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	13,546,623.28
yards	4,459.79
truck trips/year	297.32
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	34.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.40
cycle time (mins)	17.65

A.12 EIR Alternative 2c & 4c – 4500 cfs – Min Year

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversio	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)					Sediment Ca	ptured Based or	n Particle Size	Distribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)		Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	nnual	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	991,062.51
yards	326.28
truck trips/year	21.75
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	8.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	0.80
cycle time (mins)	75.00

EIR Alternative 2c & 4c - 4500 cfs - Min Year Flow Diversio	n
River Sediment Concentration	Median
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median

		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution										
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)			Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)	
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
August	752	1635	606	0	0	49	106	188	131	96	28	7	2	
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
October	756	695	257	0	0	21	45	80	56	41	12	3	1	
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A	nnual	2330	863	0	0	70	151	268	186	136	39	10	2	

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	1,726,265.35
yards	568.32
truck trips/year	37.89
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	13.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	1.30
cycle time (mins)	46.15

A.13 EIR Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs Bethany – Max Year
EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Max Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

		Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution											
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)
January	2819	10607	3545	0	0	318	689	1220	731	437	115	29	6
February	3000	12349	4064	0	0	370	803	1420	829	478	126	31	7
March	2159	13179	4744	0	0	395	857	1516	1024	708	186	47	10
April	2000	7768	2852	0	0	233	505	893	621	444	119	30	7
May	2500	7735	2668	0	0	232	503	889	561	359	94	24	5
June	2500	4754	1640	0	0	143	309	547	345	221	58	15	3
July	500	920	419	0	0	28	60	106	74	83	53	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	57312	19932	0	0	1719	3725	6591	4186	2730	750	188	42

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	39,864,133.46
yards	13,123.99
truck trips/year	874.93
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	7.00
Trucking Days	21.00
Total Work Days	26.00
truck trips/day	42.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.20
cycle time (mins)	14.29

EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Max Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

							Sediment Cap	tured Based on l	Particle Size D	istribution			
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004- 0.002 mm (tons)
January	3000	11288	3478	0	0	339	734	1298	677	321	84	21	5
February	3000	12349	3805	0	0	370	803	1420	740	351	92	23	5
March	2500	15260	4880	0	0	458	992	1755	976	521	137	34	8
April	2030	7885	2649	0	0	237	513	907	548	331	87	22	5
May	2649	8196	2589	0	0	246	533	943	513	264	69	17	4
June	2544	4838	1541	0	0	145	314	556	307	162	43	11	2
July	902	1659	643	0	0	50	108	191	133	108	41	10	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	769	1672	663	0	0	50	109	192	134	114	49	12	3
	Annual	63147	20248	0	0	1894	4105	7262	4028	2172	603	151	33

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	40,495,092.46
yards	13,331.72
truck trips/year	888.78
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	7.00
Trucking Days	21.00
Total Work Days	26.00
truck trips/day	43.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.30
cycle time (mins)	13.95

Appendix A. Monthly Sediment & Sediment Disposal Calculations

A.14 EIR Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs Bethany – Median Year

EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Median Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

					Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution								
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	2000	8232	3022	0	0	247	535	947	659	471	126	31	7
March	1000	6104	2437	0	0	183	397	702	488	424	186	47	10
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1000	1840	734	0	0	55	120	212	147	128	56	14	3
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
ŀ	Annual	17263	6689	0	0	518	1122	1985	1381	1120	430	109	24

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	13,378,777.74
yards	4,404.54
truck trips/year	293.64
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	33.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	3.30
cycle time (mins)	18.18

EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Median Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

			Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution										
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)	Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	675	2540	1029	0	0	76	165	292	203	182	84	21	5
February	2204	9072	2986	0	0	272	590	1043	609	351	92	23	5
March	1380	8424	3110	0	0	253	548	969	674	489	137	34	8
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	1472	2708	994	0	0	81	176	311	217	155	41	10	2
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	583	1267	527	0	0	38	82	146	101	96	49	12	3
ŀ	Annual	24011	8646	0	0	720	1561	2761	1804	1272	404	101	22

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	17,292,096.08
yards	5,692.87
truck trips/year	379.52
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	3.00
Trucking Days	9.00
Total Work Days	14.00
truck trips/day	43.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	4.30
cycle time (mins)	13.95

Appendix A. Monthly Sediment & Sediment Disposal Calculations

A.15 EIR Alternative 5 – 6000 cfs Bethany – Min Year

EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Min Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution									
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)			Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	500	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	1087	496	0	0	33	71	125	87	98	62	17	4

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	991,062.51
yards	326.28
truck trips/year	21.75
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	8.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	0.80
cycle time (mins)	75.00

EIR Alternative 5 - 6000 cfs Bethany - Min Year Flow Diversion							
River Sediment Concentration	Median						
Particle Size Distribution Analysis Method	Median						

		Total Diverted Sediment (tons)	Total Settled Sediment (tons)	Sediment Captured Based on Particle Size Distribution									
Month	Flow Rate (cfs)			Settled > 1.00 mm	Settled 1.00- 0.500 mm	Settled 0.500- 0.250 mm (tons)	Settled 0.250- 0.125 mm (tons)	Settled 0.125- 0.0625 mm (tons)	Settled 0.0625-0.031 mm (tons)	Settled 0.031- 0.016 mm (tons)	Settled 0.016- 0.008 mm (tons)	Settled 0.008-0.004 mm (tons)	Settled 0.004-0.002 mm (tons)
January	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
February	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
March	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
April	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
August	752	1635	650	0	0	49	106	188	131	113	49	12	3
September	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
October	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Annual	1635	650	0	0	49	106	188	131	113	49	12	3

Notes: Based on CalSim3 runs without climate change provided by DCO March 25, 2021.

pounds	1,300,709.14
yards	428.22
truck trips/year	28.55
cy/cleanout	2,074.00
Dredge cycles	1.00
Trucking Days	3.00
Total Work Days	8.00
truck trips/day	10.00
hours/day	10.00
truck trips/hour	1.00
cycle time (mins)	60.00