

ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.01	12/11/2019						
				The actual draft and final soil testing results will be initially shared with			
				property owners. If the property owners wish to disclose the information			
				prior to publication of the geotechnical report, that information may be provided by the property owners. The geotechnical report will include the			
				results of the soil testing.			
				If any hazardous materials or other environmental hazards are encountered during the field work, property owners will be notified and			
		Barbara Barrigan-		notification of federal, state and local agencies in accordance with			
		Parrilla	during soil testing or field work?	applicable laws and policies will be coordinated with the property owners.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded
2.02	12/11/2019						
				Yes. The exploration locations will be documented with a survey			
				coordinates using current datums and a metallic pin will also be buried in			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Are you going to coordinate markers on each soil collection	the top of the wet backfill grout at each exploration to allow for future	Cash and Davids an	1/22/2020	
		Parrilla	point so levee impacts can be tracked by RD's?	locating with metal detection equipment.	Graham Bradner	1/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.03		David Gloski	Flow at the intake – At the last meeting someone asked about negative or reverse flow in the river at the intake. There was an instant response of no, never negative, but I sort of wonder what that looks like at high or low tide. That is a big issue out here and I personally would like to understand those flows at the intake during the complete tide cycle. Top, bottom, half tide rising (flooding), half tide falling (ebbing). At full "take"	The project would not significantly impact the magnitude of reverse flows that would already occur in the river/Delta system. The project would divert water until the tidal flow in the river approaches a preset minimum outward flow rate (i.e. towards the ocean). The diversion rate would be reduced proportional to the reduction in the outward river flow rate as the tide comes in. At some preset minimum outward river flow rate, diversions would be stopped by closure of the intakes. In summary, the project would only divert at the maximum capacity when the river flow rate exceeds a specific high preset outward flow rate. The diversion rate would be reduced in steps as the outgoing river flow rate declines and stop completely if the outward river flow rate reaches the preset minimum rate prior to a dominant incoming tidal flow rate.	Phil Ryan	1/22/2020	Responded
		Anna Swenson	Can we add to Map 8: Historical sites, cultural resources,	Public disclosure of the locations of archaeological resources and tribal cultural resources, including human remains, may make those resources vulnerable to theft and vandalism as well as be in violation of both federal and State laws. Because of this, these resources cannot be mapped for, or shared with, the public. Federal regulations include, but are not limited to, Section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 United States Code [USC] § 307103) and the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (16 USC § 470h). State regulations include, but are not limited to, California Government Code Section 6250 et seq. and Section 6254 et seq. Other State regulations such as Public Resources Code Section 5097 et seq. and Health and Safety Code Section 7050 et seq. cover the unanticipated discovery and treatment of human remains.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded



)#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.05	12/11/2019						
				DWR, as the CEQA Lead Agency, will conduct a CEQA analysis on the			
				proposed Delta Conveyance Project that includes analyzing potential			
			Is there a map reflecting the history of settlement of Native	impacts to cultural and tribal cultural resources, including descriptions of			
			peoples (Mr. Merlo offered to help coordinate data	the settlement of Native peoples in the project study area. However, DWR			
		Phillip Merlo	collection)?	does not have a map of these settlements at this time.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded
2.06	12/11/2019						
				DWR, as the CEQA Lead Agency, will conduct a CEQA analysis on the			
				proposed Delta Conveyance Project that includes analyzing potential			
				impacts to biological, cultural, and tribal cultural resources among many			
				other resource areas. To analyze potential impacts to biological resources,			
				an evaluation of the project study area, including Clifton Court Forebay,			
				will be conducted to identify plant communities and determine if existing			
				conditions provide habitat for any special-status plant or wildlife species or			
				is the location of any tribal cultural resources. As part of the cultural and			
				tribal cultural resources review, DWR will be providing Tribes the			
				opportunity, through consultation as required under AB 52 and DWR's own			
				Tribal Engagement Policy, to share information concerning native plant			
				species that are used for tribal medicinal practices and potential measures			
				for avoidance or mitigation. Cultural Resources work will be initiated			
				consistent with release of the Notice of Preparation. DWR has initiated pre-			
		Barbara Barrigan-		AB 52 discussions with the Tribes with potential ancestral territories in the	Consta Duralum on	1/22/2020	December 1
7	1/3/2020	Parrilla	around the Clifton Forebay used for tribal medicinal practices?	Deita.	Carrie Buckman	1/22/2020	Responded
)7	1/5/2020		NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act, not	Yes, NEPA is an acronym for the National Environmental Policy Act; the			
		Jim Wallace	"Protection" Act.		Nazli Parvizi	1/22/2020	Decranded
8	12/27/2019				110211 Falvi21	1/22/2020	Responded
U				DCA staff directory will be provided to SEC members at the January 22,			
		David Gloski	Directory for DCA employees?	2020 meeting.	Nazli Parvizi	1/22/2020	Responded
9	12/11/2019						
				The term "Temporary" in the CEQA document will be defined based on the			
				resource area and the nature of the activity. As part of the initial EIR			
				preparation, this term will be defined for each resource. Generally, for an			
		Anna Swenson	What is the definition of "temporary" in terms of years?	EIR, "temporary impacts" range up to 2 years.	Carrie Buckman	Responded	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
.10	12/11/2019			(CEQA), will decide the range of reasonable alternatives for the			
				environmental impact report (EIR).			
				CEOA requires that an EIR include a detailed analysis of a range of			
				CEQA requires that an EIR include a detailed analysis of a range of reasonable alternatives to a proposed project. CEQA requires that an EIR			
				evaluate alternatives to the proposed project that are potentially feasible			
				and would attain most of the basic project objectives while avoiding or			
				substantially lessening the project's potential impacts. Likewise, the			
				National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires that a range of			
				reasonable alternatives that meet the purpose and need statement of the			
				action be analyzed at an equivalent level of detail in an environmental			
				impact statement (EIS). Generally, a range of reasonable alternatives is			
				analyzed to define the issues and provide a clear basis for choice among			
				the options.			
				CEQA requires that the lead agency consider alternatives that would avoid			
				or substantially lessen any of the significant impacts of the proposed			
				project. However, numerous alternatives that have slight variations are not	t		
				necessarily required. The lead agency determines the alternatives to be			
				analyzed in detail in an EIR. Section 15126.6[a] of the State CEQA			
				Guidelines provides that:			
				[a]n EIR shall describe a range of reasonable alternatives to the project, or			
				to the location of the project, which would feasibly attain most of the basic			
				objectives of the project but would avoid or substantially lessen any of the			
				significant effects of the project, and evaluate the comparative merits of			
			Who decides what a reasonable alternative is, what makes an	the alternatives. An EIR need not consider every conceivable alternative to			
			alternative qualify as "reasonable" and to whom is the	a project. Rather it must consider a reasonable range of potentially feasible			
		Anna Swenson	alternative deemed reasonable?	alternatives that will foster informed decision making and public	Carrie Buckman	1/22/2020	Responded
1	12/11/2019		Clarification about how DWR will reflect and characterize SEC				
		General	participation in the EIR?	See attached memo	Carrie Buckman	1/22/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
.12	12/11/2019						
				The data presented in the "Land Use Map" at the December 2019			
				Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting was actually a "Vegetation			
				Map"and not a "Land Use Map." The map was based on 2016 satellite			
				data. The DCA has acquired 2018 crop type data from United States			
				Department of Agriculture (USDA) and updated this map. The DCA has			
				compiled land use data from adopted general plans of Contra Costa,			
				Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo counties and is developing a			
			Incorrect data on Map 7, cropscape is historically wrong. Will	Land Use map to be presented in a March Stakeholder Engagement			
		Anna Swenson	this be corrected?	Committee meeting.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded
.13	12/11/2019						
				The map presented at the December Stakeholder Engagement Committee			
				meeting was prepared with information collected in past studies. The			
				recreational areas shown on that map included fishing marinas, parks, and			
				wildlife viewing areas, that could be affected by noise, light, and air quality			
				emissions. The database used for this map also included support facilities			
				for the recreation areas, such as power poles. The database has been			
				updated using information from Califorinia state agencies and the updated			
				map with recreational facilities is being presented at the 2/26/20			
				Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting.			
				The database has been updated and a map including public schools,			
				hospitals, fire stations and local law enforcement was developed to			
				represent sensitive receptors. It is being presented at the 2/26/2020			
				Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting.			
				A separate map with publicly-available marinas, boat launches, refuges,			
				and habitat preserves has been completed and is being presented at the			
			What constitutes a recreational facility in terms of	2/26/20 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting. This map was also			
		General	representing sensitive receptors?	developed in response to Comment 2-15.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded
14	12/11/2019	1	Is there a map reflecting existing water infrastructure and				
			facilities such as intakes, diversion works and conveyance				
		General	facilities?	This map will be presented to the SEC during the February 12 meeting.	Karen Askeland	1/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.15	1/16/2020						
			Would it be possible for the upcoming packet to get a map				
			with the alignment for the tunnel that has the following: 1)				
			Highways, railroads any major infrastructure that is easy to				
			label. It needs a few more markers for users. 2) A legend for				
			miles. 3) Names of the islands through which it passes and				
			refuges public boat launches if time permits. That would be	All maps presented since January 2020 at the Stakeholder Engagement			
			helpful. It will make discussions easier. Across the board,	Committee meetings include major highways, railroads, legend in miles			
			people in the community are frustrated that the NOP map is	and names of the islands. A separate map with publicly-available launches,			
		Barbara Barrigan-	hard to read. We understand that it may be more conceptual;	refuges, and habitat preserves has been completed and is being presented			
		Parrilla	my request is for readability.	at the 2/26/20 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting.	Gwen Buchholz	1/22/2020	Responded
2.16	12/11/2019						
				The DCA is working with the US Army Corps of Engineers (levee owner) to			
				ensure that the construction of the intakes poses no additional flood risk.			
				The current plan for keeping the levees intact during intake construction			
				was presented during the January 22, 2020 presentation on intakes. To			
				address this issue, the DCA prepared a construction sequence animation			
				which showed how the levee and flood management protection would be			
				maintained throughout the entire construction period. This material is			
		Angelica Whaley	flood protection?	available online at dcdca.org.	Luke Miner	1/22/2020	Responded
2.17	12/11/2019						
				There are two bridges on one of the potential barge routes (from West			
				Sacramento to either barge landing) including the Rio Vista Bridge and			
				Three Mile Slough Bridge. The operations timing of the bridge would be			
				dependent on the specific bridge, river conditions and barge configuration,			
		Anna Swenson			Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
				and is estimated to be 15 to 50 minutes at each blidge.		512112020	Nesponded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.18	12/11/2019						
				This would be dependent on the port location, specific route, river			
				conditions (including tide, flow, and wind), and barge configuration. For			
				example, for the route between the Port of Stockton and Bouldin Island (a			
				one-way route of 17 nautical miles), under ideal river conditions, the barge			
				cycle could be completed in approximately 8 hours with 1 hour to load at			
				the port, 2 hours transit to Bouldin Island, 2 hours to return to the port,			
		Anna Swenson	What are round trip barge calculations?	and 1 hour to moor at the port.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
2.19	12/11/2019						
				In order to reduce truck trips and roadway congestion, conveyor belts can			
				be used to transport reusable tunnel material (RTM) from launch shaft			
				sites to storage locations. RTM conveyance will be discussed further at		2/42/2020	
2.20	12/11/2019	Anna Swenson Anna Swenson	Do the conveyor belts go across the island?		Luke Miner Luke Miner		Responded
2.20 2.21	12/11/2019	Anna Swenson	Features that could end up being permanent?				For Future Discussion
2.21	12/11/2015						
				As currently proposed, fuel tanks would be located at the larger			
				construction sites, including intakes, larger tunnel shaft sites, and the			
				Southern Complex. During construction, the fuel tanks would be installed			
				within security fences and would be above ground structures surrounded			
				by lined spill-prevention facilities. During operations, fuel tanks would			
				likely need to be located at the intakes and pumping plant for emergency			
				engine generators. These fuel tanks also would be located above-ground			
			Fuel stations aesthetics, whether they will be temporary or	within security fencing and lined spill-prevention facilities to protect			
i			permanent, if they will be underground or above-ground	surface water and groundwater. The fuel tanks would not be located			
			tanks, their proximity to schools and people and what safety	within the high-water mark of any on-site or adjacent drainages. All fuel			
1			operations are going to be used to ensure against	facilities would require permitting by the Regional Water Quality Control		r /27/2020	Descreted
		Anna Swenson	contamination?	Board.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
2.22	12/11/2019				
		Anna Swenson	Batch plants effects on air quality?	Dust issues at batch plants primarily occur as the dry ingredients are mixed together prior to the addition of water to make the concrete, slurry, or grout. The batch plants would be required to install the equipment that receives and mixes the dry ingredients within a shelter that includes large fans and air filtration equipment to minimize particulate matter (dust) from leaving the construction site. DWR will complete a full analysis of the potential effects on air quality and potential mitigation measures as part of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) compliance effort.	
2.23	12/11/2019				
2.24	12/11/2010	Anna Swenson	Map that depicts an interaction with the bridges?	Related to barge routes, the only bridges along the potenial barge routes would be the Rio Vista Bridge and Three Mile Slough bridge for goods delivered from the Port of West Sacramento. No bridges would be crossed for goods delivered from the Port of Stockton or Port of Antioch. Goods delivered from ports along San Francisco and San Pablo Bays would need to pass under the Carquinez and Benicia railroad bridges. Related to roadway routes, several bridges could require modification depending upon the final roadway options, as are shown in the map books. No railway bridges would be affected by the construction; however, another bridge would be constructed adjacent to the railway bridge across the California Aqueduct and a roadway overcrossing would be constructed over the railway bridge near Holt, California.	
2.24	12/11/2019			Pile driving could be used at numerous locations of the Delta Conveyance project, including the intakes. The January 22, 2020 presentation on intakes described the potential need for pile driving at intake locations. The presentation included exhibits prepared by an acoustic engineer and quantified potential noise effects due to pile driving at the intake sites, and the potential for noise reduction with several construction methods. This	
		Anna Swenson	Pile Drivers: How many sites, are they all at once, how close, duration?	material is available online at dcdca.org and further information on pile driving for other components will be presented at upcoming meetings.	Luke Mine

ıder	Date Responded	Response Status
uchholz	5/27/2020	Perpended
	3/2//2020	Responded
enzen	5/27/2020	Responded
ner	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
2.25	12/11/2019			There is currently only one barge landing for the Central Corridor at			
				Bouldin Island and one barge landing for the Eastern Corridor at Lower			
				Roberts Island. Each barge landing would be approximately 1,200 feet long			
				along the bank of the river or slough and would be constructed into the			
				existing levee to minimize extension into the waterway. The number of			
				barge trips per day would depend upon the goods to be barged and the			
				source location (e.g., Port of Stockton, Port of West Sacramento, Port of			
				Antioch).			
			Barges: Size, docking areas, bridges impact, how many barge				
		Anna Swenson	trips per day, how many docks for barges?		Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
2.26	12/11/2019			Ground improvement to strengthen the structural foundation of the soils			
				would likely consist of a combination of excavation of unsuitable soils			
				(such as peat soils), placement of compacted suitable and clean fill			
				material to induce consolidation prior to final construction, and			
				mechanically mixing of cement or similar materials to add soil strength.			
				None of these actions would result in introduction of contaminants to the			
				soil or groundwater aquifer.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Toxicity from soil strengthening, potential spread and impact				
		Parrilla	on sloughs?		Andrew Finney	5/27/2020	Responded
2.27	12/11/2019			DWR will analyze potential air quality impacts and mitigation as part of the			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Air quality around port of Stockton from increased barge and	EIR preparation.			
		Parrilla	train traffic?		Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
2.28	12/11/2019			Barge traffic along the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel and			
				Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel would operate in accordance with the			
				requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Port of West			
				Sacramento and Port of Stockton, respectively. In addition, the barges and			
				the associated tugboats would operate in accordance with requirements of			
				the U.S. Coast Guard and the Division of Boating and Waterways of the			
				California Department of Parks and Recreation. Notifications would be			
				provided to the U.S. Coast Guard and local marinas.			
			What are the anticipated waterway rules and process when				
		David Gloski	DCA construction barges are on the waterways?		Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
2.29	12/11/2019					5,2,,2520	
			How the testing, drying, run-off and on-site management of				
		General	reusable tunnel material will work?		Luke Miner		For Future Discussion
2.30	12/11/2019		Specifics of tunneling process, machinery used, material	The February 12, 2020 meeting includes a presentation that describes the	-		
		General	derived and its treatment?		Luke Miner	2/12/2020	Responded
L		General		Specifies of the turneling process.		2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
2.31	12/11/2019				
l		General	RTM testing, usage, drying, run-off and on-site management?		Luke Mine
2.32	12/11/2019			The Central Corridor currently includes a barge landing for Bouldin Island	
				along Potato Slough. The Eastern Corridor currently includes one barge	
				landing for Lower Roberts Island along the San Joaquin River/Stockton	
				Deep Water Ship Channel.	
		Gilbert Cosio	Specific discussions about the barge loading locations?		Jim Lorenz
2.33	12/11/2019				
				SEC Maatings 2.8 brook the project up into individual companyonts, each	
				SEC Meetings 3-8 break the project up into individual components, each with their individual requirements for imported material. For components	
				where a lot of import is needed, the presentations will include potential	
				import sites and invite committee feedback to provide additional	
ĺ		Jim Wallace	Is there siting information available for burrow pits?	considerations.	Luke Mine
2.34	12/11/2019			DWR will evaluate the potential effects of barge traffic and recreational	
				navigation activities in the waterways as part of the EIR preparation.	
			How barges used by DCA during construction would affect the		
		Karen Mann	recreational activities in the waterways?		Jim Lorenz
2.35	12/11/2019			Barge traffic along the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel and	
				Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel would operate in accordance with the	
				requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Port of West	
				Sacramento and Port of Stockton, respectively. In addition, the barges and	
				the associated tugboats would operate in accordance with requirements of	F
				the U.S. Coast Guard and the Division of Boating and Waterways of the	
				California Department of Parks and Recreation. Notifications would be	
				provided to the U.S. Coast Guard and local marinas.	
2.26	12/27/2010	Karen Mann	Waterways safety and usage during construction barging?		Jim Lorenz
2.36	12/27/2019				
				Intake screens would be sized according to current State and Federal	
				regulations which require that they be small enough to screen out juvenile	
				salmonids and Delta Smelt. In accordance with current regulations, an	
				intake water velocity of 0.2 feet per second would be required to ensure	
			Fishless intake system? Finds it hard to believe there are no	the safety of these fish as they swim close to the fish screens. This	
			fish in there. Can you explain how this would be fishless	question from December 2019 was answered in the January 22 meeting in	
i .		David Gloski	including tiny fish?	the presentation on intakes. The material is available online at dcdca.org.	

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
iner		For Future Discussion
enzen		Responded
iner	2/12/2020	Responded
enzen	5/27/2020	Responded
enzen	5/27/2020	Responded
iner	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.01	1/22/2020						
				We are working on a searchable Q&A database as a feature for our new			
				website. In the meantime, our Q&A is updated online at www.dcdca.org a			
				few days after our meetings and as needed. This can be found listed under			
		Anna Swenson	Can we have the question tracking packet in a digital format?	the Round Table section link.	Nazli Parvizi	2/12/2020	Responded
3.02	1/22/2020						
				The DCA includes labels for the names of the waterways on maps			
				produced for SEC meetings unless the additional text in combination with			
				other information on the map would be difficult to read. A map with			
				marinas will be provided at a future SEC meeting.			
			Is there any chance we could have the maps which are being				
			provided to SEC and Scope meetings to actually name the	The maps for the scoping meetings are part of the CEQA process; please			
		Karen Mann	waterways and show the location of Marinas?	consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Karen Askeland	2/12/2020	Responded
3.03	1/22/2020						
				At this time the corridors shown in the NOP do not appear to include East			
				Bay Regional Park District parks. The Central Corridor does include the land			
				with the Contra Costa Water District intake along Old River; however, the			
			What possible impact will the project have on the Park	future facilities would not be constructed in that parcel. If the irrigation			
			District's several properties in the South-Central Delta that are	leases are located on non-park lands, please indicate where those			
		Michael Moran	under irrigation leases?	properties are located for further analyses.	Gwen Buchholz	2/12/2020	Responded
3.04	1/22/2020			The geotechnical data currently being evaluated consist of project-specific			
				data collected over the past years by DWR, supplemented by historic data			
				from other agencies. The project data has been compiled and issued as			
				part of the administrative record for prior environmental permitting for			
				the California Waterfix project. The majority of the supplemental agency			
				data are publicly available through Caltrans and the California State Water			
				Resources Control Board. Water well data compiled by DWR is confidential			
		Anna Swenson	Can members have access to the recent geotechnical data	and therefore cannot be shared. There are other limited data provided by	Cwop Buchholz	2/12/2020	Decrearded
		Anna Swenson	collected?	specific agencies that are also subject to confidentiality requirements and		2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.05	1/22/2020						
				The approximate GPS coordinates for the intakes described at the January			
				22, 2020 SEC meeting are provided below. As discussed in the January 22,			
				2020 SEC meeting, the intake sites are preliminary and sites may shift in			
				location. These coordinates are for informational purposes only and are at			
				the approximate center of the intake sites.			
				Intake 🗈 Eatitude 🗈 Eongitude			
				Intake 2 38.406611 21.51307			
			Can we have the GPS coordinates of the three favorable intake				
		Anna Swenson	sites?	Intake 5 🖪 8.349012 🕅 21.532294	Karen Askeland	2/12/2020	Responded
3.06	1/22/2020						
				It is possible that geotechnical conditions may result in minor adjustments			
				to facility locations within currently identified intake sites; however, major			
		Jim Wallace	conducting could change where the intakes are located?	changes are not anticipated at this time.	Andrew Finney	2/12/2020	Responded
3.07	1/22/2020						
				The modified levees at the intake locations would be limited to a short			
				lengths on either side of the intake, and would be designed to the most-			
				current U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) standards. The modified			
				levees would be designed based upon numerical evaluations of hydraulic			
				and geotechnical effects on other levees upstream and downstream of the			
				new intake, including the levees across the river from the intake. Per the			
				USACE permit requirements under Clean Water Act, Section 408, the			
		Barbara Barrigan-		modified levees would be designed to not injure the function of the flood control project levees.	Croham Dradnar	2/12/2020	Desarrandad
3.08	1/22/2020	Parrilla	How will the new levee effect the other Delta levees?		Graham Bradner	2/12/2020	Responded
3.08	1/22/2020						
				Sodiment removal quantity calculations at the intervery would be dependent			
				Sediment removal quantity calculations at the intakes would be dependent on total diversion amounts which will be developed as DWR completes			
				operational modeling for the EIR. Therefore, total annual amounts of			
				sediment that could be removed at the intakes are unknown at this time.			
				Based upon previous studies for intakes in this portion of the Sacramento			
		Barbara Barrigan-		River, sediment quantities removed at the intakes could range up to			
		Parrilla			Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
.09	1/22/2020						
				The estimated amount of sediment to be removed at the intakes will be			
				calculated following the completion of the EIR operational modeling. When			
				the sediment volumes are calculated, the number and frequency of trucks			
		Cecille Giacoma	for hauling away sediment?	needed to haul sediment during operations will be calculated.	Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded
.10	1/22/2020						
				The bottom of the sedimentation basins at the intakes would be located			
				below the groundwater elevation. As described at the January 22, 2020			
				SEC meeting, the intakes, including the sediment basins, would be			
				surrounded by a slurry wall. Slurry walls would serve to isolate the			
				sediment basin volume from the surface water and groundwater to			
				minimize the potential for seepage either into or out of the sedimentation			
				basin. Based upon the geological information available for the intake			
				locations, it appears that there are adequate clay lenses below the bottom			
				of the sedimentation basin to isolate the intakes from surrounding			
				groundwater. Therefore, it is currently not anticipated that the basins			
				would require lining except for placement of riprap along the sides.			
				Additional geotechnical investigations would be completed prior to design.			
				The determination to provide linings for the basin would be based upon			
		Jim Wallace	,		Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.11	1/22/2020						
				After construction, the water level in the facility would be higher than the			
				surrounding groundwater. Also, the site would be surrounded by a slurry			
				cutoff wall. Based upon existing geotechnical information, it is anticipated			
				that the slurry walls would be extended to clay lenses to essentially isolate			
				the site from surrounding surface water and groundwater. Dewatering would be expected to be a more significant issue during the early			
				construction phases than during the operation phases. The DCA is			
				currently evaluating the estimated dewatering needs to maintain			
				groundwater levels suitable for construction. The DCA is also currently			
				evaluating estimates for operational dewatering needs, which will be			
				limited to periodically dewatering the basins for infrequent maintenance.			
				At this time, only limited geotechnical data is available near the intake			
			Will the sediment basin be lined, and if not, will the basins be	sites. Additional geotechnical investigations would be completed prior to			
			in groundwater from 4 or 5 feet below existing ground level	design. Final determinations for protecting the sites from seepage into or			
				out of the site and to quantify the dewatering needs would be revised			
		Jim Wallace	of the groundwater?	following the geotechnical investigations.	Andrew Finney	2/12/2020	Responded
12	1/22/2020		Is there any correlation with outside bends and in-migration				
	4/22/2020	Michael Moran	and out-migration of fish?	See Attached "A"	Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
13	1/22/2020						
				Consistent with the attached response to Comment 14, DWR intends to			
				consider and document analyses and other relevant biological information			
				supporting the assessment of siting, constructing, and operating intake			
				facilities on the Sacramento River in the EIR. Input from fish biologists, as			
			Can SEC members get answers to questions about the river	well as other relevant experts, and evaluation of alternatives using best			
		Barbara Barrigan-	bends even if it comes from fish biologists, since there is a	available science, will be a key component of the environmental planning	Coursia Dural and	2/42/2022	
		Parrilla	difference of opinion within the fish biology community?	process going forward.	Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.14	1/22/2020						
				DWR plans to assess changes to primary and secondary productivity			
				resulting from new operations as part of the analysis in the EIR. Operations			
				and maintenance of the fish screens would be intended to minimize the			
				buildup of biological material on the screen itself. If additional needs or			
				details, with regard to finer-scale food web changes associated with the			
				project, are identified through the scoping process or the effects analysis,			
				those will be considered as well. This comment is related to the scope of			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Will the impact analysis of the fish screen brushing on the food	DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA			
		Parrilla	web be performed to a microscopic level?	scoping process.	Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
.15	1/22/2020						
				The DCA intake analyses to date have focused on development of the fish			
				screen configuration. Operational issues, including those related to wildlife			
			Is there any consideration given to any type of unexpected wildlife that gets stuck in the sedimentation basin, such as	management and protection, would be evaluated as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting			
		Michael Moran	-		Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Pospondod
.16	1/22/2020			this comment through DWK's CLQA scoping process.	Γιιι κγατι	2/12/2020	Responded
.10	1/22/2020						
				The proposed intakes will include fish screens specifically designed to			
				exclude Delta smelt from entering the system prior to diversion using state	1		
				of-the-art fish screening meeting all regulatory requirements for Delta			
				smelt as developed by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and California			
				Department of Fish and Wildlife. Clifton Court Forebay is configured in a			
		Douglas Usia		manner that fish screens cannot be installed at the existing inflow location	Dhil Duan	2/12/2020	Deenerded
		Douglas Hsia	been reported to be happening at Clifton Forebay?	to Clifton Court Forebay.	Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
.17	1/22/2020						
				It could be possible to provide some type of vegetation at portions of the			
				intake locations following construction. Riparian habitat disturbed			
				upstream and downstream of the intake during construction could be			
				replaced in accordance with USACE and DWR criteria. Other areas on the			
				intake site could also be considered for habitat plantings. Upland habitat could be considered between the intake structure and the highway at the			
			Is it possible to incorporate a riparian zone into the design of	same elevation as the top of the levee. Irrigation could be provided to help			
			an intake facility, and would that be easier with the cylindrical	facilitate the diversity of plants. These concepts would be independent of			
		Sean Wirth	tee screen or vertical flat plate type?		Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded
8	1/22/2020						
				Specific decibel levels are not known for the screen cleaner mechanism.			
	1/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	What is the fish screen noise in decibels?	DCA anticipates further studies and analysis by acousticians.	Phil Ryan	2/12/2020	Responded
9	1/26/2020						
				The DCA is developing maps that indicate areas along the Delta waterways			
				that could be used by different size barges, areas that may not support			
				barge traffic, and the relative potential for waterways to support construction and operation of barge landings to serve potential			
			It was mentioned that there would be new barge routing and	construction sites within the NOP corridors (which included the Central			
				and Eastern Corridors). The information will be used by DCA to determine			
			for either the proposed eastern route or the westerly (original	the accessibility of potential tunnel launch shaft sites, as presented in the			
		Karen Mann	route)?		Luke Miner	2/12/2020	Responded
)	1/22/2020			The DCA is developing maps that indicate areas along the Delta waterways			
				that could be used by different size barges, areas that may not support barge traffic, and the relative potential for waterways to support			
				construction and operation of barge landings to serve potential			
				construction sites within the NOP corridors. The information will be used			
				by DCA to determine the accessibility of potential tunnel launch shaft sites,			
				as presented in the February 12, 2020 SEC meeting presentation.			
			Would the barge mapping change depending on which				
		Karen Mann	corridor is ultimately selected?		Luke Miner	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.21	1/22/2020			The DCA is developing comparisons of many factors to identify locations of			
				tunnel shafts, intakes, and forebays. There are numerous factors			
				considered in these comparisons, including availability of road, rail, and			
				barge access to construction locations. Examples of these comparisons will			
				be discussed at the February 12, 2020 SEC meeting and subsequent SEC			
				meetings.			
				However, the environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance,			
				including determination of effects on water quality, boating, traffic,			
				recreation, and other environmental resources will be completed as part			
				of the EIR by DWR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR;			
				please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping			
			Can you provide an effects comparison chart for SEC members	process.			
		Daukana Dauriaan	to compare the effects between rail, barges and roads? The				
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	chart should include effects on water quality, boating, truck		Current Durah hada	2/12/2020	December 1
2 22	1/22/2020	Parrilla	trips, etc.		Gwen Buchholz	2/12/2020	Responded
3.22	1/22/2020			Proposed shaft locations will be developed by the DCA and presented to			
				DWR for final selection of alternatives to be evaluated in detail in the EIR.			
				The initial basis of the DCA launch shaft siting analysis will be presented to			
				the SEC during the February 12, 2020 presentation. During the February			
				26, 2020 SEC meeting, the DCA will ask the SEC for feedback to help			
				finalize the proposed launch site locations.			
		Michael Moran	Are there yet any proposed locations for tunnel shafts?		Luke Miner	2/12/2020	Responded
3.23	1/22/2020			The NOP described the project with a capacity of 6,000 cubic feet per		2, 12, 2020	
0.20	_,,			second (cfs) with a possible range in capacities of 3,000 to 7,500 cfs. At this			
				time, the DCA is considering tunnel sizing design criteria for gravity flow			
				from the intakes to the pumping plant near the Southern Forebay. The			
				DCA is not considering design criteria for pressurized flow in the tunnel.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Will there be discussion about the flow capacity used and will				
		Parrilla	it be pressurized or not pressurized?		Terry Krause	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.24	1/22/2020			The State Water Resources Control Board or Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board will issue a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) permit to regulate water quality of stormwater and non- stormwater runoff from the construction sites. It is also possible that these regulatory agencies would issue a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit to regulate non-stormwater runoff from the construction sites. These permits would include monitoring and reporting requirements, such as the collecting and analyzing water samples of runoff from the construction site and in the receiving water body. The results of these analyses would be submitted to the regulatory agencies and could be posted to a publicly-available website.			
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Will there be real-time disclosure with water quality issues found during construction?		Gwen Buchholz	2/12/2020	Responded
3.25	1/22/2020			Locations, frequency, and times of scoping meetings are determined by DWR as part of preparation of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process. DWR informed us that four scoping meeting locations are in the Delta to provide multiple options for Delta residents, and that the venues were driven largely by space availability and size. DWR has indicated to us that the DWR staff would be available to attend additional meetings hosted by community groups to share information about the EIR Notice of Preparation (NOP) and to facilitate the submittal of scoping comments. DWR has assigned several staff to Delta Conveyance Project outreach, including staff that are actively reaching out to Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice Communities to schedule these types of meetings in locations convenient to the local groups. Anyone interested in more information about the EIR and associated scoping outreach, including for Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice communities, is encouraged to email the department at DeltaConveyance@water.ca.gov or contact their consultant, AG Innovations, at shelly@aginnovations.org; 707-823-6111 x 290. Please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	Why aren't there more meetings in Antioch and Rio Vista? Concern that the scoping meetings are not broad enough for		lanat Dr. data d	0/40/0000	
		Parrilla	the project.		Janet Barbieri	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.26	1/22/2020			DWR identified scoping meetings as part of the environmental compliance			
				effort. Based on feedback during initial scoping meetings, DWR is adding a			
				scoping meeting in Redding. DWR is also planning to consult with			
				interested tribes under Assembly Bill 52 and DWR's Tribal Engagement			
			Can additional scoping meetings for Northern, Central and	Policy.			
		Jesus Tarango	Southern tribes be held?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.27	1/22/2020	<u></u>		DWR did not identify the corridor through the Deep Water Ship Channel as			
				part of the proposed project in the NOP. However, this approach may be			
				considered as an alternative. These types of alternative concepts should be			
				submitted to DWR through the scoping process for consideration during			
				the alternatives formulation process.			
			Is the corridor that was proposed through the Deepwater				
		Douglas Hsia	Channel with an intake near Rio Vista still a possibility?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.28	1/22/2020			With these new proposed intake locations, the State Water Project would			
				have greater flexibility to adapt to climate change, manage rising sea			
				levels, function in the event of a natural disaster, and safely move water			
				during high flow events. This project could deliver water to a broad			
				geographic area to State Water Project Contractors and, potentially,			
				Central Valley Project contractors.			
		Malissa Tayaba	Why all of this for one region?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.29	1/22/2020			DWR will perform hydraulic and hydrodynamic modeling for the proposed		2/12/2020	
0				project and alternatives as part of the CEQA analysis. Modeling will be			
				used to estimate changes in velocity and elevation in the waterways at			
				intake locations and other locations in the Delta under different hydrologic			
				conditions. This information will be presented as part of the CEQA process.			
				DWR is planning a separate public outreach process related to CEQA to			
				discuss this and other issues addressed by the EIR.			
			Will there be some information provided to the committee				
			regarding hydraulic impacts such as water surface elevations				
		Mike Hardesty	and velocity?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.30	1/25/2020			DWR is modeling the proposed project and alternatives as part of the			
				CEQA environmental analysis. DWR will identify operations criteria so that			
				bypass flows (flows that remain in the Sacramento River immediately			
				downstream of the new intakes) are sufficient to minimize impacts,			
				including conditions that occur on the incoming (or upstream) tides in the			
				river system. DWR is planning a separate public outreach process related			
			Asking for initial modeling results around intakes per a prior	to CEQA to discuss this and other issues addressed by the EIR. This			
			email. Drought in wet years, various tides including the slack	comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting			
			tides, min and max take flows. Points of interest include the	this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
			flows at the downstream end of the intake, and even of there				
			is a stronger take on the upstream end of the intake leading to				
		David Gloski	what is necessary or optimum size along the river.		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.31	1/22/2020			See Attached "B"		_//	nespended
0.01	, ,		Why were Southern California reservoirs full when Northern				
		Maliaca Tayaha			Corrio Dualuman	2/12/2020	Descreted
2.22	1/22/2020	Malissa Tayaba	California reservoirs were empty during the last drought?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.32	1/22/2020			In the Notice of Preparation, DWR identified that the proposed project			
				could divert up to 6,000 cfs with two intake facilities. These intake facilities			
				are indicated on the NOP map along the Sacramento river between			
				Freeport and the confluence with Sutter Slough. DWR would not be			
				seeking new water rights for these diversions, but would apply to the State			
				Water Resources Control Board change in the point of diversion for its			
				existing water right.			
		Malissa Tayaba	How much water is being pulled out and from where?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.33	1/22/2020			DWR will assess potential impacts to fish and wildlife (including plants) and			
				associated habitat during future environmental compliance activities,			
				including the CEQA environmental review process. This includes potential			
				changes in water quality conditions, as well as potential changes in surface			
				water elevations and associated effects. This comment is related to the			
				scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through			
				DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
			Concerns include water quality, water levels rising and falling				
		Malissa Tayaba	and how that will affect fish and plants?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.34	1/22/2020		Will the pile driving vibration effects on the fisheries be	DWR will assess potential impacts to fish species as a result of pile driving vibration during future environmental compliance activities, including the CEQA environmental review process. In addition, it is expected future studies will be developed to gather more information on pile driving activities and associated effects, including potential alternative pile driving methods to reduce impacts to fish species. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
	1/22/2222	James Cox	studied?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.35	1/22/2020			The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance has not yet started. Mitigation plans have not been developed for the Project and restoration locations have not been identified. Preliminary mitigation and restoration information will be developed during the CEQA environmental analysis process. The environmental analysis is intended to identify potential impacts and, where feasible, potential mitigation for those impacts. DWR will assess potential impacts to State Parks through the CEQA environmental analysis process. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Michael Moran	What effect will restoration plans and mitigation plans have on state parks?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
3.36	1/22/2020			DWR routinely includes a set of best management practices in construction			
				contracts to address the potential for unanticipated discovery of			
				archaeological materials. The environmental analysis will discuss the			
				potential for impacts and will define mitigation measures aimed at			
				reducing the potential for cultural resources to be disturbed or destroyed.			
				This includes a measure that addresses the potential for "unanticipated			
				discoveries" during construction, including specific requirements for tribal			
				consultation, pre-construction awareness training, and requirements for			
				stopping work in the vicinity of such discoveries until such time that a			
				professional archaeologist is able to assess the discovery and work with			
				DWR, in coordination with the appropriate regulatory and/or tribal			
				authorities, to develop a plan for appropriate treatment. This comment is			
1				related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this			
				comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
			What is the process in place for any undocumented cultural				
ı		Michael Moran	sites that might be discovered during construction?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
3.37	7 1/22/2020			DWR has initiated environmental analysis for Delta Conveyance through			
				issuance of the NOP. The environmental analysis is intended to identify			
				potential impacts and, where feasible, potential mitigation for significant			
				impacts. DWR will notify interested parties, including the public,			
				throughout the State, including areas in southern California, as a part of			
				the CEQA environmental review process. This comment is related to the			
				scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through			
				DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
1			Do people in Southern California know that the project is				
	a / : a / a = = =	Malissa Tayaba	impacting villages in Northern California?		Carrie Buckman	2/12/2020	Responded
4.01	2/12/2020			As described in the Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Environmental			
				Impact Report (EIR) (published January 15, 2020), the proposal is for			
			Does the project set up a system where taxpayers are paying	physical improvements to the State Water Project (SWP) Delta conveyance			
			for the construction and also for the ramifications of the	system, as such project beneficiaries will pay project costs.			
		Anna Swenson	construction?		Gwen Buchholz	2/12/2020	Responded
4.02	2/12/2020			At this point in the project, the sizes and locations of the facilities under			
				the proposed project and the potential alternatives are being developed.			
				As more information becomes defined, the construction schedules for			
				facilities would be developed.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	What construction is going to be happening simultaneously			2/26/2020	
		Parrilla	throughout the whole project?		Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.03	2/12/2020			The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include evaluation of cumulative impact analysis of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions. The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will also include air quality impact analysis. These results could be considered in relationship with items included in AB 617.			
			Is there a cumulative analysis in order to understand the true impact of the project, especially for AB 617 communities in	This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Stockton who commute to Sacramento or the Bay Area for work?		Gwen Buchholz and Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.04	2/12/2020		When will members receive information about the cumulative	The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include evaluation of cumulative impact analysis of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Gil Cosio	impacts of the project?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.05	2/12/2020		How do you analyze the cumulative effects of existing	The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will describe existing water quality and evaluate changes in water quality related to construction and operation of the proposed project and the alternatives as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Anna Swenson	chemicals combined with new chemicals introduced into the environment by the project?		Gwen Buchholz and Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.06	2/12/2020		Will members be receiving a cumulative analysis of noise, air,	The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include evaluation of cumulative impact analysis of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions as part of the EIR. The cumulative impact analysis will be completed for each environmental resource considered under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), including noise, air quality, water flows, and water quality. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Anna Swenson	water, etc. impacts for all the construction that will be taking place throughout the Delta?		Gwen Buchholz and Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.07	2/12/2020			The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will describe existing and future traffic conditions without and with implementation of the proposed project or the alternatives as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.		2,20,2020	
		Barbara Barrigan-	Has there been outreach done to COG's for traffic analysis, and		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla	what are the real economic impacts?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
.08	2/12/2020			The EIR will describe existing and future conditions in accordance with			
				adopted city and county plans. The environmental impact analysis for			
				Delta Conveyance will describe existing and future road, rail, and			
				navigation traffic conditions without and with implementation of the			
				proposed project or the alternatives as part of the EIR. This comment is			
				related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this			
				comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	How will increased barge, rail and truck traffic out of the Port		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla	of Stockton affect Stockton's economic recovery?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
09	2/12/2020			The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will evaluate			
				changes in employment in a range of sectors with implementation of the			
				proposed project or the alternatives as compared to existing and future			
				conditions without the project. This comment is related to the scope of			
				DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA			
			What is the trade-off analysis between jobs generated by the	scoping process.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	project and potential jobs losses from small businesses that		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla	close due to construction?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
10	2/12/2020			The primary outreach effort to communities and agencies, including the			
				Port of Stockton, will be conducted as part of DWR's EIR process. This			
				comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting			
				this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
		Barbara Barrigan-	What kind of outreach is currently being done with the Port of		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla	Stockton?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
11	2/12/2020			DWR will evaluate the potential for harmful algal blooms through a			
				comparison of conditions with and without implementation of the project			
				and alternatives. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR;			
				please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping			
		Barbara Barrigan-		process.	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla	Can you provide information about harmful algal blooms?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.12	2/12/2020			The geotechnical data currently being evaluated consist of summary			
				reports, well drilling reports, and/or soil investigations by DWR (including			
				flood projects), Caltrans, and other state agencies. These data files include			
				confidential personal information (e.g., property owner names). Due to the			
				confidential nature of these files, most of the individual well logs and soil			
				borings cannot be released. Soil boring data was provided for several			
				locations in previous conceptual engineering reports for canal alignments			
				in the eastern and western Delta and a central-Delta tunnel alignment. Soil			
				boring data was also summarized in the following reports as part of			
				previous studies:			
				Draft Phase I Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report –			
				Isolated Conveyance Facility West, 07-12-2010, DWR.			
				Draft Phase I Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report –			
				Isolated Conveyance Facility East, 07-12-2010, DWR.			
				Draft Phase II Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report –			
				Pipeline/Tunnel Option, 08-22-2011, DWR.			
			DWR's boring data should be released to SEC members				
		Gil Cosio	without a PRA.		Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
4.13	2/12/2020			Transitions of the final restored highway location to the existing highway		2/20/2020	Responded
4.15	2/12/2020			would extend about 1000 to 1500 feet upstream and downstream of the			
				intake structures, depending on the site. The final roadway grade would			
				include small levee raises (about 1-3 feet). Riprap would extend a few			
				hundred feet, or less, upstream and downstream of the intake sheet pile			
				training walls. The exact extent depends on the hydrodynamic modeling			
				that has not yet been conducted.			
			How far upstream and downstream will new infrastructure				
		Jim Wallace	such as riprap or levee raises be put in place?		Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.14	2/12/2020						
4.15	2/12/2020	Jim Wallace		The dewatering water would be tested to determine if on-site treatment would be required prior to reuse or removal from the site. The treatment could range from removal of sediment to removal of other constituents. The treated water would be considered for on-site reuse, including use for dust control or mixing with slurry, grout, or cement materials. At this time, the volume of dewatering flows and water supplies have not been calculated for each construction site. Therefore, the need for off-site disposal of dewatering flows is not known. However, the dewatering flows would not be discharged to local drainages and stormwater facilities in a manner that would reduce capacity for continued use of these existing facilities by local lands or cause a rise in groundwater and seepage problems on lands adjacent to the drainages.	Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
		Jim Wallace	Where will water pumped in the dewatering process go?		Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
4.16	2/12/2020			As described at the January 22, 2020 SEC meeting, the intake construction site would be surrounded by a slurry wall. Slurry walls would serve to isolate the site from surface water and groundwater to minimize the potential for seepage either into or out of the construction site. The construction activities would require minimum dewatering and would not affect short-term or long-term subsidence. Additionally, based upon the geological information available for the intake locations, it appears that there are adequate clay lenses below the excavations to isolate the site from surrounding groundwater.	
		General	How will dewatering affect subsidence?		Gwen Buc
4.17	2/12/2020	Jim Wallace	Why is the Western portion of the Delta not being considered for this project?	DWR did not identify a western corridor as part of the proposed project in the NOP. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Gwen Buc Carrie Buc
4.18	2/12/2020			The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will describe impacts to the physical, biological, and human environment related to construction and operation of the proposed project and the alternatives as part of the EIR. The description of the project and the alternatives prepared by the DCA will include the conveyance facilities and modifications to existing infrastructures, including modifications or new power lines, roads, railroads, and barge landings. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	
			What are the construction impacts of building the		
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	infrastructure needed to support the project, such as power lines, additional roads, barge landings, rail terminals, etc.?		Gwen Buc Carrie Buc

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
uchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
uchholz and		
uchnolz and Suckman	2/26/2020	Responded
uchholz and		
uckman	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
4.19	2/12/2020			Construction in the proposed central or eastern corridors would not occur near Prospect, Briar, or Liberty islands which are located in the western Delta and along the Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel and lower Yolo Bypass. The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will describe impacts to hydrology, surface water elevations, and water quality throughout the Delta related to operation of the proposed project and the alternatives as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	
			What are the impacts to the hydrology, water levels and water		
			quality in the areas around Prospect, Briar and Liberty, and		Gwen Buc
		Mike Hardesty	how will those impacted be made whole?		Carrie Buc
4.20	2/12/2020			DWR did not identify locations of intakes outside of the Delta as part of the proposed project in the NOP. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA	
		Jim Cox	Why have intakes in the Delta at all?	scoping process.	Gwen Buc Carrie Buc
4.21	2/12/2020		How will you overcome the challenge of not disrupting RD routine levee maintenance during periods of high flood? How will we mitigate for the required seasonal and annual inspections to ensure reclamation districts are able to keep the	Reclamation Districts (RDs) have important requirements for maintenance, monitoring, and flood fighting. These efforts will need to continue during construction and operation of the Delta Conveyance facilities. During design, the DCA will coordinate with potentially affected RDs to understand their typical processes and annual schedules to minimize disruptions. The DCA will also work closely with the RDs to develop strategies and contingencies for high-water conditions to ensure their ability to maintain, monitor, and implement flood-fight activities during construction and operations.	,
		Anna Swenson	community safe?		Graham B

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
uchholz and		
luckman	2/26/2020	Responded
uchholz and	2/26/2020	Decreanded
luckman	2/26/2020	Responded
Bradner	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.22	2/12/2020			In July 2017, DWR had previously approved a conveyance project in the			
				Delta involving two tunnels referred to as "California WaterFix." In his			
				State of the State address delivered February 12, 2019, Governor Newsom			
				announced that he did not "support WaterFix as currently configured" but			
				does "support a single tunnel." On April 29, 2019, Governor Newsom			
i				issued Executive Order N-10-19, directing several agencies to (among			
l				other things), "inventory and assess [c]urrent planning to modernize			
				conveyance through the Bay Delta with a new single tunnel project." The			
				Governor's announcement and Executive Order led to DWR's withdrawal			
i				of all approvals and environmental compliance documentation associated			
l				with California WaterFix. The current CEQA process being completed by			
l				DWR will, as appropriate, utilize relevant information from the past			
				environmental planning process for California WaterFix but the proposed			
				project will include new alternatives and undergo a new stand-alone			
				environmental analysis leading to issuance of a new EIR. It would be			
				difficult to compare the California WaterFix alternatives to the new EIR			
				alternatives because they are different projects and due to the time lapse,			
				some analysis may be updated. of different assumptions used in the			
				current CEQA process as compared to previous analyses. This comment			
				could be related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this			
				comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			
			Is there is a comparison document that compares Mater Figure				
			Is there is a comparison document that compares WaterFix to				
			the new proposed project and highlights the key differences				
		Isabella Gonzalez-	from the administration's perspective and why those changes		Gwen Buchholz and		
4.22	2/12/2020	Potter	are being made?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.23	2/12/2020			Intake fish screens constructed along the Sacramento River near the City of			
l				Sacramento or in the Delta were smaller than the intake fish screens being			
			Has there ever been three intakes of a similar size utilizing tee	considered for the Delta Conveyance project.			
		Anna Swenson	screens within the same proximity on the same river?		Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
4.24	2/12/2020			The DCA may consider on-site acoustical surveys near potential			
-	,			construction sites to develop site-specific noise reduction methods. These			
				types of surveys would not be conducted until specific construction sites			
			Will acousticians conduct on-the-ground surveys in the actual	and methods have been developed.			
		Anna Swenson	Delta?		Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
í		AIIIId SWEIISOII				2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.25	2/12/2020			Since water level impacts would not be expected to require levee			
				modifications, impacts to the bank opposite the intakes would be			
				evaluated using the same river modeling described in a previous response			
				regarding localized erosive conditions. Given the results of similar			
				modeling previously conducted, impacts on the opposite bank would be			
			Will the other levees across from the proposed intake sites will	expected to be minimal.			
		Anna Swenson	need to be raised, widened, etc.?		Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
.26	2/12/2020			DWR did not identify flood management as an objective of the Delta			
				Conveyance project in the NOP. This comment is related to the scope of			
				DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA			
			Is there a possibility that the project itself could be used as a		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Mike Moran	flood control mechanism?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
.27	2/12/2020			As described at the January 22, 2020 SEC meeting, the intake construction		2,20,2020	Responded
_/	, ,			site would be surrounded by a slurry wall. Slurry walls would serve to			
				isolate the site from surface water and groundwater to minimize the			
				potential for seepage either into or out of the construction site. The			
				construction activities would require minimum dewatering and would not			
				affect short-term or long-term subsidence. Additionally, based upon the			
				geological information available for the intake locations, it appears that			
				there are adequate clay lenses below the excavations to isolate the site			
				from surrounding groundwater.			
			What will be the impact of dewatering and excavation on				
		Cecille Giacoma	aquifers?		Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
.28	2/12/2020						
				At this time. DCA does not have been under a finder independent of the			
				At this time, DCA does not have knowledge of detailed maps of the			
				groundwater aquifers in the Delta that extend across county boundaries to			
				form a uniform map or dataset. Agencies within Contra Costa, Sacramento,			
				San Joaquin, Solano, and Yolo counties are currently preparing			
			Can mambau have a detailed man identifying mean durater	groundwater management plans in accordance with the California			
			Can members have a detailed map identifying groundwater	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. Information from those efforts		2/26/2020	Deenended
		Cecille Giacoma	and aquifers in the Delta?	may be available in the future to prepare n uniform map.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.29	2/12/2020						
				The dewatering water would be tested to determine if on-site treatment			
				would be required prior to reuse or removal from the site. The treatment could range from removal of sediment to removal of other constituents.			
				The treated water would be considered for on-site reuse, including use for			
				dust control or mixing with slurry, grout, or cement materials. At this time,			
				the volume of dewatering flows and water supplies have not been			
l.				calculated for each construction site. Therefore, the need for off-site			
l				disposal of dewatering flows is not known. However, the dewatering flows			
1				would not be discharged to local drainages and stormwater facilities in a			
1				manner that would reduce capacity for continued use of these existing			
			Where will water extracted during the dewatering process be	facilities by local lands or cause a rise in groundwater and seepage			
		Jim Cox	disposed?	problems on lands adjacent to the drainages.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
4.30	2/12/2020						
				The largest extent of dewatering flows on the Delta Conveyance project			
				construction sites would probably be from the vertical tunnel shaft			
				locations which would extend less than 200 feet below the ground surface.			
				During design, soil investigations would be conducted which would include			
				observations of groundwater levels and odors from the borings. If odors,			
				especially due to high sulfide constituents, are present during soil			
				investigations, the on-site dewatering treatment process would include			
	0 /40 /2020	Jim Cox	Will the dewatering process create odors?	methods to minimize noxious odors on adjacent properties.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
4.31	2/12/2020						
				All soils excavated during construction, including reuseable tunnel material			
				(RTM), would be tested for the presence of constituents, including			
				mercury. The concentration of these constituents would be compared to			
				criteria developed by the SWRCB, Regional Water Quality Control Board,			
				California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife			
				Service prior to use in habitat projects, as well any other disposal proposal.			
				For soils with constituent concentrations higher than allowed criteria, soil			
		Barbara Barrigan-	What can be done with soil to create habitat projects due to	treatment could be used to remove specific constituents or other disposal	Curren Duekhala	2/20/2020	Descended
L		Parrilla	legacy mercury?	plans would be developed.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.32	2/12/2020						
		Barbara Barrigan-		The addition of soil conditioners (surfactants) is not anticipated to increase			
		Parrilla	Do soil conditioners aggravate the methylenation of mercury?	methyl mercury in the RTM.	Andrew Finney	2/26/2020	Responded
4.33	2/12/2020						
				We do not expect seepage from connecting tunnel segments due to the			
				construction method. The tunnel segments are put together within the			
				cylindrical steel shield of the TBM and seepage is controlled by multiple			
				wire brush seals as the segments are assembled together. The segments			
		Barbara Barrigan-		themselves are gasketed at all of the joints, essentially providing a			
		Parrilla	What is seepage when tunnel segments are put together?	completely sealed system.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.34	2/12/2020						
				DWR will be analyzing air quality in the environmental review. This			
		Barbara Barrigan-	What is air pollution from truck traffic and cement		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Parrilla			Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.35	2/12/2020	rairina		submitting this comment through DWK's CLOA scoping process.		2/20/2020	Responded
4.55	2/12/2020						
				The shaft construction process would require a large crane or milling			
				machine for the slurry panel excavation or panel excavator for if cutter soil			
				mix panels were used. A second crane would be required to support			
				operations for the panel construction (i.e. lifting the steel rebar reinforcing			
				cages into the panel excavations). Based on current information, the			
				loudest construction noise would generally be related to the motor noise			
		Philip Merlo	How much noise will be produced by shaft boring process?	from these two pieces of equipment.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.36	2/12/2020						
				At a tunnel launch shaft, a gantry style crane probably would be used for			
				support of the tunneling operations, and a temporary concrete pad would			
				be constructed around the shaft to allow for rails of the crane supports			
				and to provide a work area. The concrete pad would be temporary and			
				would be removed following construction. The concrete pad could be			
				approximately 189,000 square feet and about 6 inches thick, or			
				approximately 3500 cubic yards. This amount of concrete would weigh			
		Philip Merlo			John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.37	2/12/2020						
				Excavated soils, with or without peat, would need to be managed on-site			
				to prevent particulate matter, including dust and peat material, from			
				leaving the construction site boundary. At the tunnel shaft locations, the			
				excavated material (approximately 600 cubic yards from the vertical shaft			
				excavation) would be placed in areas to be managed to allow for testing prior to disposal or reuse. This will be analyzed in the environmental			
				document and any mitigation will be provided there. This comment is			
			How much peat dirt will be displaced in the process of	related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this			
		Philip Merlo	excavating?	comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Andrew Finney	2/26/2020	Responded
4.38	2/12/2020						
				Excavated soils, with or without peat, would need to be managed on-site			
				to prevent particulate matter, including dust and peat material, from			
				leaving the construction site boundary. At the tunnel shaft locations, the			
				excavated material (approximately 600 cubic yards from the vertical shaft excavation) would be placed in areas to be managed to allow for testing			
				prior to disposal or reuse. This will be analyzed in the environmental			
			When peat dirt is displaced, what mitigation efforts will be	document and any mitigation will be provided there. This comment is			
			made to make sure the peat doesn't increase the asthma	related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this			
4.20	2/12/2020	Philip Merlo	problems in the Delta?	comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
4.39	2/12/2020						
				The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include			
				evaluation of each environmental resource considered under CEQA,			
				including noise, air quality, and water quality; and development of			
			What types of mitigation will be provided to schools in terms	mitigation measures to reduce significant adverse effects. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Philip Merlo	of noise, air quality and water quality?	comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.40	2/12/2020						
				The notential tunnel alignments and shaft legations in the central and			
				The potential tunnel alignments and shaft locations in the central and eastern corridor are still being developed. At this time, it appears that two			
				tunnel launch shafts would be located within the footprint of the Southern			
				Forebay and 2 to 3 tunnel launch shafts per corridor would be located to			
		Anna Swenson	How many launch shaft pads are being proposed?	the north of the Southern Forebay.	Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.41	2/12/2020						
				Soil conditioners would only be removed from the RTM if determined to			
				be necessary as part of the testing program. Generally, the expected			
			Do soil conditioners need to be removed from the soil before	concentrations of conditioners in the RTM would not affect whether RTM			
	2/42/2222	Anna Swenson	it is reused?	would be available for reuse or disposal.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.42	2/12/2020						
				The soil material coming out of the tunneling or shaft excavations would			
				be conveyed to a Material Classification Area where it would be placed			
				within smaller segregated areas. These areas would be tested to identify			
				critical constituents related to the disposal or reuse of the RTM, including			
				constituents that would identify the RTM for hazardous materials and			
				contamination. Laboratory results would be used to define the			
		Anna Swenson	How is the safety of the soil determined?	appropriate, pre-approved storage, reuse or disposal locations.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.43	2/12/2020						
				All data related to barge and rail trips presented to the Stakeholder			
			Can the informational materials please represent barge and	Engagement Committee have been described as "round trips." Future			
		Anna Swenson	rail trips as round trips?	presentations will include the specific units.	Luke Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
4.44	2/12/2020			There are many places in the world where tunnels with similar features			
			Has there been anywhere a tunneling project with this	referenced have been constructed or are under construction, including			
			magnitude, soil condition, length, etc. has ever been	tunnels at the Port of Miami, Hong Kong (China), Madrid (Spain), and			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	performed?	Turkey.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.45	2/12/2020						
				The dewatering water would be tested to determine if on-site treatment			
				would be required prior to reuse or removal from the site. The treatment			
				could range from removal of sediment to removal of other constituents. If			
				the salinity is too high for on-site reuse or discharge to a receiving water			
				body, on-site water treatment could be considered or the water would be			
				discharged to a permitted disposal facility that allowed for discharge of			
				water with the high salinity. During design, soil investigations would be			
				conducted which would include observations of groundwater levels and			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	What is done with saltwater that is brought to the surface?	quality.	Andrew Finney	2/26/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.46	2/12/2020			DWR's enviromental review process will evaluate permitting requirements			
				for the proposed project and placement of the RTM at the construction			
				site for either temporary or long-term storage may require compliance			
				with specific measures in the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, a			
				type of Waste Discharge Permit issued by the SWRCB and Regional Water			
				Quality Control Boards.			
		Gil Cosio	Is RTM subject to waste discharge requirements?		Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
47	2/12/2020						
				The work areas at the tunnel launch sites would be placed on elevated			
			Do you plan to rehabilitate the levees at launch sites and to	pads to protect the site from the 200-year flood event, sea level rise, and			
		Gil Cosio	what level in order to protect construction operations?	wind fetch with a specified freeboard height.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
8	2/12/2020						
				All construction between tunnel shafts is anticipated to be located at the			
				TBM below the ground. Dewatering would not occur along the tunnel			
			Are there going to be activities such as dewatering, power	alignment between tunnel shafts. No pipelines would be constructed along			
			lines or pipelines between the launch shafts, in addition to	the tunnel alignment between tunnel shafts. Power line alignments have			
		Gil Cosio	construction of the launch shaft sites?	not been developed at this time.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
9	2/12/2020						
				Initial soil investigation methods were proposed and are being evaluated			
				through an Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (published in			
			Will the SEC members receive information about the soil and	November 20, 2019) by DWR. Water quality testing programs have not	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Gil Cosio	water testing program once it has been determined?	been developed at this time.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
0	2/12/2020						
				Tribal consultation is the responsibility of DWR. DWR is planning to consult	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Gil Cosio	Has DWR started consulting with tribes?	with interested tribes as required by law.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
51	2/12/2020						
			How should committee members treat hand-outs or other	Hand-outs or similar information provided by members of the public			
			information provided by the public, especially when the source	e should be treated as a public comment. Please ask DCA staff regarding the			
		Mike Moran	is not clear?	source of any information if it is unclear.	Josh Nelson	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.52	2/12/2020						
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Who is responsible for the weekly spoils testing reporting during construction?	During construction, testing of excavated soils would occur in compliance with monitoring requirements adopted by DWR in the Final EIR (with the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan) and in permits obtained by DWR and the DCA, including Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans for construction programs. While the DCA would likely conduct most of the testing as part of the construction process, compliance with monitoring plans and permits is ulitmately the responsibility of DWR.	Gwen Buchholz and Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.53	2/12/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	Will DWR be publishing soil and water testing data for the	Initial soil investigation methods were proposed and are being evaluated through an Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (published in November 20, 2019) by DWR. Water quality testing programs have not			
4.54	2/12/2020	Parrilla	public to see?	been developed at this time.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	How frequently will HAB data be reported and how accessible will it be to the public?	Harmful Algal Blooms (HAB) data currently are not included in most Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan construction permits. Historically, analysis for potential for algal blooms in the Delta rely on operational assumptions, including diversion patterns at the north and south Delta intakes, that will be evaluated in the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Gwen Buchholz and Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.55	2/12/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	How many miles are between the Eastern Corridor's Launch Site B to the Port of Stockton?	The potential Launch Site B presented in the February 12, 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting was approximately 3 to 4 miles from the Port of Stockton.	Graham Bradner	2/26/2020	Responded
4.56	2/12/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	Has there been any analysis on how far away the top end of	The screening process presented in the February 12, 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting considered avoidance of construction within adopted city spheres of influence boundaries. The initial launch			
4.57	2/12/2020	Parrilla	Launch Site B is from urban housing to the east and north?	shaft sites were at least one mile from housing.	Graham Bradner	2/26/2020	Responded
,	_,,,	Anna Swenson	Will conveyor belts will be moving RTM across farmland to the drying areas?	Conveyors could be located either within a construction site or parallel to roads to minimize vehicle use. The specific uses for conveyors are currently being developed and will be discussed at future Stakeholder Engagement Committee meetings.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.58	2/12/2020						
				The preliminary construction schedule is currently estimated at 13 years.			
				More detailed schedules are under development and would depend on			
				identified tunnel drive lengths. Construction schedules will be discussed at			
		Anna Swenson	Is the build still anticipated to take 13 years?	-	Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
.59	2/12/2020						
				Labor estimates will be developed on a monthly basis for each			
				construction sites. In addition, use of centralized parking areas, mobile			
				food trucks, and centralized material consolidation centers are being			
				considered as methods to reduce vehicle traffic during construction. These			
			What is the anticipated labor load for each shift and the plan	items will be discussed at future Stakeholder Engagement Committee			
		Peter Robertson	for caring and feeding of those individuals?	meetings.	Gwen Buchholz	2/26/2020	Responded
60	2/12/2020						
				Specific construction sites are still being identified. However, based on the			
				tunnel launch shaft areas presented at the Stakeholder Engagement			
				Meeting on February 12, 2020, the tunnel launch shaft would be at least			
		Jim Cox	How close is this construction to residential areas?	one mile from residential areas.	Graham Bradner	2/26/2020	Responded
61	2/12/2020						
				The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include			
				evaluation of road traffic on operable bridges to allow for barge traffic.			
			Is it feasible to use barges at all, since opening the bridges	This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Douglas Hsia	stops the traffic in both directions?	submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
62	2/12/2020						
				Currently, the DCA is considering construction of railyards adjacent to the			
				railroad tracks at locations along the Interstate 5 corridor. Materials would			
				be moved on conveyors and/or trucks from the new railyards to and from			
				the tunnel launch sites. At the tunnel launch shafts in the southern Delta,			
			Is new rail siding needed on existing rail lines if rail is used, or	the DCA is considering extension of the new sidings to the tunnel launch			
		Jim Wallace	will DCA build a spur to the launch sites?	shaft sites. Any changes would be subject to environmental review.	Jim Lorenzen	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.63	2/12/2020						
				During the design phase, there will be an exploration program to identify			
				and detect buried and/or abandoned water, natural gas and oil wells to			
				allow for removal of the wells prior to tunnel construction. During			
				construction, gas detection methods will be used for flammable gasses.			
				The mechanisms used for tunnel liner construction would provide a sealed			
			How will pockets of gas and water be avoided during	work area and protect the boring machine and workers from water			
		Karen Mann	tunneling?	intrusions.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.64	2/12/2020			Tunnels would be constructed in accordance with the laws of the Tunnel			
			What effect does that (i.e., pockets of gas) have on the	Safety Orders (TSO) that are administered by Cal/OSHA to protect worker			
		Karen Mann	employees underground?	safety.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.65	2/12/2020						
				During construction, gas detection methods will be used for flammable			
				gasses. The potential condition for encountering a gas or oil pocket is			
				covered under the Tunnel Safety Orders administered by Cal/OSHA. These			
				laws dictate the safe working environment as well as the conditions that			
				may require removal of workers from the tunnel until they are mitigated.			
				One of the most typical mitigations required includes increasing the			
				amount of ventilation to the affected area. The mechanisms used for			
			What happens if you accidentally pierce a pocket of gas, oil or	tunnel liner construction would provide a sealed work area and protect the			
		Karen Mann	water during tunneling?	boring machine and workers from water intrusions.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.66	2/12/2020						
i				The equipment placed in the tunnel behind the TBM would include			
				ventilation equipment, as will be discussed in upcoming Stakeholder			
		Mike Moran	How are the tunnels ventilated?		John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.67	2/12/2020					1	
				The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include			
			If the top of the tunnel is about 100 ft below surface, will these	evaluation of cultural resources, including potential areas with human			
			depths still be in the range of human habitation considering		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Mike Moran	the deposition of the Delta over the years and sea level rise?		Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.68	2/12/2020						
				Due to the lengths of the tunnel drives and the locations of the potential			
				construction sites, first responders could be required to be located at most			
				of the construction sites to provide response in the required time limits.			
				With or without on-site first responders, all fire, police, ambulance, and			
				hospitals in the area would be notified prior to and during construction of			
				major construction activities and potential traffic considerations along			
Ì			How will first responders be informed of all the construction	roadways. The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include evaluation of emergency services. This comment is related to the			
i			and be able to respond to emergencies that occur in the	scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through			
		Jim Wallace	tunnel?		Phil Ryan	2/26/2020	Responded
4.69	2/12/2020						
				The greatest ground motions in a seismic event would occur near the			
				ground surface. At the depths of the TBM and tunnel, the structure would			
			How does tunneling operate in regards to potential for seismic	probably tend to move together with the surrounding ground and not be			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	issues due to the tunneling and the motion of the drives?	adversely affected by seismic forces.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.70	2/12/2020						
				During the design phase, soil investigations would identify soil types and			
				groundwater pressures by location to allow for planning of adequate soil			
				conditioners and TBM face pressures. Control of the amount of ground loss	;		
				through the TBM face would be an important factor in controlling the			
				ground surface and reduce the potential of ground surface settlement.			
				Conditioning of excavated soil would help to control movement of material			
			What is the subsidence potential for hitting various unknowns	through the screw auger. The TBM operator would coordinate the TBM advance rate with the amount of material moving through the screw auger			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	such as sand lenses?		John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.71	2/12/2020						
				The applied TBM face pressure would be balanced against the soil and			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	How does tunneling work in an unconsolidated soil type?		John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.72	2/12/2020						
				The greatest ground motions in a seismic event would occur near the			
				ground surface. At the depths of the TBM and tunnel, the structure would			
				probably tend to move together with the surrounding ground and not be			
	<u> </u>	Dr. Mel Lytle	What is the seismic vulnerability of the tunnel itself?	adversely affected by seismic forces.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded



D#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.73	2/12/2020						
				The tunnel would be designed for seismic ground motions and forces			
				generated using state-of-the-art seismic design modeling. Applicable			
				engineering factors of safety for these dynamic forces would be used in the			
		Dr. Mel Lytle	How is the lining of the tunnel rated on seismic strength?	structural design.	John Caulfield	2/26/2020	Responded
4.74	2/12/2020						
				The purpose of the Stakeholder Engagement Committee is to create a			
				forum for Delta stakeholders to provide input and feedback on			
				technical/engineering issues. The DCA is interested in considering criteria			
			Can the SEC members provide the criteria they find important	identified by the Stakeholder Engagement Committee. However, it must			
			and have DCA perform additional studies to determine how	be noted that this process is not part of DWR's CEQA process which will			
			that geography might change through refinement or by	determine the impacts and identify necessary mitigation measures of the	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Sean Wirth	shifting the priority levels?	proposed project and alternatives.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
4.75	2/12/2020						
				DWR identified the general intake locations as part of the proposed project			
				in the NOP. Alternative intake locations should be submitted to DWR			
				through the scoping process for consideration during the alternatives			
				formulation process. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR;			
			Should the committee also be considering different sites for	please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping	Gwen Buchholz and		
		Karen Mann	the intakes?	process.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Responded
1.76	2/12/2020			The Independent Technical Review Committee assessment is included in			
			Can SEC members please have a copy of the Independent	the handouts for the February 26, 2020 Stakeholder Engagement			
		Cecille Giacoma	Technical Review Committee assessment results?	Committee meeting.	Luke Miner	2/26/2020	Responded
1.77	2/12/2020						
				The DCA has scheduled tours of both corridors for up to 8 SEC members at			
				a time, available on a first-come, first-served basis. Emails with dates and			
			Can members tour intake facilities to see examples of flat	further coordination details have been sent to members. Please contact			
		General	panel screens and cylindrical screens?	ValerieMartinez@dcdca.org to sign up.	Valerie Martinez	2/26/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.78	2/12/2020						
				Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling			
				based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are			
				generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent			
				projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S surfactants			
				(i.e. detergents), available from the manufacturer Boraid Products, mixed			
				with clean water as a foaming conditioner. Sometimes, a cellulose product,			
				like Soilax C, is added into the conditioner mix to provide added strength			
				to the soap bubbles, which helps when the conditioner is injected into			
				certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for different soil			
				conditions. These include such products available from Mapei Products.			
				These are just examples of some products that could be used. The			
			Can members have a list of soil conditioners considered for	construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert			
		Cecille Giacoma	use? What is the composition of soil conditioners?	(chemically inactive).	John Caulfield	3/11/2020	Responded
4.79	2/12/2020						
				MSHA has jurisdiction over mines (i.e., places where minerals are			
				extracted) and related facilities. This does not include water conveyance			
				tunnels. (MSHA Program Policy Manual, Section I.4-1) The proposed			
		Jim Wallace	Health Administration (MSHA)?	project would not qualify as a mine.	Josh Nelson	2/26/2020	Responded



D#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
4.8	2/13/2020						
			A report from DWR documented their observation of cracking				
			that occurred on the Grand Island Steamboat Slough levee				
			during the last drought. As I mentioned yesterday, my				
			observations, which were confirmed by an independent				
			geotechnical engineer hired by Mr. Knickerbocker, lead to the				
			conclusion that the loss of moisture due to the presence of				
			trees on the levee slope and along the property line near the				
			house caused subsidence and cracking of the ground and				
			levee. This is a common feature on levees where trees exist				
			near the landside levee crown, however, this case is much more severe based on the number of trees. It's my concern				
			that as the water table drops during dewatering, the same will				
			occur on a much larger basis as the porous sands (some		Gwen Buchholz and		
		Gil Cosio		DCA intends to provide a response at a future meeting.	Carrie Buckman	2/26/2020	Follow Up
5.01		Cecille Giacoma	Where are the alternatives that are being suggested in scoping		Carrie Buckman	2/20/2020	
5.01	2,20,2020		meetings?				
				Alternatives are developed by DWR as part of completion the EIR in			
				accordance with CEQA, including consideration of scoping comments.			
				Scoping comments will inform the development of alternatives. At this time, DWR has only asked DCA to evaluate the proposed project corridors			
				specified in the NOP. Because it is more cost-effective to evaluate different			
				flow capacities at one time, DWR also asked DCA to evaluate a flow			
				capacity of 6,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) and three different flow			
				capacities as alternatives (3,000, 4,500, and 7,500 cfs). However, it is not a			
				commitment that the alternate flow capacities will be analyzed in detail			
				as alternatives.			Responded



)#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.02	2/26/2020	Lindsey Liebig	Will the alternatives that come out of the CEQA process based		Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
			off of scoping comments be given the same consideration as				
			the options being presented to the SEC?	All options suggested during the scoping process will be analyzed for their			
				ability to meet the project objectives and/or reduce environmental effects.			
				Based upon the review of the options, DWR will determine which			
				alternatives will move forward for further analysis in the EIR. Many of			
				the scoping comments that have been submitted at this time include a			
				wide range of options to be considered. At the end of the scoping process,			
				the entire range of options will be reviewed, and a final range of			
				alternatives will be identified to be included in the EIR for analysis at a			
				similar level of detail.			
							Responded
5.03	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	If the Central Corridor really isn't feasible engineering wise, is		Phil Ryan	3/11/2020	
		Parrilla	it really worth the committee's time?				
				The ITR report is merely a single data point. As such, it is being considered			
				with the evaluation results of many design, construction, and operations			
				considerations. The ITR report only considered a subset of the engineering			
				and geographical issues relevant to tunnel construction activities as noted			
				by several tunnel construction contractors and tunnel manufacturers, and			
				does not represent detailed conclusions about Central or Eastern Corridor			
				options. Moreover, the ITR expressly did not consider other relevant			
				environmental factors that will be consider through the CEQA process.			Responded
5.04	2/26/2020	General	Inform SEC members immediately when there is a technical		Kathryn Mallon	3/11/2020	,
			report released that may be of concern or interest to the	As future ITR reviews are completed, that information will be provided to			
			community.	the SEC. However, consistent with prior DCA Board direction, ITRs will be			
				publicly presented at DCA Board meetings.			Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.05	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson		The ITR report's note reflects the fact that the current tunnel corridors do not contain active faults and tunneling options themselves would not be uniquely affected by seismic considerations. It was not expressing any opinion regarding the need for or benefit of Delta Conveyance for providing increased seismic reliability to the State Water Project. On this point and in 2014, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) estimated that there was a 72 percent probability of a magnitude 6.7 or greater earthquake (a "major event") occurring in the San Francisco Bay Area by 2043. Levees in portions of the Delta could be at risk of failure in the event of a "major event," such as an earthquake of at least magnitude 6.7. If the levee failures occur in portions of the western, central, or southern Delta, the reliability of freshwater SWP diversions at Clifton Court Forebay could be	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Bespended
5.06	2/26/2020	Douglas Hsia	Should add tribal and historic sites to the evaluation matrix for	compromised. The environmental impact analysis for Delta Conveyance will include evaluation of cultural resources and historic sites.	Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	Responded Responded
5.07	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace		The SEC meetings that started in December 2019 and will continue at this time have sought SEC feedback on siting design of individual features. The March 11 SEC meeting will present the siting and basic design of each feature and will seek SEC feedback on these topics. The reason that this has not been presented earlier is that the siting and design for this project has only recently progressed to this level, and is continuing to be updated for consideration in the EIR.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	Responded
5.08	2/26/2020	Barbara Keegan		The CEQA process will evaluate benefits, as well as adverse effects, of the alternatives. If there are items related to consideration of developing community benefits as part of an option; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	Responded
5.09	2/26/2020	Barbara Keegan		Opportunities to include community benefits will be discussed at future SEC meetings following presentation of the DCA plans for the initial options. If there are items related to consideration of developing community benefits as part of an option, please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.1	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Could members have a tour of the proposed intake sites in order to better understand where the facilities would be sited?	PDCA will add a tour of the proposed intake sites to the list of tours DCA staff is currently arranging.			Responded
5.11	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	At the last meeting, a letter from a member was shared that said the intakes at these locations could not be approved by the Water Resources Control Board and Delta Stewardship Council during the WaterFix project. What has changed since the previous project to make the proposed intake sites viable?	This statement does not accurately reflect the history of the California WaterFix project. During the previous California WaterFix project, the evaluation of the application for Change in Point of Diversion to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and the appeal of the Certification of Consistency by the Delta Stewardship Council were not completed because the California WaterFix project was withdrawn. Although there were many questions discussed in hearings conducted through these processes and requests for additional information, the change petition and Certification of Consistency process did not make final findings regarding on the previous project. As the Delta Conveyance Project continues, new water rights applications and Certification of Consistency, as well as many other permit applications, are expected to be prepared for review by the regulatory agencies.		3/11/2020	Responded
5.12	2/26/2020	David Gloski	Heritage would be an important factor to add to the siting ranking criteria. In one of the previous meetings a comment was made about staying out of environmental considerations. How can at least some high-level aspects of environmental considerations be completely disregarded in the ranking of potential sites?	The DCA siting analyses presented at the SEC are focused on design and construction considerations of physical facilities. Environmental considerations will be evaluated as part of CEQA and may require iterative review of sites through the engineering siting studies. The EIR will describe impacts to the physical, biological, and human environment, including considerations for heritage uses, related to construction and operation of the proposed project and the alternatives as part of the EIR. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.13	2/26/2020	Barbara Keegan	It would be important to put the ITR into context, including how the ITR is the opinion of one group of people.		Phil Ryan	3/11/2020	
				The ITR report is merely a single data point. As such, it is being considered			
				with the evaluation results of many design, construction, and operations			
				considerations. The ITR report only considered a subset of the engineering			
				and geographical issues relevant to tunnel construction activities as noted			
				by several tunnel construction contractors and tunnel manufacturers, and does not represent detailed conclusions about Central or Eastern Corridor			
				options. Moreover, the ITR expressly did not consider other relevant			
				enviromental factors that will be consider through the CEQA process.			Responded
5.14	2/26/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	The proposed project is a 40-foot diameter TBM that is	environnentar factors that will be consider through the cLoA process.	Graham Bradner	3/11/2020	Responded
5.14	2,20,2020		tunneling 40 miles. There may be four TBM's, but the process			5/11/2020	
			is the same. What happens if the TBM gets stuck? What about				
			safety in the tunnels?				
				There will be multiple TBM's on the project and they are all expected to			
				utilize a pressurized face method of excavation (Earth Pressure Balance			
				and/or Slurry Shield TBMs). Maintenance shaft spacing would be about			
				every 5 miles and would be sized to allow for major repairs of the TBM at			
				those locations, if necessary. Because the TBM would have major			
				maintenance reviews and repairs approximately every 5 miles, it would not			
				require major repairs between the shafts. The specifications would also			
				require that many of the major TBM parts like the main bearing, seals, and			
				other parts would be replaceable from within the tunnel in case some			
				repairs are necessary between shafts. This approach is actually more			
				conservative than that recommended by the ITR. Worker safety in tunnels			
				is dictated by the regulations provided under Cal/OSHA's Tunnel Safety			
				Orders, which are very prescriptive in terms of the working conditions for			
				such essential items as adequate ventilation, illumination, ingress/egress,			
	_ / /			and other items to comprehensively address worker safety.			Responded
5.15	2/26/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	Will the ITR's recommended adjustments to the NOP corridors		Phil Ryan	3/11/2020	
			be considered as an alternative?	The ITR team's recommendation will be considered as an option in the			
				scoping process in the same way that other suggested options are			
				considered. DWR will evaluate the options to develop alternatives that will			
				reduce impacts.			Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.16	2/26/2020	Jesus Tarango	What economics effects will we see if those people reliant on		John Caulfield	3/11/2020	
			the Delta lose its use?				
				The EIR will include evaluations of land use, agricultural use, population			
				and housing, aesthetics, public services, recreation, and utilities that could			
				be used by people who rely upon the Delta for their work and homes. This			
				comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting			
				this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			Responded
5.17	2/26/2020	Jesus Tarango	Why are the tribes being forced to sit idly by while they watch		Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
			the destruction of land that we once called home to our				
			ancestors and remain the final resting place for so many?	The EIR will include evaluation of historic land use and cultural resources			
				associated with people who are presently and historically with the Delta.			
				Tribal consultation is the responsibility of DWR. DWR is planning to consult			
				with interested tribes as required by law. This comment is related to the			
				scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through			
				DWR's CEQA scoping process.			Responded
5.18	2/26/2020	Jim Cox	How long would it take a salmon fry to move past ¼ mile of		Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
			intakes and how many times would that fry have to swim back				
			out of the flow? Is it possible that the outgoing tide at the				
			lower end of the screen will be full of dead fish that didn't				
			have the stamina to continue swimming for the entire length				
			of the intake, and how has that been factored into the design?	The fish passage time across the intakes would depend upon the flow			
				velocity in the Sacramento River, depth of the water, and fish swimming			
				patterns across the river and along the river banks, which varies by fish			
				species. The intake would be designed and permitted in accordance with			
				design criteria established by fish biologists for the National Marine			
				Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and California Department			
				of Fish and Wildlife. The permit is likely to include many items, such as			
				requirements for fish refugia along the intake structure to provide a space			
				without fish screens to allow fish to rest. During the permitting process,			
				fisheries biologists will be analyzing the effects of the intake structures and			
				screens on a range of fisheries species, including Delta smelt, salmon, and			
				steelhead. This comment is related to the scope of DWR's EIR and other			
				permitting processes; please consider submitting this comment through			
- 10	2/26/2020			DWR's CEQA scoping process.			Responded
5.19	2/26/2020	Angelica Whaley	Does the Department of Fish and Wildlife (DFW) undergo the		Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
			CEQA process in their decision as to where the intakes would	The criteria developed by the regulatory agencies, such as California			
			go?	Department of Fish and Wildlife and the federal fishery agencies, have			
				undergone peer review. Application of the criteria are part of description			
				of the alternatives in the EIR and evaluated in the EIR in accordance with			
				CEQA.			Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.2	2/26/2020	Angelica Whaley	Is there an option to have more intakes with a smaller		Phil Ryan	3/11/2020	
			capacity?	DWR identified three intake locations and a range of capacities to be			
				considered in the NOP, and asked the DCA to develop plans for these options. This comment considering additional options is related to the			
				scope of DWR's EIR; please consider submitting this comment through			
				DWR's CEQA scoping process.			Perpended
5.21	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Why does the tunnel need to go 40 miles when it looks like	DWR'S CEQA Scoping process.	Cwon Ruchholz	3/11/2020	Responded
5.21	2/20/2020	Karen Mann	Why does the tunnel need to go 40 miles when it looks like		Gwen Buchholz	3/11/2020	
			there is a straight shot from around Antioch to Clifton				
			Forebay?	DWR identified the proposed project with intakes to be located along the			
				Sacramento River to the north of Walnut Grove and a tunnel that would			
				extend to a Southern Forebay near Clifton Court Forebay. This comment			
				considering additional options is related to the scope of DWR's EIR; please			
				consider submitting this comment through DWR's CEQA scoping process.			Responded
5.22	2/26/2020	David Gloski	Would tunnel segments still be lowered into the tunnel from		Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
			launch shafts even if there was a maintenance shaft available?				
				As currently propossed, the maintenance shaft sites would only be sized to			
				remove the cutter head. The launch shaft sites would be sized to lift the			
				segments into the tunnel, tunnel boring machine trailing gear, and			
				reusable tunnel material handling and storage. The large launch shaft site			
				would only be required every 12 to 15 miles.			Responded
5.23	2/26/2020	David Gloski	What is the power source for the tunnel cutter head?	As currently proposeed, a dedicated high-voltage power supply would be	Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
				connected to the launch shaft sites to power the tunnel boring machine			
				cutter head.			Responded
5.24	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Do any of the images or videos shared show tunneling through		Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	
			peat soils?	The demonstrations shown likely did not show peat soils. For the Delta			
				Conveyance tunnel, based upon existing available geotechnical			
				information, peat soils would not exist at the depths of the tunnel			
				excavation (approximately greater than 100 feet below the ground			
				surface).			Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.25	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	How does the project team know about the soil composition at the depths of the tunnel, which is over 100 feet below the surface?		Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	
				The geotechnical team has collated data from soil borings conducted not only for the prior project but from other construction projects across the Delta, including design documents for roads, bridges and levee improvements. Based on this data, there is a reasonable understanding of the depth of the competent soils. While there is still some information that needs to be obtained, it appears that the tunnel would not be constructed in peat soils.			
				Additional geotechnical information would be collected prior to the completion of design. If peat soils occurred at depths considered for the tunnel, the design would be modified to lower the tunnel to competent soils below the peat soils.			Responded
5.26	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	What happens if a levee surrounding a shaft site breaks, since the shafts will be built on islands that are lower than the surrounding levees? How will the shafts not fill with water if a surrounding levee fails?	The Delta Conveyance project facilities including tuppel shafts that are	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Responded
5.27	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	If heavy concrete is put on top of these soils, how will the sites be stable?	As currently proposed, the shaft would be constructed with a diaphragm wall or concrete shell that would extend to the bottom of tunnel where there are structurally competent soils; and therefore, the tunnel shaft would not be expected to settle. The soil on top of the ground at the shaft locations would be treated with ground improvement methods, as necessary to stabilize the site for equipment and the shaft pads.	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Responded
5.28	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Does the project include plans to eliminate critters that eat away at the levees?	Vector control is an ongoing issue for level maintenance. The Delta Conveyance project would not affect the continued levee maintenance activities of the existing reclamation districts and levee agencies, including vector control.	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.29	2/26/2020	Douglas Hsia	Are the maintenance and retrieval shafts being kept or filled after construction of the project?		Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	
				Decisions about the post-construction design have not been completed. There are many considerations currently being discussed, including not removing the shafts to allow for access into the tunnel and minimize truck traffic to remove the soil used to form the tunnel shaft pad. If the shaft pads were removed, concrete or other structures would be used to cap the shaft at the ground surface.			Responded
5.3	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	What flood standard is being used to determine the height of the shaft pads compared to what DWR has analyzed in the fourth climate change assessment for storm surge and downstream flood risk?	Over the lifetime of the Delta Conveyance Project, the facilities would be designed for the 200-year flood event, projected sea level rise for Year 2100, freeboard criteria, and wind fetch waves. The sea level rise would be consider the Ocean Protection Council's guidance. The criteria do not require that the facilities need to be initially designed for the Year 2100 sea level rise; but be designed to be adaptable over time to protect the facilities with sea level rise.		3/11/2020	Responded
5.31	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	It would be helpful if there was a map that could provide where all of the shafts would be located in order to understand how much prime ag land would be taken and rendered useless for the project.	Locations of potential facilities, at this time, will be presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting. However, these locations could change in the future.	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Responded



#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.32	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson		 The geotechnical data currently being evaluated consist of summary reports, well drilling reports, and/or soil investigations by DWR (including flood projects), Caltrans, and other state agencies. These data files include confidential personal information (e.g., property owner names). Due to the confidential nature of these files, most of the individual well logs and soil borings cannot be released. Soil boring data was provided for several locations in previous conceptual engineering reports for canal alignments in the eastern and western Delta and a central-Delta tunnel alignment. Soil boring data was also summarized in the following reports apart of previous studies: Draft Phase I Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report – Isolated Conveyance Facility West, 07-12-2010, DWR. Draft Phase I Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report – Isolated Conveyance Facility East, 07-12-2010, DWR. Draft Phase II Geotechnical Investigation – Geotechnical Data Report – Isolated Conveyance Facility East, 07-12-2010, DWR. 		3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.33 2/	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	Can members also have a map with approximate locations of all the project components along the NOP corridors as well as the alignment suggested by the ITR team?	Locations of potential facilities, at this time, will be presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting. DWR will review the options suggested by the ITR to formulate the alternatives to be considered in detail in the EIR. Any additional locations or considerations for facilities will be evaluated by the DCA based upon requests from DWR.		3/11/2020	
							Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.34	2/26/2020	Mike Moran	Does the slide showing truck trips per day reflect the number for one shaft or for multiple shafts? Are all of the shafts constructed simultaneously or is their construction staggered?	The data in the presentation was shown for one launch, maintenance, or reception shaft site. The launch shafts would be located approximately 15 miles from the reception shaft with maintenance shafts located approximately every 5 miles between the launch and reception shafts. Several tunnel boring machines could be operating at launch shafts simultaneously; however, the schedules have not been completed at this time.	Gwen Buchholz	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.35	2/26/2020	Mike Moran	Would construction of the maintenance and reception shafts utilize the same staging areas (parking lots, roads, etc.) as the launch shafts?	The locations of the maintenance, reception and launch shafts would be in separate locations so access, support and staging facilities would also be separate.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	Responded
5.36	2/26/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	Are the safe haven shafts included as part of the planned components or if they are only created in case of emergency?	In the previous project, "safe haven" shafts were identified to allow for maintenance and repair of the tunnel boring machine outside of the tunnel. These shafts are referred to as "maintenance shafts" in the Delta Conveyance Project.		3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.37	2/26/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	The ITR report sought to determine if CEQA could have an approach for the unknowns. How can that comment be assimilated? The Big Bertha TBM used on the Alaska Way Viaduct got stuck 1,000ft. into the tunnel drive. How is that type of possibility going to be addressed from the engineering point of view?	During the ITR team review, it was discussed that use of maintenance shafts approximately every 5 miles with full maintenance procedures at those shafts would substantially reduce the probability of failure between shafts. In addition, it is understood that tunnel boring machine technology is continually evolving and many of the maintenance procedures can be completed from within the tunnel. The ITR team documented one case study which included a main bearing being replaced from inside the tunnel. Technology will continue to change significantly five years from now when the Delta Conveyance Project is projected to be under construction. During the design phase, additional ITR reviews will be conducted to incorporate new technologies. DCA is being conservative in planning full maintenance shafts every five miles in order to avoid the need for an emergency shaft.		3/11/2020	Responded
5.38	2/26/2020	Lindsey Liebig	In order to provide adequate comments on any questionnaires or proposed siting, we need actual maps and coordinates. Stakeholders primarily want to know if it the project comes through their property.	Locations of potential facilities, at this time, will be presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting. However, these locations could change in the future.	Andrew Finney	3/11/2020	Responded
							Responded



#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.39	2/26/2020	Douglas Hsia	Request for the compensation calculations for landowners displaced due to shaft construction or underground tunneling.	DWR has not initiated any considerations for compensation programs at this time. DWR will initiate these considerations following development and analyses of the alternatives.	John Caulfield	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.4	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Was the road access quality rating based on the quality for Delta residents or for the construction vehicles?	The rankings of roads presented at the February 26, 2020 SEC meeting were primarily based upon driving conditions for construction vehicles, including the presence of tight bends and turns and other factors.	Andrew	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.41	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	Where did the road quality data come from?	The DCA team members drove along the routes, reviewed pavement ratings published by potentially affected cities and counties, and information compiled for previous projects in the area.	John Caulfield	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.42 2,	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	What are Mr. Bradner's qualifications to accurately survey roads?	Mr. Bradner used the information compiled by other DCA team members to identify potential sites for shaft locations. The DCA team includes transportation engineers who are familiar with road and pavement evaluations, railroads, and barges.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.43	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	Should verify the schools in all areas are reflected on the map.	The DCA has reviewed the maps with school locations. There are three schools in Clarksburg in the GIS metadata; however, the school "markers" on the map are not discernable due to the scale of the maps presented at the SEC meeting.	Carrie Buckman	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.44	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	Are the railroads just being considering for siding to off-load equipment and take muck south, or is the DCA still considering spurs? The purpose of the question is that the railroad parallels Franklin Blvd and the rail beds are about 8 or 9 feet higher than the road. It seems like it would take maybe a 2- mile spur to get off and get back on the main line.	Rail-served material depots with rail sidings for unit or manifest trains are being considered near Franklin Boulevard and Twin Cities Road and near Byron Highway and Southern Forebay location for both the Central and Eastern corridors; and on King Island for the Eastern Corridor.	Graham Bradner	3/11/2020	
							Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.45		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	There will need to be a drive route along both corridor options that her group can evaluate independently. SEC members need their own checklists for what to see and evaluate that is independent from the DCA, but there will be issues accessing certain places like Bouldin and Rindge Tract. Perhaps a bus tour or a led tour with a caravan is the answer, but it is essential to try to put the pieces together and would enable a better response.	DCA will add a tour of the proposed intake and launch shaft sites to the list of tours DCA staff is currently arranging.	Graham Bradner	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.46	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Recommended Rose Marie charter boat currently docked at Tower Park Marina to tour both corridor options.	DCA will consider this transportation option for future tours.	Graham Bradner	3/11/2020	
5.47	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Having accessors' parcel numbers on printed maps during the tour available would be helpful.	Locations of potential facilities, at this time, will be presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting. However, these locations could change in the future.	Gwen Buchholz	3/11/2020	Responded
				The maps include parcel lines. Specific assessor parcel numbers have not been included on the map for readability. The DCA does have a list of the assessor parcel numbers for the facilities shown on the maps presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting.			
							Responded
5.48	2/26/2020	Lindsey Liebig	Are the launch shafts about 100 acres?	The size of the tunnel launch shaft construction area would be based upon the drive length between the launch shaft and the reception shaft because the launch shaft location would include area for tunnel segment storage, RTM testing, RTM dewatering and treatment, and RTM storage. The longer drives would need more area for tunnel segment storage and RTM handling and storage. For each launch shaft, the area could range from 250 to over 400 acres.		3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.49	2/26/2020	Lindsey Liebig	Are the maintenance and retrieval shafts about 10 acres?	The maintenance and reception shaft construction areas would be approximately 10 acres in size.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
5.5	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Has DCA determined if these trestle bridges would be a hazard for either the trains or the workers in the dig areas? Will the TBM be tunneling under the bridges?	The tunnel alignment would be constructed over 120 feet below the ground surface, including foundations of trestle bridges. Prior to the completion of design, geotechnical field investigations would identify the soil types/location as well as the groundwater pressures along the entire alignment, including areas of concern such as levees and bridge foundations. Based upon the results of the geotechnical information, the TBM operator would control the rate of boring to minimize changes in the soil structure above and below the tunnel boring machine.	Luke Miner	3/11/2020	
							Responded
5.51	2/26/2020	Karen Mann	Where would barges be parked at nights and on weekends?		Gwen Buchholz	3/11/2020	For Future Discussion
5.52	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson	Asked about the timing of the scoping meetings. A ton more scoping letters would have been received by residents from Locke and Walnut Grove if they were aware of not only the intakes but about all the other project components that are required. It feels like the scoping meetings are ill-timed compared with the information that is being given to people who are going to be directly affected.	The NOP that initiated the scoping process included a map with three intakes and two options for tunnel alignment corridors. The NOP also included a preliminary description of the facilities, including intake facilities on the Sacramento River, tunnel reaches, tunnel shafts, forebays, pumping plant, and South Delta conveyance facilities.		3/11/2020	
5.53	2/26/2020	Anna Swenson		The NOP describes the use of tunnel launch and reception shafts. The primary purpose of scoping meetings is to provide an opportunity for attendees to inform DWR of their concerns and issues that could be evaluated in the EIR. DWR also discussed at the SEC meetings in January and February that if there were concerns raised during the SEC meeting related to the proposed project options, those comments should be submitted to DWR through the scoping process.	John Caulfield	3/11/2020	Responded
							Responded
6.01	3/11/2020	Mike Hardesty	Will project sites be seen from the freeway? Are the sites going to be recovered afterwards and not be an eye sore? What will shaft sites look like at end of project?		John Caulfield	3/11/2020	
							For Future Discussion
6.02	3/11/2020	Mike Hardesty	What percentage of sites will be recovered at the end of the project?		Jim Lorenzen		For Future Discussion



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Respond
6.03	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	When will landowners be notified that their properties are the targets and by what means does DCA intend to take their land?	DWR sent scoping notices to 14,000 landowners within the Central and Eastern Corridor (as identified in the DWR Delta Conveyance Notice of Preparation (NOP)). The scoping notices asked the landowners to submit comments as to the potential location and configuration of the project facilities. DWR has not begun real estate acquisition and, assuming DWR moves forward with Delta Conveyance, would not do so until the CEQA and other applicable regulatory processes were completed.	Carrie Buo
6.04	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	What should landowners do if they didn't receive a scoping postcard. Things have been sent out that landowners never received.	Landowners may email their address for inclusion on future mailing lists to DWR Scoping at: DeltaConveyanceScoping@water.ca.gov or mail their comments as Delta Conveyance Scoping Comments, Attn: Renee Rodriguez, Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 942836, Sacramento, CA 94236.	Carrie Buo
6.05	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Will Hood Franklin Road be widened or will a parallel haul road be constructed?	The conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations includes use of Hood Franklin Road as an access road to the intake sites. This plan included widening Hood-Franklin Road to include an additional lane in both directions and wider shoulders. The conceptual plan did not include a determination on whether the widened road would be dedicated to construction traffic.	Gwen Buo
6.06	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	The Hood Franklin interchange is a clover leaf already. What kind of improvements are necessary to go over I-5?	The conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations included widening the off-ramps and on-ramps, including 12-foot wide lanes and 8-foot wide shoulders to accommodate large trucks.	Gwen Buo

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
Buckman		
		Responded
Buckman	4/22/2020	
		Desmanded
uccholz	4/22/2020	Responded
	4/22/2020	
		Responded
uccholz	4/22/2020	
		Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.07	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Haul roads have a specific purpose and are usually just designed for a project. Would the project's proposed new haul roads be removed and reclaimed at the end of the project?	Until a final project is approved by DWR, this is unknown. Moreover, the use of haul roads will likely depend on operational issues with the purview of DWR. Based on the DCA's current understanding, there would be infrequent need to access the key project features during operations. However, for some features including the intakes and pumping plant, more frequent operational tasks may be conducted. For example, sediment trucks would need to remove and haul sediment from the intakes to an approved disposal site. Shared community use of haul roads for agricultural and emergency services may be possible.		4/22/2020	Responded
6.08	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Will there be sediment trucks hauling muck out of shafts during construction as well as trucks removing sediment during operations?	The frequency and volume of sediment captured and removed from the intakes would be determined by the diversion patterns at the intakes as to be developed by DWR. For example, higher diversion patterns during high sediment periods following wet weather may result in higher sediment captured by the intakes. These diversion patterns will be developed by DWR during the on-going CEQA process and following the Scoping Period which will be completed on April 17, 2020.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	
6.09	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Would there be a lot of trucks running on the roads once the project is built?	The frequency and volume of sediment captured and removed from the intakes would be determined by the diversion patterns at the intakes as to be developed by DWR. For example, higher diversion patterns during high sediment periods following wet weather may result in higher sediment captured by the intakes. These diversion patterns will be developed by DWR during the on-going CEQA process and following the Scoping Period which will be completed on April 17, 2020.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.1	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	The construction traffic should be timed to be most	The options developed by DCA in its conceptual plan of facilities included widening several roads by adding a lane in both directions. For example, widening either Lambert Road or Hood-Franklin Road to access the intake construction sites; Dierssen Road, and Twin Cities Road to access tunnel launch shafts at Glanville Road; and State Route 12 to access either a tunnel launch shaft on Bouldin Island or a tunnel reception shaft at Terminous Tract. Rules for use of the additional lanes during and following construction have not been developed at this time.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.11	3/11/2020	Mike Moran	Could there possibly be two roads to the intake sites that could be alternated?	In the conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations, intake construction sites would be accessed by a road on the western side of the abandoned railroad embankment. Access to this new road could be from Lambert Road and/or Hood-Franklin Road.		4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.12	3/11/2020	Mike Moran	Would there be traffic on both Hood Franklin Road and Lambert Road every day, or will the truck traffic would be on one of the roads on certain days and on the other road on the other days?	In the conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations, intake construction sites would be accessed by a road on the western side of the abandoned railroad embankment. Access to this new road could be from Lambert Road and/or Hood-Franklin Road. If Intakes 2 and 3 are utilized, the access could be from Hood-Franklin Road. If other intake combinations are utilized, the access could be from Lambert Road. It would also possible to widen both Hood-Franklin and Lambert roads for intake construction. This still needs to be determined.		4/22/2020	
6.13	3/11/2020	Angelica Whaley	Has there been investigation into extending Elk Grove Blvd.		Phil Ryan	4/22/2020	Responded
			instead of using Hood Franklin Road.	engineering considerations did not include an extension of Elk Grove Boulevard as this would require a new roadway through areas of Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge (NWR). Given this, it has not been considered to date by DCA.			
							Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.14	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	How many acres are anticipated for the Glanville Launch Shaft Site footprint and how any acres are specifically for RTM storage? Will the RTM storage be on the site long term, or only during drying? When will the land used for RTM storage be relinquished from the project and allowed to go back in production, if possible?	storage, is currently proposed to be located on about 35 acres. The RTMor onlytesting, dewatering, and storage areas are proposed to be about 460 acres.beThis RTM could be used in construction of the Southern Forebay			
							Responded
6.15	3/11/2020	David Gloski	Would there be a conveyor belt going from the launch shaft to the RTM storage area?	At Glanville Tract, a conveyor is currently proposed to move RTM from the launch shafts under the Interstate 5 to the RTM handling areas on the eastern side of Interstate 5.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.16	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	How high would the RTM storage elevation and the perimeter berm be at Glanville Tract Launch Shaft, because the airport there would have Part 77 airport space restrictions for penetration.	As currently proposed, the perimeter levee around the RTM handling and storage areas could be up to 10 feet high and the RTM could be up to 20 feet high at maximum storage volumes. Construction activities at Glanville Tract, including the tunnel shaft and RTM locations, would include height restrictions to comply with the Federal Aviation Regulation Part 77 criteria for obstruction clearances and approvals from the Federal Aviation Administration and California Department of Transportation would be obtained prior to construction.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.17	3/11/2020	Doug Hsia	Why would West Walnut Grove Road need to be extended from the railroad to Mokelumne River?	***Please note that subsequent to the March 11, 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting, it was determined that West Walnut Grove Road is not currently being proposed to be modified to access the New Hope Tract or Staten Island tunnel maintenance shafts.***	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.18	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson		As currently proposed, levee modifications at Bouldin Island and Lower Roberts Island would occur on the landside levee and no work would occur on the waterside of the levee. Therefore, and subject to necessary further evaluation, flow rates between the levees would not be affected.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	
6.19	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	How many acros is the Staten Island Maintenance Sheft?	Staten Island Tunnel Maintenance Shaft construction site are currently	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	Responded
0.19	5/11/2020	Anna Swenson	How many acres is the Staten Island Maintenance Shaft?	proposed to be about 15 acres.			Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.2	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	How many acres of the Bouldin Island Launch Shaft Site would be used for RTM storage?	As currently proposed, the Bouldin Island site would include a tunnel launch shaft and a tunnel reception shaft. The RTM handling and storage area would be about 200 acres for the Bouldin Island Tunnel Launch Shaft.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	
6.21	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Is DCA is working with the Reclamation Districts to ensure there is great data, experience and all the goodness that Reclamation Districts can bring?	During preparation of its engineering work, DCA representatives have met with Reclamation Districts in the project area.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded
6.22	3/11/2020	Mike Moran	Would the proposed new barge site on Bouldin Island be used to transport RTM once it is tested, dried, etc.?	Re-use opportunities for RTM at the Bouldin Island Tunnel Launch Shaft have not been developed at this time. However, the proposed barge landing could be used to move the RTM from Bouldin Island.	Graham Bradner	4/22/2020	Responded Responded
6.23	3/11/2020	Doug Hsia	What is the sequence of the construction of all the shafts?	For all tunnel shaft sites, the construction sequence is currently anticipated to be as follows: the areas would be cleared, security fencing and gates would be installed around the perimeter, slurry walls would be installed around the shaft diameter to minimize connections to surrounding groundwater, earth fill would be placed to form a raised pad at the shaft opening at an adequate elevation to protect the opening from flooding, the shaft would be drilled vertically and shaft liners would be installed to the design depth, a tremie concrete base would be installed at the bottom of the shaft to seal the shaft, and water inside the sealed shaft would be removed.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	
6.24	3/11/2020	Mike Hardesty	Will new bridges constructed for the project be permanent or temporary?	It has not been determined at this time if the bridges and access roads would be permanent following construction and would be determined by DWR with input from the local agencies and communities as part of the CEQA review process.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	Responded Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.25	3/11/2020	David Gloski	Is the proposed new barge landing on Bouldin Island on the south side of the island because it is more barge accessible than the north side? It would be closer to Hwy 12 if it was on the north side.	In the conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations, placement of a barge landing on Bouldin Island along the South Fork Mokelumne River was considered as an option to a barge landing on Potato Slough. Initial analysis indicated that a South Fork Mokelumne River barge landing would be more difficult. Access to the South Fork Mokelumne River at the northern side of Bouldin Island for barges would be limited from the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel due to the Mokelumne River Swing Bridge and several narrow areas. A barge landing on the South Fork Mokelumne River would require crossing of State Route 12.	Graham Bradner	4/22/2020	
6.26	3/11/2020	David Gloski	Mr. Gloski said DCA might consider getting the barge landing right out on the San Joaquin River, west of where it is currently shown on the conceptual site plan map.	DWR has indicated to the DCA that the western boundaries of Bouldin Island are an area with high potential for restoration of managed wetlands and/or tidal marsh that could be used by DWR for future habitat mitigation efforts. The mitigation plans have not been developed at this time; however, barge landings were not identified in the conceptual facilities plan in these areas due to the high potential for habitat restoration.		4/22/2020	
6.27	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Delta bridges are part of the Delta's appeal. How is the historic value of a bridge retained if a bridge is upgraded to a modern level? Who is involved in the process of determining which bridge will receive an update and how the upgrade will be done? Will DCA invite stakeholders from the community to have input?	In the conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations, the DCA proposed several bridge modifications to accommodate widened roads for construction traffic access. However, specific architectural criteria have not been developed at this time. DWR will consider the historical values of the bridges and potential effects of the bridge modifications during the CEQA review process.		4/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.28	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Does the aggressive amount of infrastructure on Bouldin Island have anything to do with who owns the island?	Conceptual tunnel shaft locations were identified based upon the expected operational abilities of the Tunnel Boring Machine. Under the current conceptual facilities plan, the typical distance between the tunnel launch shaft site to the tunnel reception shaft would be up to 15 miles. Tunnel maintenance shafts would be located approximately every 4 to 6 miles between the launch and reception shafts to provide for an opportunity to repair equipment that cannot occur from within the tunnel. It was determined that the most northern launch shaft would be at Glanville Tract (primarily to access the intakes) and the most southern launch shaft would be at the Southern Forebay. To determine the location of the launch shaft between Glanville Tract and Southern Forebay was based upon the maximum tunnel drive of 15 miles, need to avoid sensitive habitat, ability to access the launch shaft site by at least two modes of transportation (road, rail, and/or barge), geotechnical conditions, presence of existing infrastructure, and existing land use. Bouldin Island was located within the mileage criteria, could be accessed by roads and barges, has minimal infrastructure, and no adopted changes in future land use plans.		4/22/2020	Responded
6.29	3/11/2020	David Gloski	Would new bridges be built to certain height specifications in consideration of boaters? There will be an advantage if boaters are not waiting for bridges.	As currently anticipated, the bridges would be of adequate height for boaters that currently use the water body and avoid the need for operable bridges that could slow construction access.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
6.3	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	If there are historic railroads, would DCA build a parallel railroad?	In the conceptual facilities plan presented to the SEC for feedback on engineering considerations, the rail-served materials depots would be constructed adjacent to the existing railroads with connections to the new rail facilities to allow trains to enter and leave the new facilities. No changes would be made to existing railroad bridges or embankments.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
6.31	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	If RTM can't be reused, what would DCA do with the RTM and how would the project supplement the materials needed for the project?	Based on all available information, RTM would be suitable for construction of the Southern Forebay embankment. If the RTM was not available, the embankment fill material would be purchased from commercial facilities most likely located in the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded
							Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.32	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Is there any plan to upgrade the aqueduct that connects with the project since it is currently subsiding, is at a very heavy earthquake risk and is losing 30% of its water because it is not enclosed? Is there any plan for this project to correct that so we aren't building a multi-million dollar plumbing system and then putting it in a sieve?		Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	-
6.33	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Would there be a third-party verification of the determination about RTM's usability so the public knows it's not DWR's sole decision and that it's a decision based on the realities of science and research?	DWR and DCA anticipate completing engineering and environmental health evaluations. These evaluations will be provided for review when available and appropriate.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded Responded
6.34	3/11/2020	Peter Robertson	Are the project's workdays five days with double shifts?	As currently anticipated, many of the features would be constructed only during the daytime, including shaft construction. Some features could be completed after sunset if critical work was not completed. Some features would require continuous construction over longer periods of time, such as tunneling operations scheduled to be continuous for 24-hours/day on a 5- day basis using two 10-hour shifts for tunnel operations and a 4-hour shift for maintenance each day.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
6.35	3/11/2020	Peter Robertson	Will barges or other commercial vehicles be moved on the weekends?	There are currently no known restrictions to moving barges or commercial traffic on the weekends. DWR and DCA may work with stakeholders to determine if less weekend barge travel during certain times of the year would be preferable.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	Responded Responded
6.36	3/11/2020	Peter Robertson	Weekend construction barging restrictions at the proposed new barge landing near the bedrooms would be helpful.	There are currently no known restrictions to moving barges or commercial traffic on the weekends in the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel or Potato Slough during the weekends. Input from the SEC would be considered related to weekend project traffic. Water traffic patterns will also be analyzed in the CEQA analysis.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.37	3/11/2020	David Gloski	There is currently a problem with algae in the South Delta during the summer. Could the project perhaps help address the bad water and algae, either through its design or through mitigation efforts, by taking some of the water and flushing it back into the Delta if there is a challenge there?	The key conceptual facilities plan prepared by the DCA does not include considerations of operations. DWR will likely develop and analyze operational options and develop mitigation measures for significant adverse impacts during the CEQA process.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.38	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Is the Southern Forebay an above ground facility, and if so, how tall is the levee that will create the forebay?	As proposed in the conceptual facilities plan, the Southern Forebay embankments would be constructed above the existing ground surfaces. The existing ground surfaces at the site range from -8 to 4 feet. The elevation of the top of the Southern Forebay embankment would be approximately 28 feet.	John Caulfield	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.39	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Will the Southern Forebay be subject to the Division of Safety of Dams (DOSD)?	As proposed in the conceptual facilities plan, the Southern Forebay would be a Division of Safety of Dams jurisdictional facility based on the storage volume and embankment height.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.4	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	What kind of studies have been done to ensure that the noise and vibrations from tunnel boring won't affect the cranes? How do we know that the cranes will stay?	The CEQA process will include evaluation of the construction effects of the proposed project on terrestrial species, including Greater Sandhill Cranes.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.41	3/11/2020	Jesus Tarango	Tribes have already expressed that the intake locations affect sacred, religious sites. Why are the intakes not being moved for tribal people? All three intake sites are highly sensitive.	The intake locations presented in the SEC meetings were selected as the most viable sites located along the Sacramento River between Freeport and the confluence with Sutter Slough, as described in the Notice of Preparation published by DWR on January 15, 2020. DWR is consulting with tribes under AB 52 and DWR's tribal consultation guidelines to assist in the evaluation of potential effects.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.42	3/11/2020	Jesus Tarango	A road would not be placed through Stone Lakes in honor of the wildlife there, so why isn't there a response to the concerns for wildlife from native peoples?	During the DCA analysis of the conceptual facilities plan, publicly available information related to sensitive land uses, including wildlife habitats, was used to develop sites for intakes, tunnel shafts, Southern Forebay, and South Delta Conveyance control structures. Locations of sensitive tribal areas were not publicly available to the DCA. DWR will consider information developed during tribal consultation to evaluate impacts related to tribal cultural resources.	Graham Bradner	4/22/2020	
							Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.43	3/11/2020	Malissa Tayaba	Why is a 40-mile tunnel being planned through the biggest equestrian [editor's note: I think she meant estuary?] on the west coast? The locations of the intakes are so sensitive to tribes, that it is unclear why they are even an option. The project wouldn't be built through someone else's cemetery. Besides the pipelines, there are other factors.	During the DCA analysis of the conceptual facilities plan, publicly available information related to sensitive land uses, including wildlife habitats, was used to develop sites for intakes, tunnel shafts, Southern Forebay, and South Delta Conveyance control structures. Locations of sensitive tribal areas were not publicly available to the DCA. DWR will consider comments submitted during the CEQA process to evaluate impacts related to environmental resources, including tribal considerations.	Graham Bradner	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.44	3/11/2020	Malissa Tayaba	No one is talking about salmon or the animals. Native peoples have a direct relationship with the animals, land and water. Why haven't these factors been considered?	During the DCA analysis of the conceptual facilities plan, publicly available information related to sensitive land uses, including wildlife habitats, was used to develop sites for intakes, tunnel shafts, Southern Forebay, and South Delta Conveyance control structures. Locations of sensitive tribal areas were not publicly available to the DCA. DWR will consider comments submitted during the CEQA and tribal consultation processes to evaluate impacts related to environmental resources, including tribal considerations. DWR also will develop and evaluate options related to operations of the intakes along the Sacramento River and at the existing	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	Responded
6.45	3/11/2020	Malissa Tayaba	Tribes are still waiting for their AB 52 consultation. There are huge concerns with where the intakes are going and with the new roads. Those areas are all very important issues that tribes are waiting to discuss and adjust in their meetings with DWR.	DWR is conducting the AB 52 consultation and will consider information discussed in those consultations during the CEQA process.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	
	2/44/2020						Responded
6.46	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Can members get a post-construction map that represents the truck traffic, activity and noise that will be present during operations?		Gwen Buchholz		For Future Discussion
6.47	3/11/2020	David Gloski	Is it possible at some point to develop an overlay of the benefits such as parks and other facilities that might be developed?		Gwen Buchholz		For Future Discussion
6.48	3/11/2020	Mike Moran	Is the New Hope Maintenance Tract at the same latitude on both corridors but closer to I-5 on the Eastern Corridor?	The information presented at the March 11, 2020 SEC meeting related to the New Hope Tunnel Maintenance Shafts was incorrect. Updated material was provided at dcdca.org with the correct locations of the New Hope Maintenance Shafts for Central and Eastern corridors. The New Hope Maintenance Shaft for the Central Corridor is located to the northwest of the New Hope Maintenance Shaft for the Eastern Corridor.	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
6.49	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	How will the new access road on Rough and Ready Island be connected to I-5?	In the conceptual facilities plan, access to the Lower Roberts Island Tunnel Reception and Launch Shafts would be from existing roads on Rough and Ready Island. New access roads would extend from Fyffe Street on the western side of the Port of Stockton lands to a new bridge over Burns Cut and continuing on Lower Roberts Island.	Gwen Buc
6.5	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Can maps be revised to show how the roads connect to I-5?	An overall project logistics presentation will be provided in a future SEC meeting, including detailed truck and employee vehicle corridors to access each proposed construction site.	Gwen Buc
6.51	3/11/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Ms. Giacoma said she previously requested a list of the soil conditioners that will be used. The tracking packet said the request was responded to, but that list has not been received.	Page 38 of the response packet issued at the Feb 26 meeting and online at https://www.dcdca.org/pdf/2020-02-26-4a- FollowUpRoundtableonFebruary122020SECMeeting.pdf says: Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S surfactants (i.e. detergents), available from the manufacturer Boraid Products, mixed with clean water as a foaming conditioner mix to provide added strength to the soap bubbles, which helps when the conditioner is injected into certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for different soil conditions. These include such products available from Mapei Products. These are just examples of some products that could be used. The construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert (chemically inactive).	
6.52	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Get BASF to provide material safety data sheets on soil conditioners	been added to the 06.24.2020 version of the Master Log PDF and can be found at	Luke Mine

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
uchholz	4/22/2020	
		Responded
uchholz		-
		Responded
iner	4/22/2020	
		Responded
iner	4/22/2020	
		Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.53	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Ms. Swenson said the Delta Protection Commission (DPC) is pushing forward the National Heritage movement in the Delta and she is dismayed at the parallel processes in light of Ms. Mallon's comments that DCA is working with them. DCA needs to work with everyone existing in the Delta, because while DCA is planning, the DPC is implementing a plan that you might be dropping a feature on top of or DPC might be doing improvements on an area that might not exist after the project. The DPC's actions with the Delta's National Heritage status shouldn't be wasted on areas that won't be of significance or relevance due to the project. There has to be more collaboration and close collaboration. DWR and DPC are both state departments that should be talking to one another.	The DCA and DWR are collaborating with the DPC and the other organizations and stakeholders within the Delta. Kathryn Mallon of DCA and Carrie Buckman of DWR have been coordinating with the Delta Protection Commission (DPC).	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
6.54	3/11/2020	David Gloski	The question tracking packet numbering was changed and it was difficult to find his earlier questions. Can members have an Excel version of the table so questions and status can be filtered? Also, a "closed" status could be helpful to distinguish between questions that received a response but are still outstanding and questions that have been completely resolved.	The DCA requests that SEC members identify questions that appear to continue to need further discussion or additional information to respond to the comment or question.	Luke Miner	4/22/2020	Responded
6.55	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Can SEC members invite guests to attend the tours?	The DCA cannot provide public access to the tours due to logistics of the tours with the owner of the facility, liability concerns, and other constraints. Tours are intended to be an educational opportunity for SEC members and individual tours cannot include a quorum of SEC members due to Brown Act requirements.	Luke Miner	4/22/2020	Responded Responded
6.56	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	Can members of the public follow the tour vehicles?	This question appears to be related to a tour of the facilities and other areas of the Delta. All tours, including the Delta Tour, have been postponed at this time. Once rescheduled, DCA will determine if non-SEC members could follow the SEC member tours in the Delta or if an itinerary or similar accommodation could be provided.	Andrew Finney	4/22/2020	



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.57	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	In a perfect world, what's the start date for construction year 1? Are we talking 2024? 2025? When will the project be started?	As described by DWR in the Scoping Process, the CEQA and permitting process would not be complete until at least the end of 2022. Design efforts could be completed in phases; to allow for initial early design projects, such as development of access roads or habitat mitigation areas. However, even the early design projects would not be initiated until after 2022. A schedule for design, land acquisition, final permitting, and construction have not been developed at this time.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	Responded
6.58	3/11/2020	Jim Wallace	Are we talking about a start date of 2027?	As described by DWR in the Scoping Process, the CEQA and permitting process would not be complete until at least the end of 2022. Design efforts could be completed in phases; to allow for initial early design projects, such as development of access roads or habitat mitigation areas. However, even the early design projects would not be initiated until after 2022. A schedule for design, land acquisition, final permitting, and construction have not been developed at this time.	Luke Miner	4/22/2020	Responded
6.59	3/11/2020	Philip Merlo	What types of goodwill campaigns are you considering?		Josh Nelson	4/22/2020	
6.6	3/11/2020	Gil Cosio	What's the estimated cubic yards needed for the new forebay levees?	Based on the conceptual facilities plan presented to the DCA, there would be approximately 10 to 12 million cubic yards of RTM depending upon the corridor and capacity of the Project. Approximately 60 to 70 percent of the RTM would be used in constructing the Southern Forebay.	Josh Nelson	4/22/2020	Responded
6.61	3/11/2020	Gil Cosio	What will go along the pipeline itself at the surface? Will those properties be impacted at all? The last plan included dewatering along pipeline. Is that going to happen this time?	As currently proposed, tunnel construction activities the tunnel alignment would occur at the tunnel shaft locations and tunnel shaft auxiliary areas, and along the modified or new corridors to connect the shaft locations to existing roadways. There would be no other construction activities within the tunnel alignment, including dewatering, at the ground surface between the tunnel shaft locations,	Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.62	3/11/2020	Michael Moran	As far as the mitigation and goodwill effort, these things go in a sequence. Is there a way we can make that sequence public? That way folks can see there is that mitigation coming down the line and there could be some public benefit coming down the line. Talking to county's HCP and other jurisdictions that might be eager to look at mitigation funding and projects where this takes place and have that up front.		Gwen Buchholz	4/22/2020	
6.62	3/11/2020	David Claski			Curran Durach ala		For Future Discussion
6.63	5/11/2020	David Gloski	It sounded like you mentioned the Eastern one is easier to do, yet, the schedule looks like it's the same number of years. Is it the same cost? Does the "easiness" have anything to do with time and money?	The schedules for the Central and Eastern Corridor conceptual facilities plans presented at the previous SEC meetings were similar. The schedules are being further developed with more detailed analyses. Access to the tunnel shafts from major roadways would be more flexible under the Eastern Corridor as compared to the Central Corridor which could increase production rate of construction.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	
							Responded
6.64	3/11/2020	Douglas Hsai	If it takes longer to build the Eastern alignment, is there any other reason not to go for the Eastern alignment?	The CEQA process will analyze construction and operational changes to the physical, biological, and human environment as compared to existing conditions; and then, compare the results between the alternatives to identify the proposed project.	Graham Bradner	4/22/2020	Responded
6.65	3/11/2020	Gil Cosio	The recent NOP described the finished product as a tunnel dual conveyance. Will the DCA work on timing and the improvements needed for levee stabilization along the pathway?	DWR continues to evaluate and develop programs to improve levees throughout the Delta. These programs are separate projects and will be implemented with or without the Delta Conveyance Project.	Andrew Finney	4/22/2020	Responded
6.66	3/11/2020	Cecille Giacoma	There was the allusion to using spoils to improve the ability to carry on agriculture in area, as a by-product of this project to make improvements in the Delta, but how can agriculture carry on when water is diverted out of the Delta? Species have suffered from over drafting of water. Now you're going to put three more separate intakes in addition to the through Delta water removal, how will you support species and agriculture when so much water is being removed?	Potential use of RTM from the Delta Conveyance Project on agricultural lands has not been developed at this time. This type of opportunities to work together with the communities will be discussed at future SEC meetings. With respect to changes in water resources, the CEQA process will evaluate changes to water resources under construction and operation of the alternatives as compared to existing conditions.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	
							Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.67	3/11/2020	Anna Swenson	When will members see the impacts on properties across from the intakes? Would like to see some more detail about what will happen to the levees, the homes, and the folks that are directly across from intakes. Can those levees be armored? Do homes need to be set back? Which properties could potentially be in that footprint of impact directly across from the intakes?		Phil Ryan		
6.68	3/11/2020	Sean Wirth	Since there is some flexibility in terms of the placement of the maintenance and the reception shafts, how would you bracket those on the map, in terms of the wiggle room north to south?		Phil Ryan	4/22/2020	
6.69	3/11/2020	Michael Moran	Where the barges are coming from and where are they going to? If you're so close to rail, why would you have barges?	Barges are anticipated to be launched at existing ports near the Delta, including Port of Stockton, Port of Pittsburg, and Port of West Sacramento as well as commercial mooring facilities (e.g., facility in Rio Vista used to load barges with rock). Tunnel launch shaft sites were identified in the conceptual facilities plan to provide at least two forms of transportation from the options of roadways, barges, and/or rail. For example, tunnel launch shafts at Glanville Tract and Southern Forebay would be accessed by roadways and rail-served materials depots. However, because it would be difficult to access Bouldin Island by rail, the tunnel launch shaft site would be accessed by roadways and barges.		4/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.7	3/11/2020	Philip Merlo	Regarding the rail possibility, to be clear, the RTM would go to Stockton for companies interested in using it for concrete?	Reuse of RTM by others has been discussed; however, detailed plans have not been developed at this time. The RTM is currently anticipated to be stored at the tunnel launch shaft sites and could be moved by barge or rail if those facilities remain following construction.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	Responded
6.71	3/11/2020	Douglas Hsai	In Santa Clara muck was being shipped to Tracy. Does anyone know where in Tracy they're shipping to?	The DCA has requested information from the Silicon Valley Clean Water Program related to reuse of the RTM.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	
6.72	3/11/2020	Michael Moran	Does material coming out of Lower Roberts site need to go to the Southern Forebay?	As set forth in the conceptual facilities plan, RTM for construction of the Southern Forebay embankments would primarily be from the tunnel launch shafts located near the Southern Forebay and delivered by rail from the Glanville Tract tunnel launch shafts to reduce RTM storage.	Phil Ryan	4/22/2020	
6.73	3/10/2020	David Gloski	I think it was said that the standard regulation is 27% open area but I think it was also said that in California the reg is 50% open area. Can someone explain this and explain why California allows twice the open area?	Land use planning is completed by local agencies, generally by cities and counties. The State of California Governor's Office of Planning and Research issue General Plan Guidelines which include guidance for local agencies to establish open space goals for the regional plans. These open space goals could be included in local community development plans.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	
6.74	3/10/2020	David Gloski	I'd like to hear a discussion about the risk of overruns and loss of budget. How can the project be structured so that everyone in the Delta can be assured that the project is not stopped half way due to budget problems and the land, facilities and everything is just left in some limbo state?	Delta Conveyance would be funded by the water users that would use the project, not the State of California. Specific financial plans have not been developed at this time; however, those plans will need to be complete prior to initiation of construction.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded Responded
6.75	3/10/2020	David Gloski	Regarding the tables associated with estimates of trucks, barges, trains, etc. At one point it was said that these tables will be constantly updated. Can we get dates on the tables then so we know what version we have when we have one in front of us or two and we don't know which one is the latest.	Dates will be provided on future copies of the logistics tables.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6.76		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Can you tell me how much RTM there will be?	The actual amount of RTM would depend upon length and diameter of the tunnel. Based on the conceptual facilities plan, RTM would range from 10 to 12 million cubic yards.	Jim Lorenzen	4/22/2020	Responded
6.77	3/11/2020	Philip Merlo	What types of archaeological studies are going to take place?	DWR's CEQA process would include archaeological evaluation of potential changes due to the construction and operation of the Delta Conveyance alternatives as compared to the existing conditions. DWR is leading the AB 52 and DWR's tribal engagement policy. DWR will consider information discussed in those consultations during the CEQA process.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	•
6.78	3/11/2020	Peter Robertson	Had the DCA been able to produce an overlay for the maps with channel markers?	Potential barge routes evaluated by the DCA did consider channel widths and depths as provided by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Nautical Charts and DWR bathymetric data and based upon discussions with Delta maritime contractors. This information, as well as information related to bridges, was used to identify waterway reaches in the Delta that could and could not support barge operations.	Gwen Buccholz	4/22/2020	
6.79	3/30/2020	Peter Robertson	Who is going to communicate with boaters about in-water work? Coast Guard or DCA?	During construction, frequent notifications would be sent by DCA to the Coast Guard and California Division of Boating and Waterways of on-going in-water construction activities, and these agencies would post these notifications. In addition, signs would be posted alerting boaters of on- going in-water construction activities. Approvals of in-water construction activities would be obtained from the Coast Guard during the permitting process.	Luke Miner	4/22/2020	
6.8		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Will we have a session where we can review and discuss DWR's HABs data and the SCCWRP HABs Impacts Study that was discussed on the Region 5 Water Board HABs Committee update Monday? What I am looking for is how alignment choice will impact development of HABS and if there is an opportunity to use the project to increase water circulation in hotspots to mitigate HABs early on/and in later years of project operation.	Water quality and HABs will be part of the environmental analysis that	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Respond
6.81	3/11/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Observation: 10 feet perimeter levee seems too low to protect RTM with flood at Twin Cities Rd.		Gwen Buo
6.82	3/11/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	New Hope Maintenance Tract: Walnut Grove Rd. is loaded with farm trucks. What will impacts be on Greater Sandhill Cranes on Staten Island with road extension and truck traffic?		Gwen Buc
6.83	3/11/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Bouldin Island the bedrooms are impossible around the first 8 days of July for barge traffic; same for other holiday weekends.	During construction, frequent notifications would be sent by DCA to the Coast Guard and California Division of Boating and Waterways of on-going in-water construction activities, and these agencies would post these notifications. In addition, signs would be posted alerting boaters of on- going in-water construction activities. Approvals of in-water construction activities would be obtained from the Coast Guard during the permitting process.	Carrie Buo
6.84	3/11/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Byron Tract Is there RTM? containment of soil for schools in Byron is a concern		
6.85	3/11/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Will RTM at South Forebay cover plants essential to Native American practices found in that area? And burial grounds? (I don't need answer; tribes do)		

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
uccholz		
		For Future Discussion
uccholz		
		For Future Discussion
Buckman		
		Responded
		For Future Discussion
		For Future Discussion



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.01	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Is there a commitment by the exporters to fund and will we really get to 100% because those emissions, for health and safety reasons, would require complete relocation for the	DWR will analyze potential air quality impacts and mitigation as part of the EIR preparation. However, currently available technology includes a range of options to reduce air quality emissions. For example, dust issues at batch plants primarily occur as the dry ingredients are mixed together prior to the addition of water to make the concrete, slurry, or grout. The batch plants would be required to install the equipment that receives and mixes the dry ingredients within a shelter that includes large fans and air filtration equipment to minimize particulate matter (dust) from leaving the construction site. The maximum amount of dust leaving the construction site would be regulated by the Regional Air Quality Management District. In addition, many earthwork types of earthwork equipment are currently being provide as hybrid diesel-electric engines to reduce emissions. Electric engines would be used for generator sets, air compressors, and other equipment to the extent practical.		5/27/2020	
7.02	4/22/2020	David Gloski	A career barge operator on the San Joaquin said it isn't logical to go into the winding waterways of Little Potato Slough depending on the size of barges. Barges should be out on deeper water on the San Joaquin. Perhaps the Tidal Marsh area should be across the southern end of the island so that an avenue for barge landing access could be out on the main river. There has to be a way to move this around to make it work. Could the shaft be moved to the west a bit to make it closer to a barge on that side?	Little Potato Slough is shallower than Potato Slough. The proposed barge landing along Bouldin Island would be located in Potato Slough with nearby access to the San Joaquin River.	Jiim Lorenzen		Responded Responded
7.03	4/22/2020	Sean Wirth	It would be much better to locate it in a wider area of the island. Based on this feedback, the shaft was moved further north and placed it right along the road to keep the impact closer to the road. The benefit of this location is that it is located close to a house that has power lines. It would be the least evil place to put it on the island in terms of impacts to cranes.	If this comment is associated with Staten Island maintenance shaft site, the proposed shaft site was moved north of the previously identified site.	Jim Lorenzen		Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.04	4/22/2020	David Gloski	It may be a good idea to add this area [Bouldin Island Barge Landing] to a tour so that there is a clearer understanding of what is out there.	This area would be considered as part of future tours of potential DCA facility locations.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
7.05	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson	Asked for an explanation for some of the terms used in the map legends, including "Regenerative Ag" on the Bouldin Island slide and the terms used on the intakes slide.	The term "Regenerative Ag" on Bouldin Island was included in a presentation to the Board of Directors of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. The term generally means a combination of farming based on a combination of biodiversity, watershed improvements, agroforestry, and enhanced ecosystems that includes capture of carbon in soils and associated biomass (including covering peat soils) to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Jim Lorenzen	5/21/2020	
						5/27/2020	Responded
7.06	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	Potato Slough and it has been used for anchorage, fishing and	The proposed barge landing along Bouldin Island would be located in Potato Slough with nearby access to the San Joaquin River. The barge landing would be approximately 1,200 feet long along the bank of the river or slough and would be constructed into the existing levee to minimize extension into the waterway. The barge landing would extend approximately 600 feet to the landside of the existing levee. Trucks would drive on the landside of the levee and move materials from barges to the launch shaft site.	Jim Lorenzen		
						5/27/2020	Responded
7.07	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	How exactly would barges go around Sherman Island?	Barges from the Port of West Sacramento would enter the Sacramento River and navigate under the Rio Vista Bridge and Three Mile Slough Bridge to the proposed barge landing on Bouldin Island. Barges from the Port of Stockton would navigate the San Joaquin River to Potato Slough without crossing under any bridges.	Jim Lorenzen		
						5/27/2020	Responded
7.08	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	Would a noise factor be involved? Noise is amplified on water. The residents of Korth's Pirate Lair Mobile Home Park would be subject to that noise. There are also homes along the San Joaquin river that will be affected by the noise. The area is referred to as The Bedrooms by recreational boaters and is used as anchorage by boaters who don't want to harm the environment. There is concern also about trucks driving on the levees.	waterways as part of the EIR preparation.	Jim Lorenzen		
						5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.09	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	Would the barge stay there until another barge comes and picks it up?	The tugboat would remain with the barge until it would be unloaded, and then the tugboat would return the barge to the main port.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
7.1	4/22/2020	James Cox	Going around Sherman Island would require crossing Sherman Lake, which is very shallow. Dredging would be required if barges went through on a regular basis.	Under the current options, the barge routes would remain in the San Joaquin River/Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and would not enter Sherman Lake and the Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area.	Jim Lorenzen		Responded
7.11	4/22/2020	Michael Moran	Going down the Sacramento River through 3-Mile Slough would mean going right by Brannan State Recreation Area which is a choke point for a lot of motorized and non- motorized recreation traffic. There would also be people on the beaches at 7-Mile Slough. Beyond that point is Sherman Lake State Wildlife Area. It seems like the next feasible area would be Broad Slough.	Under the current options, barges would travel Three-Mile Slough only if the goods were being transported from the Port of West Sacramento. All other barges would remain the San Joaquin River/Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel.	Jim Lorenzen		
7.12	4/22/2020	James Cox	There are barges that go through Broad Slough but it is uncertain what their drafts are. There isn't an actual channel there, but it is possible to go through there. However, it adds a lot of distance onto the route.	Under the current options, barges would not enter Broad Slough or the Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area, and would remain the San Joaquin River/Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel.	Jim Lorenzen		Responded
7.13	4/22/2020	Michael Moran		delays due to shallow and or narrow waterways and schedules for two operable bridges.	Jim Lorenzen		Responded
7.14	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	There are a couple of areas that Ms. Mann provided to the DCA staff that would be affected by the Central Route, but those don't appear to be reflected on the map. The Mildred Anchorage Area is not noted and neither is Byron Elementary School.	The map discussed at the April 2020 SEC meeting did not include all of the features presented on other DCA maps.	Jim Lorenzen		Responded Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.15	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	The barge depth will need to be compared to the channel	It is recognized that the proposed barge routes outside of the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel would include several reaches that could cause delays due to shallow and or narrow waterways where navigation would be required to wait until appropriate tide levels and that smaller barges would be required.	Graham Bradner		
						5/27/2020	Responded
7.16	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Where does the borrow come from? Referring to the clay to mix with the fines.	Under the current proposal, soils for constructing embankments and other fills would be provided from several locations. On many sites, fine-grained clayey material needed for construction would be excavated at the construction site, including at the intake sites. The RTM would be used to construct the Southern Forebay embankments and the elevated structures at the tunnel shaft sites. Soils purchased from existing commercial businesses also would be used, including clay materials to form the center of the Southern Forebay embankments and structures at the tunnel launch shaft sites prior to generation of RTM.			
						5/27/2020	Responded
7.17	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	There is a lot of subsidence on Bouldin Island and a there's a lot of weight in the launch shaft area. There will need to be more details about flooding and how the land will hold up as the project planning progresses.	Ground improvement would occur at areas on Bouldin Island to strengthen the soils beneath the proposed structures and areas to be filled, including the tunnel shaft site, tunnel segment storage areas, and barge landing.	Andrew Finney	5/27/2020	Responded
7.18	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Island and where will the burrow fill for the tunnel shaft be	DWR will evaluate biological characteristics of project sites for the selected alternatives as part of the EIR preparation. The proposed tunnel shaft would be constructed from material transported from the tunnel shaft construction site at Glanville Tract.	Andrew Finney	5/27/2020	Responded
7.19	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Is the team aware that Bouldin Island is -17 feet elevation? The levees on the south side are very fragile.	The subsidence and levee conditions at Bouldin Island have been considered. Ground improvement and levee strengthening on the interior landside of the levees would need to occur prior to construction of a tunnel shaft.	Andrew Finney	5/27/2020	Responded
7.2	4/22/2020	Gil Cosio	will be needed to raise the ground to reach the Tidal Marsh	The graphic presented at the April 2020 SEC meeting was developed several years ago for another project. As part of the EIR preparation, DWR will identify necessary mitigation and consider methods (and sites) to implement the mitigation needs.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.21	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson	DWR sent out guidelines for their participation with the project and it clearly stated how they intend to participate with the Delta. I do not see how that is possible with the current state. I will email it for the record. There is a specific section talking about how they will engage with the communities and there is no way to legally do what it states. They need to either change their guidelines to say that they will be able to participate with anyone who has computer and internet access.	DWR has acknowledged the need to find creative ways to ensure continued access to public information and participation as it continues important work, and has generated some possible ideas for doing so while also following public health protocols. These ideas are a mix of electronic and non-electronic means, among other strategies. From the blog post: "Public engagement in government-led processes is critical and we need to find ways to enable every member of the community to have access."		5/27/2020	Responded
7.22	4/22/2020	Douglas Hsia	I am also interested in the fish screen because I read that Clifton Forebay has a nonperforming fish screen getting all the smelt. I am more interested in why that cannot be fixed.	The Delta Conveyance Project does not include any improvements to Clifton Court Forebay or the existing fish facilities in the South Delta. The DCP objective is to improve water supply reliability for the State Water Project. The new intake facilities and conveyance system are physically separated from the existing South Delta facilities for this purpose. The existing SWP (and CVP) fish facilities in the South Delta use louvered screening and fish collection systems that behaviorally separate fish from the diverted flow and draw the fish into large collection tanks. These fish are then routinely transported to fish release sites in the western Delta, well away from the South Delta diversion's hydraulic influence. While these systems are not as efficient as new facilities, DWR continues to maintain and improve the fish collection systems so they perform as intended. All fish losses are monitored and mitigated per existing agreements and permitting requirements with the fish agencies. Fish losses due to high predation rates across Clifton Court Forebay, located just upstream of the SWP fish facility, are probably more significant than the facility fish losses. DWR is currently engaged in significant predator removal programs within the CCF to reduce these potential losses. DWR is investigating long term strategies and solutions in the South Delta to reduce these losses, in collaboration with the fish agencies. DWR operates to reduce diversions in the South Delta, when sensitive species are most vulnerable to losses, in accordance with our Incidental Take Permit for Long-Term Operations. DWR is also evaluating long term operational strategies using the DCP diversions to allow flexible water withdraws between North and South Delta facilities to reduce overall fish losses in the Delta.		5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.23		James Cox		See response to above comment.	Carrie Buckman		Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.24	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Is that from the fourth climate change analysis? I would strongly urge a comparison be done to the report from the fourth climate change analysis because my concern is not just the combination of sea level rise hurting facility coming up the San loaguin but storm events coming down the San loaguin	The climate change and sea level rise projections were prepared by DWR based upon the recent published analyses completed by the State of California. The climate change projections for river flows include consideration of changes in hydrologic conditions in the upper watersheds of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Flood protection of project facilities and operations to address climate change and sea level rise is one of the primary goals of the project team. Climate Change and Sea Level Rise assumptions for design and operations analysis of the Delta Conveyance Project are consistent with the projections that were part of California's 4th Climate Change Assessment. Design of the new facilities will be based extreme sea level rise projection for 2100 along with late century 200-year Climate Change hydrology. DWR is also using the latest available dataset of Global Climate Models (GCMs) to develop future hydrology scenarios. We are using most current science and climate change data for conceptual design with a recognition that Climate Change and Sea Level Rise projections are evolving and further analysis using updated data and tools may be necessary for final design and construction. As part of the water resiliency portfolio approach, State and local efforts will be needed to address levee integrity and general Delta inundation with changing climate and sea level rise.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.25	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	The only way in and out of Discovery Bay is on the river that this goes right under, and that is an issue.	New map books will be provided for the May 2020 SEC meeting.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
7.26	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	The maintenance shaft looks very close to the water treatment plant and sewage plant that serve the residents of Discovery Bay and Byron. That is the only drinking water for as many as	The proposed Byron Tract Tunnel Maintenance Shaft is located on property to the east of the Discovery Bay community. The water and wastewater facilities that serve Discovery Bay and that are located to the north of State Route 4 are located within the Discovery Bay community. The tunnel shaft construction would include installation of a slurry wall or diaphragm wall around the shaft to isolate the construction site from adjacent groundwater and surface water.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Respond
7.27	4/22/2020	David Gloski	Is there any gateway to the Delta on the I-5, like a visitor's center? That is an idea of what could be done there.	The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community benefits and site reuse as part of the proposed project. When the DCA has compiled this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about community benefits including the community's vision for a visitor's center, and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in a visitor's center for the Delta.	Nazli Parv
7.28	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	It would be great if there were smaller, satellite centers that could work in conjunction with the centers Mr. Shiedigger is planning. With many entry points to the Delta, there should be many points of access for visiting the Delta. Land cannot be returned to productive agricultural use, and that has to be accounted for in regards to lost revenue and property taxes to the county's tax base. As much of the land as possible should be turned back into habitat that is compatible with the natural Delta. Opportunities for biking and trails with that type of restoration would be a good feature to have at a visitor's center.	the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in a visitor's center for the Delta.	
7.29	4/22/2020	Douglas Hsia	The entry point for the Delta should be Freeport at the Cosumnes.	The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community benefits as part of the project. When the DCA has compiled this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about community benefits including the community's vision for a visitor's center and recreational opportunities at several locations throughout the Delta, and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in a visitor's center for the Delta.	
7.3	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson	There should be collaboration with the Delta Protection Commission to ensure any visitor center plan isn't a duplicated effort.	The DCA and DWR have been meeting with the Delta Protection Commission, and will continue to meet with this agency as the project progresses.	Gwen Buo

ıder	Date Responded	Response Status
rvizi	5/27/2020	
	5 /27 /2022	Responded
rvizi	5/27/2020	
		Descended
rvizi	5/27/2020	Responded Responded
	- / /	
uchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.31	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	Ken Shiedigger is trying to put a visitor center together at the corner of Hwy. 160 and Hwy. 12. Will the affected property owners get an easement or reimbursement for the land taken for construction and operations?	The DCA and DWR have been meeting with the Delta Protection Commission, and will continue to meet with this agency as the project progresses.	Nazli Parvizi	5/27/2020	Responded
7.32	4/22/2020	Peter Robertson	It is necessary to change how outreach is conducted because it is not possible right now to address large groups. If DCA can provide speakers to small meetings, how quickly can a speaker task force be assembled? What will their availability be? Can they have materials available in both electronic and printed format? A lot of the facilities used up until six weeks ago have now been locked down. It is difficult to find a space where you can have even a small group of people. Even when restrictions are lifted, people will be gun shy about getting together.		Nazli Parvizi	5/27/2020	Responded
7.33	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	It is questionable that the Governor wants DCA to move forward at this time, and a direct order from him is requested.	The DCA team would be happy to work with any interested stakeholder groups who would like presentations of our materials. The DCA will make staff available at mutually suitable times and will follow the latest health and safety guidelines put forth by the state to keep themselves and members of the public safe. In the near future, the DCA can help organize online presentations as needed and move towards in person meetings if/when those are allowed and desired. Materials are always available on our website, printed materials distribution is not guaranteed at this time.	Nazli Parvizi	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.34	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	While DCA is incorporating feedback and once restrictions start to ease, SEC members could participate in self-guided (rather than bus) tours. Walkie-talkies could be used to communicate while maintaining proper social distancing. The visual visits are critically important to think things through, understand the conditions on the ground and go back to groups SEC members work with to envision the best option. Ms. Mallon said at the DCA Board meeting that comments could be taken any time later. Rather than conducting another meeting in one month, consider holding it in maybe six or eight weeks. After SEC members can participate in tours, DCA should provide them 6-7 weeks to safely conduct small group outreach in light of limited capacities and social distancing orders. SEC members will need to be creative in how to get information to the DCA, which can be done, but additional time will be needed.	Please refer to https://covid19.ca.gov/img/Executive-Order-N-33-20.pdf for more information on EXECUTIVE ORDER N-33-20 on the Governor's State of Emergency declaration and Memorandum on Identification of Essential Critical Infrastructure. Please note that Director Nemeth, as the Governor's representative, has directed DWR to continue its work on the Delta Conveyance Project.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
7.35	4/22/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	Has the DCA been able to determine flood control risk for the proposed site along Twin Cities Rd. and to the west of I-5? In the flood of 1986, the I-5 flooded at that location.	Due to historic floods within and near Glanville Tract, a ring levee would be constructed around the proposed Twin Cities Consolidation Center and other parts of the tunnel launch shaft site during construction. The ring levee would be removed following removal of the construction equipment.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.36	4/22/2020	Douglas Hsia	Only intakes 2, 3 and 5 are shown. What happened to intakes 1 and 4?	Due to historic floods within and near Glanville Tract, a ring levee would be constructed around the proposed Twin Cities Consolidation Center and other parts of the tunnel launch shaft site during construction. The ring levee would be removed following removal of the construction equipment.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.37	4/22/2020	Michael Moran	How much peat is going to be moved out? How much is going to be put in storage? Why is it being covered up and not being used elsewhere for restoration projects?	Potential modification of traffic corridors will be discussed at the May 2020 SEC meeting to obtain further information.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.38	4/22/2020	Sean Wirth	The situation is that either the local residents are affected, or the wildlife species are affected. Anything to reduce the length of the roads would help, and splitting it would be better than nothing.	Potential modification of traffic corridors will be discussed at the May 2020 SEC meeting to obtain further information.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
7.39	4/22/2020	Sean Wirth	I spoke to the Friends of Stone Lakes and the Stone Lakes managers. The north/south road are very environmentally damaging for the refuge. There are birds foraging on both sides of the entire length of that haul road. These roads would dramatically affect the ecosystem services of that preserve for listed species. The Hood-Franklin Road usage is not great but there is already an existing road. Having a dirt tract with lots of use inside the preserve is very damaging. It is already a very constrained refuge with other existing issues, and it would not be good to impact it any further.	soils to tunnel shaft sites, Southern Forebay, and potential mitigation sites to be considered by DWR. The RTM also could be considered for reuse by other entities in the Delta which have not been identified at this time.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
7.4	4/22/2020	David Gloski	I WHELE IS THE IVER SOLUTION SELECTION OF THE DOULULE ISLAND	The DCA team is still working on the RTM balance to provide adequate soils to tunnel shaft sites, Southern Forebay, and potential mitigation sites to be considered by DWR. The RTM also could be considered for reuse by other entities in the Delta which have not been identified at this time.	Steve Dubnewych	5/27/2020	Responded
7.41	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson		The DCA team is still working on the RTM balance to determine the volume of RTM that would be available for non-project uses. At this point in time, the DCA team has not contacted reclamation districts to determine the future demand for RTM.	Steve Dubnewych	5/27/2020	Responded
7.42	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson	Perhaps the RTM could be provided to RD's for free.	As currently planned, the surplus soil material could be made available to reclamation districts without charge. However, loading, transporting, logistics, and determination of the suitability of the soil material for the reclamation districts' purposes would be the responsibility of the reclamation districts.	Steve Dubnewych	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.43	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma	The ITR stated the RTM was not reusable?	The analysis of the RTM characteristics was not available to the Independent Technical Review (ITR) that reviewed the Tunnel options. The ITR based their comments on their past experiences on other projects that were not located in the Delta. There will be additional work conducted to demonstrate that the RTM can be reused. DCA engineers are confident that the material is appropriate to use for embankments with proper drying of the material and construction with a clay core in the embankment in the same manner as other levees throughout the Delta.	Steve Dubnewych	5/27/2020	Responded
7.44	4/22/2020	James Wallace	The DCA has a high-level of confidence that the RTM will meet specifications for constructing all the embankments, but he is confused because the material is homogenized as it comes out	The RTM material would be homogenized at the tunnel launch sites and at the construction sites. The embankment material would need to include at least 20 to 30 percent fine material. Based upon current geotechnical information, it appears that the tunnel would be bored in areas that would generate material that would produce appropriate soils. RTM materials that would not meet the embankment design criteria would be placed in a separate location at the RTM storage area.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.45	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	that eastern Contra Costa County was reduced from nine fire stations down to one. It is located on Bixler Road. There is no longer a fire station on Bethel Island or in Byron, which is where this is pretty much at. As it is, there is only one engine	The DCA is aware of the limitations of existing first responder agencies throughout the Delta. Emergency response facilities and crews would be required to be provided by the Delta Conveyance Project in accordance with the requirements of California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) at the tunnel launch shaft sites and near the intake sites. DCA would like to work with the communities to identify methods to help supplement community emergency services.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
7.46	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	If the water goes over the freeboard and into the river, would the water level then increase and be dispersed to the north and the south?	As proposed, the Southern Forebay would include an Emergency Spillway in accordance with the Division of Safety of Dams requirements in case the water levels rise above the freeboard elevation (probably due to extensive rainfall at the Southern Forebay). The water would flow through the bypass into Italian Slough where the water would flow into Old River and the Delta.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.47	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	Would this occasion hypothetically would happen more towards the wintertime, summertime, or spring? The reason is because many, maybe 4,000 homes are actually waterfront sites and when the dams were released about 10 years ago, they all experienced incredible flooding in their homes. So, is this something they will need to be aware of for their own personal homes and businesses?	The Southern Forebay emergency spillway would be designed for flows that would occur when the forebay would be full with excessive rainfall on the forebay water surface with the unlikely occurrence of a malfunction of controls such as failure of fail safe devices, power outages, and/or gate malfunctions that would not reduce flows from the intakes. Although these conditions are highly unlikely to occur, the emergency spillway must be designed to consider these potentially rare events which could release up to 6,000 cubic feet/second into Italian Slough with flows into Old River and other south Delta channels. However, without the emergency spillway to control releases of overflows under this highly unlikely event. The overtopping and loss of the embankment cause flooding of Byron Tract and surrounding areas.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.48	4/22/2020	Karen Mann	The odds of this flooding our properties are becoming more likely.	The Southern Forebay emergency spillway would be designed for flows that would occur when the forebay would be full with excessive rainfall on the forebay water surface with the unlikely occurrence of a malfunction of controls such as failure of fail safe devices, power outages, and/or gate malfunctions that would not reduce flows from the intakes. Although these conditions are highly unlikely to occur, the emergency spillway must be designed to consider these potentially rare events which could release up to 6,000 cubic feet/second into Italian Slough with flows into Old River and other south Delta channels. However, without the emergency spillway to control releases of overflows under this highly unlikely event. The overtopping and loss of the embankment cause flooding of Byron Tract and surrounding areas.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.49	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson	What will be the ongoing noise from the operation on the surrounding communities? I would like to see a map in detail of what the houses would look like and where they are in relation to this.	Regarding work in the Southern Complex Area discussed at the April 22 SEC Meeting: Noise should be minimal from the facilities to the nearby homes at most construction sites. DWR will analyze potential for noise effects at sensitive receptors during construction and operations as part of preparation of the EIR.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.5	4/22/2020	Anna Swenson		The tunnel would need to be dewatered for inspection on a periodic basis. The inspection interval has not been determined. However, inspection once every 10 years could occur. To dewater the tunnel, water would pumped at the Pumping Plant and discharged into the forebay in a manner similar to normal operations.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.51	4/22/2020	Cecille Giacoma		Most of the RTM and soil material would be moved to the Southern Forebay by rail. Trucks would be used to move this material between tunnel shaft locations and other construction sites. The projected truck trips are being developed and will be discussed further at the May 2020 SEC meeting.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
7.52	4/22/2020	David Gloski	I'm glad to see Italian Slough will be utilized. Would like to promote this as a dual benefit facility. With the issues going on with algae and health with the water down in the South Delta, there is a benefit to be able to take some of this water and flush it back into the Delta during times when there are problems. Have you thought about other plumbing? There might be other options than over a spillway. Could there be a flow control device needed on one of the forebays into Italian Slough? Asked Carrie if there are plans to look at this as part of the CEQA process.	The Emergency Spillway into Italian Slough would only be used for an extremely rare emergency situation. Currently, there are no plans to discharge flows from the Southern Forebay into Italian Slough or other surface waters. DWR will be analyzing the effects to water quality (including algae) as part of the preparation of the EIR. At that time, they will assess the potential mitigation measures, including an option to use water from the forebay to improve quality in the south Delta.	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.53	4/22/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	In WaterFix, it was estimated that the existing pumps would be used without tunnel operation 52% of the time. Isn't this the time to go back to Cal Fed and fix the fish screens for when the existing pumps are used? It seems like it should be engineered in because there is so much opportunity there to improve that set of conditions at the same time for fisheries. Does that mean it would be incorporated into construction at this time or would it be run separately?	The Delta Conveyance Project does not include any improvements to Clifton Court Forebay or the existing fish facilities in the South Delta. The DCP objective is to improve water supply reliability for the State Water Project. The new intake facilities and conveyance system are physically separated from the existing South Delta facilities for this purpose. The existing SWP (and CVP) fish facilities in the South Delta use louvered screening and fish collection systems that behaviorally separate fish from the diverted flow and draw the fish into large collection tanks. These fish are then routinely transported to fish release sites in the western Delta, well away from the South Delta diversion's hydraulic influence. While these systems are not as efficient as new facilities, DWR continues to maintain and improve the fish collection systems so they perform as intended. All fish losses are monitored and mitigated per existing agreements and permitting requirements with the fish agencies. Fish losses due to high predation rates across Clifton Court Forebay, located just upstream of the SWP fish facility, are probably more significant than the facility fish losses. DWR is currently engaged in significant predator removal programs within the CCF to reduce these potential losses. DWR is investigating long term strategies and solutions in the South Delta to reduce these losses, in collaboration with the fish agencies. DWR operates to reduce diversions in the South Delta, when sensitive species are most vulnerable to losses, in accordance with our Incidental Take Permit for Long-Term Operations. DWR is also evaluating long term operational strategies using the DCP diversions to allow flexible water withdraws between North and South Delta facilities to reduce overall fish losses in the Delta.		5/27/2020	Responded
7.54	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Air quality: We need to see some strong documentation that shows that we are moving to electric construction equipment etc. to eliminate the diesel emissions. And will air quality impacts require green planting around the community of Byron for air filtration? Indoor air monitors and extra air filtration equipment for area schools?	The DCA has identified the current availability of electric equipment, hybrid diesel construction equipment and transit trucks, compressed natural gas trucks and other equipment, Tier 4 construction equipment and transit trucks, Tier 4 locomotives, and hybrid and electric vehicles to move employees and materials between sites. It is anticipated that over the next 15 years as the project is designed and constructed, the availability of electric and hybrid equipment and vehicles will increase including for tugboats. The EIR will analyze potential changes in air quality and identify potential mitigation measures to reduce significant adverse impacts.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7 5 5	4/22/2020	-			-	-	-
7.55	7.55 4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla		As part of the EIR preparation, DWR will analyze potential changes in air quality due to implementation of the alternatives and identify potential mitigation measures to reduce significant adverse impacts, including public health impacts. The air quality analysis will be conducted for each construction site and within each air basin. Potential air quality considerations will be discussed at future SEC meetings.	Gwen Buchholz	-	Responded
			within these communities and funding for local NGOs to hire local workers to do the planting and tree maintenance? We need to transform these communities into green corridors during construction to offset impacts. The goal should be to leave the community better than you found it.				
7.56	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	We have difficulties in the Iron Triangle, center of railroad traffic in South Stockton presently. It is an overly crowded train traffic area, and we have problems with trains idling engines for long periods of time. We need the power of the State of California and the DCA to improve this situation with construction so that idling/air pollution is reduced at that site as well.		Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	For Future Discussion



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.57	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	For the Port of Stockton, if the DCA is going to use electric barges etc., we need to work together to push the Port to being a clean Port. We need the jobs in SJ County, and many fine people are part of Port leadership. They are community oriented, but they do things oddly, like not publish or notify the public about EIRs for Port expansion. If this project comes to pass, community benefits to offset construction impacts should focus on modernizing the Port of Stockton and making it a model, clean Port. I will again address Port concerns with this project when I discuss water quality and HABs in a later point.		Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	For Future Discussion
7.59	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	the mid-20th century. Blowing peat causes lung disease and	Excavated peat soils would be placed in previously excavated holes on the construction site and covered with non-peat soil material present on the construction site, including RTM or topsoil. This method would reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the peat soils and minimize the peat dust from leaving the construction site.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.6	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	on each side of the proposed South Delta pumping operations. I cannot imagine how any of them could handle living and farming anywhere around that construction zone, even if they are on the opposite side of the proposed construction sites. Please work with care with them. Do right by them. All the air	Use of construction methods to reduce dust from leaving the construction site would be implemented at all construction areas. Slurry walls or diaphragm walls would be constructed at the intake, tunnel shaft, pumping plant, and forebay construction sites prior to major excavations to isolate the construction site from the adjacent surface water and groundwater. These methods would protect wells used by homes and farming operations during dewatering activities. Groundwater and surface water monitoring also would occur.		5/27/2020	Responded



#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.61	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	The existing South Delta pumping area was built on top of a	DWR is engaging with tribes through consultation under AB 52 and DWR's	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded
		Parrilla	Yokuts village. Testimony by tribal experts as part of the CWIN	Tribal Engagement Policy. To initiate this process, DWR reached out			
			case at the SWRCB for WaterFix covered how they return to	through letters and emails to 121 tribes throughout the study area. DWR			
			this area for native plants that are part of cultural practices to	has reached out to all tribes that responded. Due to the COVID-19 public			
			this day. I have heard criticism from some of our tribal reps at	health situation, some tribes want to delay discussions regarding this			
			recent meetings about the consultation process with DWR.	project. DWR has met virtually with interested tribes, and communicated			
			While RTD cannot speak for tribes, we have great empathy for	with remaining tribes that they will be available to meet when the tribe is			
			their historical losses in California and advocate for protection	ready. DWR will work with these tribes to identify potential effects to tribal			
			of their cultural practices and protection of the natural world	cultural resources and consider potential mitigation measures.			
			on their behalf. If this project advances, please do not just				
			disregard these concerns. Are there ways for these native				
			plants to be moved, replanted and protected? Is there a way				
			to honor their history and culture near new facilities? I don't				
			think such offers make up for the losses endured in a way				
			my white person suggestion feels like offering a Disneyland				
			version, or whitewashing, of nature in place of real nature.				
			However, somehow, something needs to happen to recognize				
			the true history, the loss, and reconciliation/inclusion of				
			California tribes if this project moves forward so they can gain				
			strength spiritually, culturally, and economically in California.				
			What would water reparations look like for the water rights to				
			the Delta that they were stripped of by the genocide? This is				
			between you and the tribes, but how this is handled can either				
			show real generosity of spirit from the water contractors and				
			the State of California, or not. Again, we believe that the most				
			impacted parties must somehow see benefits. We see tribes				
			as the most impacted parties historically.				



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.62	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	How much total electricity will be used for operations at the				
		Parrilla	new South Delta Pumping Facilities? Current pumping				
			requires roughly 15% of the state's electricity (somewhere				
			around there, I would have to dig for the exact number). Are				
			we looking at solar operations to reduce energy use? One of				
			our critiques of WaterFix and other state plans is that				
			energy/greenhouse mitigation is too often based on buying				
			credits elsewhere in the world. This means we live with				
			construction, water, and air pollution impacts without				
			receiving the benefits of mitigation. If electricity consumption				
			is going to remain the same or increase from new pumping				
			operations, can mitigation in energy consumption be directed				
		toward the Delta environmental justice communities? For					
			instance, how many low income Stockton, Iselton, Antioch,				
			North Delta residents can be provided with solar				
			panels/systems to mitigate a set percentage of decrease in				
			energy consumption? Or can struggling cities and towns, and				
			school districts be the beneficiary of provided solar systems as				
			well to offset increases or lack of reduction in energy use. We				
			would really like to see a switch where community benefits				
			mitigate pollution and climate change impacts related to				
			creation of the project within the Delta first. The project is				
			Delta-centric; make the offsets into community benefits; and				
			make them Delta-centric. The people who live with the				
			impacts should receive the lion's share of benefits.				
					Carrie Buckman		For Future Discussion



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.63	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	Carrie, you said that you would apply the 4th Climate Change	DWR is using the future projections of San Joaquin River inflow (and 10.2	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded
		Parrilla	analysis to flood protection analysis of the new South Delta	feet of sea level rise) as part of the modeling effort to identify flood levels			
			facilities. Our concern is not just sea level rise, but storm	that must be considered within the new facilities design. Please see earlier			
			surge, along with SJ River inundation. This is one of our	comment response regarding the 4th Climate Change Analysis.			
			primary concerns regarding Delta management with or				
			without the tunnel. French Camp slough and the SJ River is the				
			site of the greatest potential for overtopping, and area				
			adjacent to the large Conway Homes public housing				
			community, but everything downstream from that point is at				
			risk, including new Delta pumping facilities. I am glad to hear				
			that you are using sea level rise data for the year 2100. Phil				
			had told me 200-year Army Corps standards at a prior meeting				
			without mentioning this additional standard. Comparing it to				
			DWR's own analysis is essential. Share the answer with us.				
			And please, please, please update design to match flood				
			analysis with climate change modeling up until the time				
			construction begins. You need to be constant consumers of				
			climate change literature and adjust levee protection				
			accordingly. You cannot rely on data from 2010. It seems to				
			me to be a very expensive project for roughly a 50 year life-				
			span. While we may not support the project as the best				
			solution for water management with climate change, we also				
			don't want it to fail if it goes forward. Failure with climate				
			change is not an option. Failure will result in deaths and				
			catastrophic economic loss in our region and for water supply				
			for others. Our sincere critique here is for you all to be nimble				
			and to get it right.				



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.64	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla		DWR will analyze changes in water quality due to implementation of the alternatives in the EIR, including construction-related water quality concerns.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
7.65	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	the Stockton East diverting canal similar to the Truckee		Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded
7.66	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Increased aeration systems installed by the Port of Stockton, State, and local government entities around the Stockton waterfront and near marinas across the South Delta. We also believe that we need in addition to a pipe for the Stockton drinking water plant, some small pipes of water to get freshwater into the Stockton waterfront to prevent HABs.	DWR will be analyzing the effects to water quality and water supply as part of the preparation of the EIR. At that time, they will assess the potential mitigation measures.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.67		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla		DWR will be analyzing the effects to water quality as part of the preparation of the EIR. At that time, they will assess the potential mitigation measures.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
7.68	4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	separate project. This is not right. If we are rebuilding the South Delta facility, let's fix all of its elements. Not doing so	DCP objective is to improve water supply reliability for the State Water Project. The new intake facilities and conveyance system are physically separated from the existing South Delta facilities for this purpose. The existing SWP (and CVP) fish facilities in the South Delta use louvered screening and fish collection systems that behaviorally separate fish from	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded



)# Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.69 4/23/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Restore the Delta seeks to create a citizen science program that will move youth from some of our more challenged communities into becoming water scientists, engineers, historians, advocates etc via HABs monitoring and testing. Other groups we work with are developing citizen science and employment opportunities around climate change mitigation and air quality monitoring and mitigation. We want to see workforce development for all the tunnel activity between the Port of Stockton and Clifton Court Forebay to include green jobs for environmental justice communities for mitigation, not just construction. While temporary construction jobs are helpful, they are temporary and do not negate poor environmental outcomes that exist presently on the ground. We would like to see such a strategy around all points of construction for the tunnel pulling residents from Isleton, Walnut Grove and Antioch to share in job development opportunities near their communities. Again, to fully offset construction impacts from 23 years of tunnel construction, the goal should be to leave challenged communities better off than you found them. That would build equity into the State Water Project.	implementation. Additionally, DWR is working on outreach related to ideas about Environmental Justice concepts to incorporate in the EIR, and these ideas will be helpful for that effort.	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded



D#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
D #		Requester Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	Questions/CommentsYesterday, we asked several times what was the deadline we had to meet, and a process outline had been provided at one of the recent DCA meetings also covering a timing outline.Kern County Water Agency, however, is using a different outline which I have attached. Kern also reported that, "The DCA delivered its "footprint" for engineering to DWR on April 1, 2020. The "footprint" for engineering serves as the starting point for the environmental analysis necessary for the EIR."So what does it mean that we are still offering input. What is 	to talk about it on Wednesday, but we didn't have a chance to focus on this topic. I've tried to provide more detailed information about the schedule here. As we've discussed during SEC meetings, DWR was directed by the Governor to start the planning and environmental review process for a proposal for a single tunnel Delta conveyance project with an overall schedule goal of completing the environmental review within 2-3 years. DCA is working under DWR's direction pursuant to the Joint Exercise of Powers Act Agreement (JEPA). Based on this direction, the DCA is developing "Engineering Project Reports" that document the preliminary design work on the alternatives to support DWR's environmental review. In parallel to the development of this information, the DCA organized the SEC to get input regarding specific design and construction activities from Delta stakeholders. During January's SEC meeting, Kathryn Mallon indicated that drafts of the Engineering Project Reports would be delivered to DWR in July. As a part of this, the DCA and DWR planned a two-month period for review and revision of the drafts of the reports, with the final reports originally expected in September. Based on the delay in scoping, we will be delayed in providing the DCA with additional alternatives to	Carrie Buckman	-	Response Status Responded
				work on. I am hoping that we will still be able to have the final product in September, but the draft deadline in July will likely slip.			
				Consistent with the schedule outlined at the November SEC meeting, in early April, the DCA gave DWR initial engineering information on the proposed project to help begin its review. This information is consistent			
				with the material that the DCA has been sharing with the SEC. At the same time the SEC is reviewing this information, DWR's engineering and			



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
7.71	5/8/2020	Jim Cox	Clifton Ct. facility and changes to be made there. The answer		Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded
7.72	2/13/2020	James Sarmiento	Requesting GIS Shapefiles for the Drive Shaft Siting Study.	The drive shaft siting studies are still being finalized. The final GIS files can be provided.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
7.73	5/12/2020	Douglas Hsia		The potential for haul roads with and without new interchanges is being considered for Hood-Franklin Road, Dierssen Road, Lambert Road, and Twin Cities Road. The selection of haul roads will be discussed in more detail at the May 2020 SEC.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.01	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	affect/take Hemley properties. Can't offer a preference since all would impact friends and neighbors. The intakes are more than a left river bank intrusion. They encroach into the river and effect flood flows which would likely require west bank improvements - maybe even moving the levee right bank levee westward means moving River Road in Yolo County. Levee improvements will be required up and down stream of each intake - which probably means some significant barge traffic.	Artificial outdoor lighting at all sites would be limited to basic safety and security requirements, and shielded to direct light only downwards towards objects requiring illumination to minimize halo and spillover effects outside of the property boundaries. The lights would be downcast, cut-off type fixtures with non-glare finishes, and controlled by photocells. Lights would provide good color with natural light qualities with minimum intensity with adequate strength for security, safety, and personnel access. The lights would comply with the Illuminating Engineering Society industry standards for light source and luminaire measurements and testing methods and the 2018 International Dark Sky Park Program Guidelines.		5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.02	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	Existing east-west surface routes from 1-5 to the intakes are significantly impaired. All three roads shown on Page 1 are primarily constructed on levees and all are near or adjacent to designated wildlife areas. These levee roads were never intended to carry the type or numbers of trucks that will be used during the project. Additionally, all three roads are "commuter" routes for Delta workers and are impacted by redirected traffic from 1-5 - Google maps have made it worse. Linear project features, such as roads, always pose special problems and in the Delta road construction, maintenance and use problems are usually exacerbated by poor ground conditions, high groundwater, flooding, slow moving farm equipment, uncontrolled intersections, sight-limited vertical curves on bridges, agricultural operations (particularly during grape harvest when truck traffic is very heavy at night into the early morning), slough crossings, wetlands and variable speed limits - which are often ignored.		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



D # Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.03 2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	I endorse the concept of pooled bus service, be it electric or diesel. The parking location for employees should be at the designated project staging areas - not new parking lots. I don't think food service trucks at the job sites are necessary. There are many mine and construction sites where construction personnel are shuttled to the work site and bring their food - it's a lunch-pail approach. Set up food concessionaires in the parking lot at the beginning of each shift. I think that DCA should advise SEC that even though employee traffic will try to be minimized there will still be significant traffic on the roads from project superintendents, specialty contractors, state inspectors, and emergency vehicles and I am sure interested professionals and vendors who will want to visit the site. It may be that project employees represent the smallest number of daily round trips.		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.04	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace			Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
			Under "Condition of Existing Levees", is this category intended				
			to identify areas of potential inundation? I ask because large				
			areas in the Delta will be inundated during wet winters				
			without suffering any levee breach. Under "Future	The assessment of potential tunnel shaft locations considered the relative			
			Development", please also consider designated "Special	condition of the existing levees that protect the interior land as a factor			
			Planning Areas" which may scattered throughout the project	related to the potential for deep flooding, not for ponding of water or poor			
			area, i.e., Courtland Special Planning Area. Under "Existing	drainage.			
			Water Supply Wells" please consider the effects of the				
			drawdown (extent of drawdown curve) on the extensive	"Special Planning Areas" appear to be located in or near Courtland, Locke,			
			dewatering at all shafts. It is likely that drawdown caused by	and Walnut Grove within Sacramento County which are areas not			
			dewatering will extend a significant distance from the shafts	considered in the shaft siting studies based upon the corridor locations.			
			and may impact existing wells. Additionally it is likely, given the				
			geology and history of subsidence in the Valley, that	Existing water supply wells were considered as an existing feature. Prior to			
			-	construction of the intakes, tunnel shafts, pumping plant, and Southern			
				Forebay, slurry walls or diaphragm walls would be constructed around			
			series of monitoring wells around the shafts which could be	each facility to isolate the construction site from adjacent groundwater			
			used to determine the extent of the drawdown curve and	and surface water. Groundwater and surface water monitoring programs			
			when accurately surveyed, would provide references for potential subsidence.	would be implemented to identify any water elevation changes due to the			
				Delta Conveyance Project.			L



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.05	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	It is not clear if DCA proposes rail spurs to each launch site or just a new siding near Lambert Road which would be served by surface transportation. Rail spurs would be very difficult since the only appropriate existing 1-5 undercrossing is at Lambert Road and constructing a sustainable spur system through the Delta would be extremely difficult and expensive to maintain. A rail siding near Lambert and Franklin Roads would probably be at least 2-miles long, require at least one at grade road crossing and would probably be part of a larger staging area. It is likely that surface disturbance would exceed 300 to 400 acres. Although I agree with rail transport, I am slow to endorse significant surface disturbance which is likely to become a permanent feature.	parallel to Franklin Boulevard between Twin Cities Road and a location north of Dierssen Road. The rail siding area would be part of the Consolidation Center which would also include RTM and tunnel segment storage. These facilities would be removed following construction. RTM would be moved from the tunnel launch shaft on Glanville Tract (to the	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded



Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
6 2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	Throughout the history of through-Delta conveyance projects - BDCP, WaterFix - the project proponents have tried to sell Delta farmers, reclamation districts, water agencies and communities on the benefits of the RTM. I wish DWR/DCA would quit insulting us and just call it what is it - muck, tunnel spoils, waste material. OK, having said that, my guess is that material extracted from the shafts and the tunnel will have limited value as an economically viable reusable material. If the material is to be used in the construction of the intermediate and/or southern forebays, it will have to meet spec for a 30-foot (+/-) high earthen structure, containing more than 5 AF, and is subject to California Division of Dam Safety design and construction standards. As confident as DCA appears to be in the quality of the material I doubt that they would say with certainty that they could design and build qualified structures with what they know now. I find it hard to understand how DCA, or DWR, can assess the viability of a homogenized waste material as being a structurally acceptable construction material. Likewise, assessing the engineering qualities of variable geologic material deposited through a 30- mile estuary deposit tunnel horizon seems overly optimistic. I recommend a serious inferential analysis to determine an alternative use or off-site destination for the tunnel material and as a favor to all of us drop the term RTM and call it what it is.		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
\$1.07	2/26/2020	Jim Wallace	I'd like to know what pumping rate DCA anticipates, this would	Water storage tanks would be located at the intake, tunnel shaft, pumping plant, and Southern Forebay sites to reuse most of the dewatering flows for dust control and concrete, slurry, or grout production at the construction site. This would require on-site water treatment facilities to treat the dewatering flows prior to conveyance into the storage tanks. Flows that cannot be stored for reuse due to dewatering flow production schedules would need to be discharged to adjacent waterways. A National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit would be required for all discharges and would regulate flows and water quality. It is anticipated that some level of water treatment would be required, including sediment removal.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
S1.08	2/26/2020	David Gloski	I would defer to the locals. However I would like one more thing considered. I believe one of the intake areas should be left as a park/picnic/marina/education center. With that in mind for the end, would one site be better that the other? Would it be better to be close to Hood for Hood to benefit for weekend vendors or held with other business?	The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community benefits and site reuse as part of the project. When the DCA has compiled this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about community benefits and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in the Delta.		5/27/2020	Responded
S1.09	2/26/2020	David Gloski	Would these busses keep workers from engaging with Hood businesses? Is that good or bad?	At this time, the potential for effect of workers on local businesses in Hood has not been identified at this time. In previous studies, local Delta businesses provided comments that additional business from construction workers could be beneficial. However, if the additional business resulted in loss of existing patrons due to traffic and business congestion, the effects may not be beneficial especially after the construction activities. Changes in local and regional economics due to implementation of the alternatives will be analyzed in the EIR.		5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.10	2/26/2020	David Gloski		The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community benefits and site reuse as part of the project. When the DCA has compiled this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about community benefits and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in the Delta.		5/27/2020	Responded
			Highly recommend developing a way to leverage the river and use these facilties in a recreational way later.				
S1.11	2/26/2020	David Gloski		The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community collatoral/benefits as part of the project. When the DCA has compiled this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about community collatoral and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in the Delta.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
			The final site needs to be part of a park/recreational area. Consider benefits to people and wildlife at the end.				
S1.12	2/26/2020	David Gloski	Make sure you have the flow studies to explain operations in low flow years. Minimize weekend tie-ups of the river. Build structures to accommodate good uses at the end.	DWR will be developing the operational patterns, including during low flow years, as part of the EIR.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
				The DCA continues to look for opportunites for co-benefit on all structures and is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community benefits as part of the project which will be discussed with the communities.			



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
ID # 51.13	2/26/2020	David Gloski	I question whether you want construction considerations to be more than twice as important as 2 of the other three categories and nearly twice as important for the third! If the four categories are of equal importance, your ranking system is flawed. I believe a high-level environmental complexity grade should be added. DWR does CEQA but DCA cannot just make believe environmental doesn't exist in site ranking. Should Geotech have aquifer effects in the ranking?		Graham Bradner	-	Responded
51.14	2/26/2020	David Gloski	not impede Hwy 4 during commute times. Stick with rail along Highway 4 as barges and bridges could be a problem. Also, with Discovery Bay boating, the sloughs in that area are already congested with boats. Do the intake sites have launch sites with them? You said tables will be updated with refined #'s. Please date tables so we can track them. I think the public question on funding risk is important. What if this project stopped midway?	Roberts Island would be located along the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel. Therefore, barges could access the barge landing without affecting the State Route 4 bridge. Due to shallow or narrow reaches along the Sacramento River between Rio Vista and Walnut Grove, barge landings would not be included for intake construction.			Responded
1.15	2/26/2020	David Gloski	Could be valuable to Reclamation Districts. Consider an RTM bank to allow Delta Agencies to access low cost RTM for levee work.		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
51.16	2/26/2020	David Gloski	diameter? How are underground corners handled with the	Each segment ring would be tapered. Segment pieces that would form the ring would be rotated into various configurations to form a curve in the tunnel.	John Caulfield	5/27/2020	Responded
17	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla		The DCA considered potential interferences with existing development, including farms, in the identification of intake locations. As discussed at the December 2019 and January 2020 SEC meetings, Intakes 2, 3, and 5 would impact fewer existing developments.		5/27/2020	Responded
			tragic to the destruction of spiritual places of importance to California tribes.				



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.18	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	chosen intake, ensure that permits will be approved, and work		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
S1.19	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	and other employee services to these sites because: 1) Employees won't be able to get in and out fast enough with a car or bus for a normal meal (even fast food); 2) Construction hazards, regular farming traffic etc., will require on site	The DCA has considered methods to provide food trucks to consolidation centers or construction sites to reduce employee vehicle trips. The DCA is aware of the limitations of the Delta roadways, and emergency response facilities and crews would be required to be provided by the Delta Conveyance Project in accordance with the requirements of California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) at the tunnel launch shaft sites and near the intake sites. Methods to reduce traffic congestion due to the project will be discussed in detail at the May 2020 SEC meeting.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



ID # D	ate	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
51.20		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	The barge landing would make the most sense in Hood as it was a barge site until the railroad came into the area. However, having been up and down the Sacramento River during droughts on a pleasure boat, be advised that we hit sandbars regularly. Surveys for water depth need to be completed and enough water will need to be coming down the Sac River during dry months and dry years for barging to work.	Due to shallow or narrow reaches along the Sacramento River between Rio Vista and Walnut Grove, barge landings would not be included for intake construction. Smaller deliveries of riprap or other materials to complete the levee modifications could be transported on small barges. However, the use of barges for these facilities would not require a barge landing.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
S1.21		Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	We need to learn about the alternative to sheet piling. Regardless of noise reduction efforts/ buffers etc., Greater Sandhill Cranes would be driven out of the area and would further decline in number with such extreme noise. So we look forward to learning about what construction noise would be like using new construction techniques. We want to know about real time reporting for water quality testing during the process. We also want to know how construction will be operated when an endangered species makes itself present. Incorporating as many wildlife corridors and bike/kayaking/wildlife viewing opportunities as possible into completed design throughout the project could enhance public access while protecting species.	The DCA is continuing to evaluate methods to reduce the need for pile driving at the intake sites, and will provide information to the SEC when these analyses continue. Water quality monitoring would be conducted in the Sacramento River upstream and downstream of the construction locations as is generally required for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded



D# Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.22 2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	place with California Indian Tribes regarding the cultural, spiritual significance of each site first before asking for input from general members of the SEC to pick a site. After such consultation, it would then make the most sense to consult with Delta levee engineers to understand floodplain/levee		Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.23	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan-	In order to construct train spurs, we believe the same type of	The DCA is currently evaluating a coordinated effort between roads, rails,	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
		Parrilla	permitting will be required as for the construction of new	and barges to deliver materials to the construction sites. As discussed at			
			roads. Yes, trains are a good method for transporting	previous SEC meetings, each of these transit modes would have			
			materials in order to reduce pollution, but as with roads,	constraints and opportunities and would need to be implemented in a			
			evaluation of wetlands needs to be completed, as well as	combination of activities. DWR will analyze changes in local and regional			
			species impacts, and possibility of land acquisition from	air quality due to implementation of the alternatives and develop			
			farmers. Can this be completed in time for construction. Also,	mitigation measures to reduce significant adverse impacts as part of the			
			the Iron Triangle in Stockton is one of the most impacted train	EIR preparation.			
			transfer points in the west. Can it handle addition train traffic				
			from the Port of Stockton. Waiting to talk with the Port and				
			train authorities will add years to the project driving up costs				
			and delays.				
			Barging is a possible solution, but see earlier question. Water				
			depth surveys would need to be completed to ensure				
			feasibility of sites. We could not possibly determine best sites				
			without that data.				
			Last, there needs to be a full comparison of pollution				
			estimates from trucks vs. trains vs. barges – with an				
			understanding of what will be electric and what won't. Our				
			greatest concern is that the combination of increased barge,				
			train and truck traffic around the Port of Stockton could make				
			a very fragile community an environmental wasteland. If				
		pollution impacts cannot be mitigated, we believe					
			communities around the Port will need indoor air filtration				
			systems for schools, and perhaps homes on Rough and Ready				
			Island.				



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.24	2/26/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parrilla	We strongly disagree with the assertion that RTM will be usable. The supplemental engineering report warns the DCA to not count using the materials The Delta is filled with legacy Mercury which will methylize when it comes into contact with water filled with nitrates from agriculture – particularly on the San Joaquin side of the Delta which receives ag discharge from upstream. How can such soil be used for levee reinforcement or at an expanded forebay at Clifton Court? The State Water Resources Control Board has strict standards regarding levee materials, dredging and spoils in the Delta. Moreover, as RTM is transported, how will the spoils be kept from becoming airborne? Prior testing under WaterFix indicated Chromium 6 and arsenic present in soil samples. We simply must see the alternative data that indicates that the RTM is safe, and how much of it the DCA believes is reusable. And for the portions that are not reusable, the engineering report suggested dumping the spoils in quarries. Our question is what quarries? Where? And what will the impacts be on those groundwater systems? We simply cannot recommend dumping polluted soil somewhere else without adequate, transparent data as to content and volume.	Potential reuse of RTM was evaluated by collecting soil samples from within an approximate tunnel horizon and including various additives typical of tunneling operations. These samples were then laboratory tested for geotechnical properties and environmental consituents. Based on the testing performed to date, the RTM appears to meet the geotechnical	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
S1.25	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth	The northern most intake is problematic given its proximity to the sandhill crane roost sites in north Stone Lakes. This roosting site is the most constrained by development in our region and as such the most problematic if it is abandoned due the construction of the intakes.	DWR will evaluate changes in aquatic and terrestrial resources due to construction and operations of the intakes in the EIR. As this analysis continues, it is possible that the intake locations or plans could be	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.26	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth	All of the proposed haul roads look like they will be very impactful to terrestrial species, particularly roosting and foraging sandhill cranes. All roads within the jurisdictional boundary of the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge should be avoided. The haul road choices are indicative of how destructive and disruptive this project will be for terrestrial species.	Due to the location of the intakes along the Sacramento River between the confluences of the American River and Sutter Slough, it is difficult to access these sites without traveling along Hood-Franklin, Lambert, or Twin Cities Roads. The DCA is considering methods to minimize traffic congestion on these roads and will discuss roadway modifications at the May 2020 SEC meeting.		5/27/2020	Responded
1.27	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth	A continuous ripirian zone is an extremely important goal, and it would appear to be very achievable.	The DCA would be interested in exploring improvements to the riparian corridor along the Sacramento River near the intakes.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
1.28	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth		DWR will evaluate changes in aquatic and terrestrial resources due to construction and operations of the intakes in the EIR. As this analysis continues, it is possible that the intake locations or plans could be modified.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



ID # Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
31.29 2/26/20	20 Sean Wirth		agencies and entities to protect habitat, including Cosumnes River Preserve. DWR will evaluate changes in aquatic and terrestrial resources on all types of lands due to construction and operations of the intakes in the EIR. As the EIR analysis continues, it is possible that the intake locations or plans could be modified.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.30	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth	reasonable foundation for a framework that could be robust enough to incorporate addition of criteria addressing stakeholder concerns. But, it would be a potentially large mistake to just add a bunch of new criteria suggested by stakeholders, weight them, and then generate a new map.		Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
1.31	2/26/2020	Sean Wirth	A program like ESRI GIS hotspot analysis should be used to identify hotspots and then a decision making tool, like MARXAN, should be used to run a huge number of permutations to expose possible efficiencies - this should be done for all three classes of additional maps that we are suggesting. The stakeholders should be provided all information used for weighting criteria, the decision-making software utilized, and what specific data/GIS layers were used. (see his multi-page response for more info)	The GIS was actually used to identify different types of land uses, understand access routes, and determine distances between shaft locations. The comparison of the options was conducted in an Excel-based tool. The results of the shaft siting studies will be compiled in the Engineering Project Report in a manner that will help understand how the different factors were analyzed with the associated weighting criteria.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.32	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	The external conveyance of water from the Delta instead of through the estuary, will destroy native species habitat, Delta farms and communities and the cultural heritage therein, as well as surrounding natural resources. Thus, the three proposed sites, as components of the external conveyance project, are unacceptable because they will result in unnecessary destruction to the Delta estuary and surrounding areas.	DWR is responsible for development of the overall Delta Conveyance concept and development of the operational plan. The DCA is preparing engineering information related to construction of the facility options. The EIR will evaluate potential changes in the Delta estuarine conditions, Delta habitat, Delta farms and communities, and cultural resources related to implementation of the alternatives. That information will be considered by the DCA during finalization of engineering plans.		5/27/2020	Responded
\$1.33	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Impacts of trucking would be substantially destructive to the farms, private properties and wildlife habitat of the sites. More research and actual data concerning this issue is needed before decisions governing trucking on this scale can be considered.		Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
51.34	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	Because trucks moving material, equipment, etc. will create the greatest impact, it is doubtful that carpooling employees to and from the site will effectively mitigate this.	The construction traffic plans involves both movement of materials and employees. Almost 200 employees could be present at some construction sites, such as the intakes. Therefore, carpooling would be necessary to reduce traffic on access roads and because adequate space for parking would require larger construction sites.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
\$1.35	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma		Barge traffic would be focused on moving goods and materials either to Bouldin Island under the Central Corridor option or Lower Roberts Island under the Eastern Corridor option. Access to Bouldin Island from the Port of West Sacramento, Port of Antioch, or ports on San Francisco or San Pablo bays would use portions of the lower Sacramento River. Access to Bouldin Island from the Port of Stockton or access to Lower Roberts Island from any of these ports would use the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel/San Joaquin River.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.36	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma		This comment is suggesting an alternative to the Proposed Project that DWR identified in the Notice of Preparation. DWR is considering alternatives to the Proposed Project as part of the development of the EIR, and will identify a range of reasonable alternatives that meet the project objectives and could reduce the significant environmental impacts of the Proposed Project. The DCA will then design facilities related to these alternatives. Alternative concepts should be submitted to DWR through the CEQA process.	Carrie Buckman	5/27/2020	Responded
.37	2/26/2020	Cecille Giacoma	The actual effects of boring such large launch shafts in largely unknown soils to the depths proposed is not supported by sufficient study and data. More research and data is needed in order to address this question.	Additional geotechnical investigations are planned for the next several years to further understand conditions along the tunnel alignment and at the tunnel shaft locations. Engineering design criteria would be modified as the geotechnical conditions became more fully understood.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
38	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Preferred: CE5 2+ miles from Courtland, 1+mile from Hood, 1+ mile from Stone Lakes NWR (National Wildlife Refuge). Most flexible access. All vehicles can be divided onto different roads or redirected to most nimbly dilute/reduce impacts and address local conditions. Possible to avoid Hood altogether. Least Preferred: CE2 Though distant from Hood (positive), single access minimizes flexibility to address impacts. Closest to Stone Lakes NWR, requires all traffic to run along edge of NWR. Requires access/routing through edge of Hood. Place second access road. Middle: CE3 Less impactful on Stone Lakes and shorter route than CE2, shares negative traits of CE2. Place second access road.	The DCA appreciates this information and will include it in the ongoing analysis.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded
39	2/26/2020	Michael Moran		The DCA access routes were developed to minimize the use of levee roads and avoid land use changes to refuges, preserves, and conservation areas.	Jim Lorenzen	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.40	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	This is a great opportunity to provide, model and support	Electric charging stations, possibly powered by solar panels, would be	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
			green transportation, as well as local food and service	considered for the consolidation centers where materials and people			
			providers. CE5 provides most flexibility to divide and dilute	would be transferred to hybrid or electric vehicles for consolidated			
			local impacts. Provide communities (and/or post) work, bus	transport to the construction sites.			
			and service vehicle schedules. If electric bus charging stations are located at staging areas, work to convert to public use to				
			meet state charging station goals. If electric bus charging				
			stations are located at staging areas, work to convert to public				
			use to meet state charging station goals.				
41	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	I favor a barge option on-site of intake construction. Since in-		Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
			river alterations are already happening, this minimizes the				
			footprint. I do not favor using one in Hood as it would require				
			truck traffic in the town, something to avoid.				
				Due to shallow or narrow reaches along the Sacramento River between Rio			
				Vista and Walnut Grove, barge landings would not be included for intake			
				construction. Smaller deliveries of riprap or other materials to complete			
				the levee modifications could be transported on small barges. However,			
				the use of barges for these facilities would not require a barge landing.			
				There are no active railroads near the intake sites. The DCA considered re-			
				activating the abandoned railroad adjacent to the intake sites. However in			
				a recent study to reactivate this railroad, the California Parks and			
				Recreation Department decided to cancel further evaluations due to			
				potential impacts on habitat and communities. Use of the rail-served			
				materials depot near Interstate 5 and Twin Cities Road would be used to			
				consolidate materials and employees into transit vehicles to reduce traffic			
				on north Delta roads.			



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
S1.42	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	 Wildlife friendly landscaping (butterfly gardening, planting trees of varying maturities/sizes/purposes). Portable mature trees (& other plants) in planters brought to site and moved as appropriate during project. Planted sequentially as project components are completed. Rooftop planting/living roof Minimize hardscapes Bat, bird boxes Restore function of riparian corridor lost to construction on nearby lowland to mimic corridor. Though not wildlife related, consider art on tall structures 	As DCA continues to develop the facility plans, these ideas could be included in the final landscape design plans for constructed facilities.	Phil Ryan	5/27/2020	Responded
S1.43	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Overall, I like the exhibition of the siting methodology. It shows nothing is perfect, but prioritization of factors can produce clarity and preferred site/s. Can DCA confirm comprehensive consideration of significant (state recognized and other) sites of Native Peoples? Such sites may be assumed to be included in the matrix within the cultural feature grouping including houses, cemeteries, etc. I realize it is not a best practice to draw attention to such sites, even (especially?) in a project document. Though the state has listings of archeological sites, they are not public (State Historic Preservation Office- SHPO) and these, among other culturally significant sites in the Delta are thought by some to be under reported.		Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.44	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Central Alignment- not preferred Launch Site A Consider keeping site north of Twin Cities Road to keep significant buffer for Delta Meadows State Park. Coordinate with State Parks re park-sponsored canoe trips in the Meadows. Launch Site B The traffic on, and condition of, Highway 12 makes me question its capacity to accommodate added project traffic. Access to the San Joaquin River on the west side of Bouldin makes barging attractive, but that river reach is a funnel point for boating traffic from Bethel Island and Frank's Tract (and elsewhere). CA State Dept of Parks and Recreation is currently working with citizens and other stakeholders in a process very similar to the DCA SEC called Franks Tract Futures. Though the FTF project may be a good fill (RTM) candidate, adding barge traffic to that area, even if the barge station is on Little Potato Slough, requires coordination with FTF for effectiveness and to address public perception concerns. Southern Forebay- no comment Eastern Alignment- preferred (Please note spelling: Rindge Tract) Launch Site A Keep footprint as far south as possible minimizing impact on Cosumnes River Preserve	These comments will be added to the considerations in the ongoing development of the Central and Eastern corridors.	Graham Bradner	5/27/2020	Responded
1.45	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Barges- schedule as in-river conditions- tides, fisheries, recreation, flow permit. Publicize barge schedules (as possible).	Barge operations would be subject to changes in river conditions, tides, wind, and recreational and commercial navigation traffic. Barge traffic along the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel and Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel would operate in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Port of West Sacramento and Port of Stockton, respectively. In addition, the barges and the associated tugboats would operate in accordance with requirements of the U.S. Coast Guard and the Division of Boating and Waterways of the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Notifications would be provided to the U.S. Coast Guard and local marinas.		5/27/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
1.46	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Jersey Island, Franks Tract Futures, ACOE proposal for Big Break wetland creation, MWD islands	Future use of RTM and other excavated soil materials for habitat restoration will be considered as the project concepts are developed by DCA and analyzed in the EIR.	Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
1.47 2/26/2020	2/26/2020	Michael Moran	Assess existing traffic, seasonal, event & other patterns (car counters?). Divide traffic, employee parking into multiple access points to minimize impact on each road. Assign vendors/ contractors/ service vehicles which road which day to minimize impacts. Cut additional road(s) as necessary to accommodate targeted traffic & ensure at least 2 access routes.		Gwen Buchholz	5/27/2020	Responded
			Provide Delta and project interpretation at all facilities and in between (wayside), incorporate controversy. Ensure adequate parking.	DCA was scheduled to conduct traffic counts. However, with the implementation of "shelter in place," it was decided to delay traffic counts. At this time, DCA is analyzing traffic patterns using existing information and will discuss this information at the May 2020 SEC meeting. The DCA did			
			Work with Delta Protection Commission to assist their ongoing efforts of signage, Heritage Area.	create a calendar of recurring events to be considered related to community traffic conditions. During construction, cooperative meetings with the communities could be implemented to reduce construction			
			Art/murals on facilities ala West Sacramento and Oakley water tanks. The DCA is in the process of collecting support.	activities during weekend events, including Friday night activities. The DCA is in the process of collecting suggestions and ideas on community			
			Possible to remove roads post-project as appropriate?	collatoral/benefits as part of the project. When the DCA is compiles this information, we look forward to discussions with the communities about			
			For worksites near Delta attractions leave (or build) project picnic, parking, lighting, infrastructure- work with local communities for best converted facility use	community collatoral including the community's vision , and how the DCA can be a part of the vision, and avoid duplication of efforts while working with other groups and individuals also interested in the Delta. The DCA and DWR has been and will continue to coordinate with the Delta Protection			
			Turn employee lots to park & ride, interpretive stops	Commission.			
			Project roads gated & staffed to control/minimize traffic	Many of facilities at the construction sites, including barge landings, would be removed following construction and the site would be restored, potentially for community uses or habitat.	tion and the site would be restored, or habitat. Stakeholder Engagement Committee to and more time for Questions/Answers if		
8.01	5/21/2020	Lindsey Liebig	Concerned about the topics that arise in the SEC meetings as being narrow and limited where they can't explore at a greater compacity and would like more open Q&A discussions.	We are happy to work with the Stakeholder Engagement Committee to create space for more reflection and more time for Questions/Answers if that is something the Stakeholder Engagement Committee feels is missing.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.02	5/21/2020	Lindsey Liebig	Biggest concern is the potential loss of permanent crops such as orchards and vineyards and the way this will affect the agricultural economy.	We will be working with Stakeholder Engagement Committee Member Liebig to reach out to the agricultural community to further discuss issues around reuse of agricultural land.	Nazli Parvizi	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.03	5/21/2020	Karen Mann	Emphasized that the Central Corridor route is not a preferred option. It was noted that the Independent Technical Review (ITR) team hired by the DCA said that the Central Corridor was not feasible and that there are no benefits to the East Contra Costa County. This route will affect the wells, the Sandhill cranes, and will go through the a heavily used recreation area and the National Heritage area.	Central Corridor more impractical due to limited accessibility of the tunnel	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.04	5/21/2020	Karen Mann	Concerned about the layout of the Byron maintenance shaft being within only 1000 feet of residences.	The currently proposed Byron Tract Tunnel Maintenance Shaft would be over 4,100 feet (0.75 miles) from the eastern boundary of Discovery Bay development. The tunnel crosses under State Route 4 at approximately 120 feet below the ground surface and about 750 feet from the southeastern corner of Discovery Bay development.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.05	5/27/2020	Angelica Whaley	As part of the CEQA process, is a current traffic study being conducted using data that is more recent than 2018?	We used data from Caltrans' Freeway Performance Monitoring System (PeMS). PeMS has imbedded loops that continuously collect information that helps their traffic management center react to different instances on the road, so that is quite recent. For other places, we have updated the traffic counts done in previous years to current (pre-COVID) volumes using the growth projections from Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) and San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG). We did anticipate there would be some growth, and again used the regional traffic models to forecast this growth. We noted some anomalies, such as some of the traffic before the recession was actually higher than more recently. But in any case we are using the best available data. The 2018 data considered by our analysis was based on data published in the 2019 report, which is the most recent SJCOG congested management program's Monitoring and Performance Report.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.06		Angelica Whaley	Has there been an analysis of the agricultural traffic separate from day to day traffic along the Delta?	The original plan was to do traffic counts for certain locations at 4 different times during the year in order to get the seasonal differences. It's not currently advisable given current traffic patterns. If ithis changes, I recommend doing that. We do have information for the Caltrans facilities from their embedded loops that are continuously collecting information. We can compare data from different months to get some information on seasonality.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	
8.07	5/27/2020	Angelica Whaley	Grape harvesting trucks take up the whole road.	The original plan was to do traffic counts for certain locations at 4 different times during the year in order to get the seasonal differences. It's not currently advisable given current traffic patterns. If this changes, I recommend doing that. We do have information for the Caltrans facilities from their embedded loops that are continuously collecting information. We can compare data from different months to get some information on seasonality.	Neil Paynter	6/24/2020	Responded



8.08 5/27/2020 Anna Swenson Clarify the statement "DWR is not subject to local regulations." 6 ^{-ft} shoulder going through Stones Lake is worrisome because retuil take up valuable hobitat with big trucks. Since new census surveys were just filled out, does this mean you will be using old census information? Catrans isn't the best model about how to approach traffic in the Delta as they can share inaccuracies with road closures and signage. They are not the best model for signage or communication. Begarding Stone Lake and the bike lane, it is just one of three alternative using old census information? Catrans isn't the best model about how to approach traffic in the Delta as they can share inaccuracies with road closures and signage. They are not the best model for signage or communication. Regarding Stone Lake and the bike lane, it is just one of three alternative using non-traffic compared to their cance the could accommodate project traffic while maintaining the target LOS. The choice of route(s) can be made using non-traffic criteria, or a combination of routes could be used. The U.S. Census is done once every 10 years; however, the American Community Survey is completed more frequently and was used in the current analysis. We did not base our approach on Caltrans. We did not base our approach on Caltrans. though again there are similarities in standards from Caltrans, though again there are similarities in standard traffic onalgement center resut to different instances on the road. That information is available and that is the information received from Caltrans.	ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
			•	Clarify the statement "DWR is not subject to local regulations." 6-ft shoulder going through Stones Lake is worrisome because it will take up valuable habitat with big trucks. Since new census surveys were just filled out, does this mean you will be using old census information? Caltrans isn't the best model about how to approach traffic in the Delta as they can share inaccuracies with road closures and signage. They are not the	DWR is a state agency, so as a general rule it is not subject to local regulation. Regarding Stone Lake and the bike lane, it is just one of three alternative paths to get between Interstate 5 and the potential haul roads to the intakes, including 1) Hood-Franklin Rd, 2) Lambert Road, and 3) Twin Cities Road. All three routes have low volumes of traffic compared to their capacities and so could accommodate project traffic while maintaining the target LOS. The choice of route(s) can be made using non-traffic criteria, or a combination of routes could be used. The U.S. Census is done once every 10 years; however, the American Community Survey is completed more frequently and was used in the current analysis. We did not base our approach on Caltrans' methodology, although they use a very similar methodology for forecasting because it is industry best practice. We also did not take our traffic standards from Caltrans, though again there are similarities in standard traffic engineering practice. We did use data from Caltrans. Caltrans has imbedded loops that continuously collect information that helps their traffic management center react to different instances on the road. That information is available and that is	Don Hubbard	-	•



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.09	5/27/2020	Cecilia Giacoma	Concern with data from 2018 because traffic has increased extremely each year. Is there 2019 data that you can access from Caltrans?	We used data from Caltrans' Freeway Performance Monitoring System (PeMS). PeMS has imbedded loops that continuously collect information that helps their traffic management center react to different instances on the road, so that is quite recent. For other places, we have updated the traffic counts done in previous years to current (pre-COVID) volumes using the growth projections from SACOG and SJCOG. We did anticipate there would be some growth, and again used the regional traffic models to forecast this growth. We noted some anomalies, such as some of the traffic before the recession was actually higher than more recently. But in any case we are using the best available data. The 2018 data considered by our analysis was based on data published in the 2019 report, which is the most recent SJCOG congested management program's Monitoring and Performance Report.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.1	5/27/2020	Lindsey Liebig	the same capacity and you should be able to do those studies calculated appropriately because there is no impact to agriculture right now and work is at the same speed. This is important because there is concern about grade trucks which	Based on the prior WaterFix project, we anticipate that seasonality may be an area of interest. We therefore planned to count the same locations at different times of the year to learn more about that. Hopefully when traffic patterns more closely reflect normal conditions, we can do that. We do have information for the Caltrans facilities from their embedded loops that are continuously collecting information. We can compare data from different months to get some information on seasonality.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.11	5/27/2020	Karen Mann	were used. Why wasn't Eastern Contra Costa County data used? They have good data to look at for Highway 4. Contra	We did look at a number of other studies with data from other counties; for example, a study on improvements to Byron Highway. But for the purposes of this presentation we wanted to focus on San Joaquin and Sacramento counties. In doing so we found that the situations in the north, middle, and south areas are all quite different. The south area, which is the focus of this question, definitely has existing traffic conditions that are challenging and that accounted for in the analysis.		6/24/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.12	5/27/2020	Karen Mann	On Highway 4 there are 3 bridges between Stockton and Discovery Bay and a proposed maintenance shaft Semi trucks take two lanes to get on bridge because it is narrow. How do you work around old bridges with no shoulder and how are you going to go about historical bridges?	At this time, it is anticipated that most construction material would be transported from Interstate 5 in a westward direction, and, depending on the alignment selected, may not need to cross some of the State Route 4 bridges. On State Route 12, the Central Alignment would include trucks from Interstate 5 over the Little Potato Slough Bridge.We are considering the best approach for that location.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.13	5/27/2020	Karen Mann	On Byron Highway there is agricultural and school traffic.	Byron Highway is heavily congested and has a LOS F in the peak commute periods and LOS E in the mid-day off-peak period. These high traffic patterns would interfere with the transport of construction materials to and from the Southern Forebay Complex. We are looking at different strategies to minimize or eliminate project travel on that road, including direct rail access, to reduce the volume of construction trucks during some periods of the project, including during the beginning of the project when fill material would be moved from the south portion of the Southern Forebay complex to the northern portion of the Southern Forebay. These truck traffic could not be moved by rail; however, these trucks could be moved at night or by conveyor belts or bridges over Byron Highway.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.14	5/27/2020	Karen Mann	On the Highway 4 route, how about access for emergency equipment since lanes are old and narrow? There's been existing issues with blocked traffic.	We did not base our approach on Caltrans' methodology, although they use a very similar methodology for forecasting because it is industry best practice. We also did not take our traffic standards from Caltrans, though again there are similarities in standard traffic engineering practice. We did use data from Caltrans. Caltrans has imbedded loops that continuously collect information that helps their traffic management center react to different instances on the road. That information is available and that is the information received from Caltrans.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.15	5/27/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	Is there an actual quantity of truck traffic that has been proposed?	The powerpoint file provided for the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting included histograms showing the currently proposed truck volumes to separate construction sites by month.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.16	5/27/2020	Jim Wallace	Althought CEQA doesn't require projects to use level of service, surely that can't be the ONLY factor?	Per SB 743, upon the adoption of the revised CEQA guidelines, which occurred in December 2018, automobile delay (like LOS) can no longer be used for determining impacts under CEQA. Agencies must use some other metric that matches the three goals in SB 743, namely reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, the development of multimodal transportation networks, and a diversity of land uses. Most state agencies have chosen to use vehicle miles of travel (VMT). Level of service is not going away, since it can still be used for general plan conformity and impact fees, but it will not be used for CEQA. We used LOS for this planning study because we know that it represents local traffic conditions which are important to both Delta communities and to the project. DWR has indicated that it is planning to include LOS information within the Environmental Impact Report to provide additional information, but it will not be the basis for determining significance.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.17	5/27/2020	Douglas Hsia	2 weeks ago, provided suggestion to widen Diersson Road; is this under your consideration?	After the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting, we adjusted shaft locations to avoid any improvements at the Dierssen Road overpass at Interstate 5.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.18	5/27/2020	Cecilia Giacoma	It's important that Contra Costa County data is included in this information.	Contra Costa County information was included in the analysis presented at the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting. The powerpoint file presented at the meeting included information for Contra Costa County related to State Route 4 and Byron Highway, and focused on southwestern Sacramento County and western San Joaquin County where nost of the construction traffic would occur.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.19	5/27/2020	Sean Wirth	What is the possibility of moving the proposed haul road to the intakes? What if we shifted it closer to the Sacramento River than the eastern levee?	The proposed haul road along the western toe of the railroad embankment would be located so that vehicles could enter and leave the intake sites from the east side. We currently propose avoiding access to the intake sites from the west along State Route 160 to avoid construction traffic in the town of Hood and extensive truck traffic on State Route 160 which appears to be unsuitable for large volumes of truck traffic.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded
8.2	5/27/2020	Cecilia Giacoma	On the graphic of truck trips, are estimates included for trucks hauling fill?	The graphics in the powerpoint file presented in the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting showed that the trucks for different materials using a color code, including blue color for the trucks hauling fill material.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.21	5/27/2020	Jim Wallace	If you improve the intersection of I-5 and Hood Franklin does that involve Federal Highways Administration? What do the communities think? Running trucks through Hood on the Sacramento River is a good idea, keeping it out of Hood is the best way to go, just a haul route, so without knowing how many trips that is, might have a more difficult time when trying to determine how that impacts wildlife.	After the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting, we determined that there would not be a need for an improvement of the intersection of Hood-Franklin Road and Interstate 5. As currently proposed, employees accessing Intakes 2 and 3 would exit Hood-Franklin Road to the east of the community of Hood onto a haul road that would be parallel to State Route 160.	Neil Paynter	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.22	5/27/2020	Anna Swenson	those trucks through those preserves and the town of Hood is bad. I don't agree that there is no capacity issue on these	roads through Yolo County (some project traffic will use the Yolo portions	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.23	5/27/2020	Sean Wirth	It would be better if truck traffic flushed wildlife into safe area in west than to an unsafe area.	The proposed haul road would be located to the west of the toe of the abandoned railroad embankment which would include the eastern edges of the three intake sites.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.24	5/27/2020	Anna Swenson	I love the idea of widening Highway 12, long needed, big issue at various times of the day, not safe to drive on, leave it better than you found it.	As currently proposed, State Route 12 would be widened from Interstate 5 to the construction site.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded
8.25	5/27/2020	Sean Wirth	Widening should take into consideration the fact that traffic will not change; that is a problem.	The Delta Conveyance Project would consider the increased traffic patterns due to construction in addition to traffic that would occur without the Delta Conveyance Project. For State Route 12, the portion of the roadway between Interstate 5 and the construction site is proposed to be widened to accomodate the construction traffic, but not other traffic patterns that would occur without the project.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date Reques	ester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.26	5/27/2020 David G		improve safety.	Under Central Corridor, State Route 12 would be widened from Interstate 5 to the construction site to accomodate the construction traffic to a new Bouldin Island offramp/onramp.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded
8.27	5/27/2020 Karen N	1	Island wouldn't work, and sending toxic fumes to a place where people live full time might not be the best move.	The State Water Resources Control Board did not come to any findings for the WaterFix Project before the application for change in point of diversion of the existing water rights was withdrawn. It is recognized that concerns were raised by opponents of the project, including concerns about air quality emissions during construction of a tunnel launch shaft site on Bouldin Island. The EIR for this project will evaluate air quality emissions due to implementation of the project.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.28	5/27/2020 Karen N	c	curve the traffic coming the oppposite way would have to stop to let the truck on. It takes both lanes for the vehicle to be able to get on the bridge.	The analysis we presented at the Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting was high level analysis using LOS based on the number of lanes. For the number of lanes on State Route 4 , our computer model shows LOS D. The EIR analyses and future engineering analyses would consider more details, including constraining curves.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.29	5/27/2020 Karen N	t f i 1 r c	the Discovery Bay Bridge, there are no emergency services from that bridge east, so no firefighters, etc. if there was an issue. If there's anything happening on Highway 4, it can take 8- 10 hours to clear the vehicles. That road is a levee road which means that the 2 lane road is higher than the rest of the island;	The DCA is considering the potential effects of vehicle break downs on traffic and construction operations. Therefore, we are considering a design standard of 12-foot wide lanes with 4-foot wide shoulders for routes that would carry a lot of construction trucks. We are also considering providing occasional turn-outs if road widening would not be feasible. We are also considering relocation of some tunnel shafts, including shafts that would be accessed from State Route 4.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.3	5/27/2020	David Gloski	- /	As stated during the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting, we are not proposing to direct significant construction truck traffic to the Southern Forebay complex along State Route 4, and instead extend rail lines to the Southern Forebay complex.	Neil Paynter	6/24/2020	Responded
8.31	5/27/2020	Philip Merlo	concerning CO2 emissions being conducted considering a vast majority of those emissions will be affecting a community with one of the highest rates of asthma? Civil rights issue since	As part of the EIR, DWR will be conducting air quality analyses as well as human health risk assessments related to construction vehicles and equipment. The EIR also will include analyses of Environmental Justice impacts to determine if the impacts would be disproportionately high and adverse on minority and low-income populations. Additionally, water from the proposed Delta Conveyance Project would be used by 27 million Californians, including minority and low-income communities.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	Responded
8.32	5/27/2020	Anna Swenson	when all other agencies are cutting their budgets? What is the truck traffic on the port of Stockton and what economic groups will be the most impacted? Make sure the voices of those who have lesser than us and will have to do more than	The environmental and permitting efforts for the Delta Conveyance Project are funded by the agencies that may receive water from the project. As part of the EIR, DWR will be conducting traffic and economic analyses related to construction vehicles and equipment. The EIR also will include analyses of Environmental Justice impacts to determine if the impacts would be disproportionately high and adverse on minority and low-income populations.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	Responded
8.33	5/27/2020	Jim Cox	Reiterate that Karen was saying about bridges on Highway 4. I have a pickup and when trucks are going across the Highway, you're making it essentially a one lane Highway so it takes time for trucks to get over bridges and therefore traffic backs up.	Route 4 to reduce construction traffic along this road. If relocation is not	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.34	5/27/2020		is insane no matter which direction. It worries me when you say you will not affect traffic because the data is wrong. The other idea the people of Stockton will not notice is ingenuine. I know their traffic is already bad so increasing it would be terrible. Your modeling isn't aligning with the people who live there, get accurate representations for the Twin Cities connection.	The graph presented at the May 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting showed the anticipated traffic volumes on Interstate 5 between the community of Elk Grove and Hood-Franklin Road. In that roadway section, the volumes would be within the capacity of the freeway. There are times when traffic congestion would occur due to traffic issues outside of this freeway section and not due to capacity problems in this section of freeway. For the section of Interstate 5 within the Stockton area, we showed in graphs at the Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting that there is recurrent congestion in this area. But we also showed that the construction traffic would be a small proportion of the daily variation in traffic. For example, in the southbound direction the construction traffic would be only about 10 percent of the variation that occurs in daily peak hours.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.35	5/27/2020		this, the answer in the answer packet wasn't one. The damage	Modifications to Clifton Court or the Skinner Fish Facility are not part of the Delta Conveyance Project. DWR reached out separately to interested parties to help improve understanding of the issue.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date F	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.36	5/27/2020 H		near the Delta. I contacted part of my stakeholders (people in	response team. Based on those discussions, the DCA and DWR anticipate additonal outreach efforts in the near future.	Nazli Parvizi	6/24/2020	Responded
8.37	5/27/2020 [Embankment design, there was a measure of the external slope, one being 6 ft of free board and another being 28 ft. How was that number derived and whether or not I can get the info on how that's being estimated?	The flood elevation of 20.8 ft near the Southern Forebay was determined using DSM2 Bay-Delta model simulations performed for the conceptual engineering design. The 200-year hydrographs (CVHS Scaled Events) from Central Valley Flood Protection Plan (CVFPP) 2017 update representing late century climate change hydrology were used for boundary flows at Sacramento River, Yolo Bypass, San Joaquin River and East-side streams. The analysis assumed projected sea level rise of 10.2 ft at Martinez for the year 2100 (State of California, Sea-Level Rise Guidance, 2018 Update). Only flows within the channels at DSM2 boundary locations were considered in this analysis. This analysis assumed no levee overtopping or breaches within the DSM2 Bay-Delta domain and represents a conservative projection of water surface levels in the Delta under the projected climate change and sea level rise conditions. Climate change and sea level rise projections are evolving and further analysis using the latest data and modeling tools will be conducted to refine flood protection elevations for final design and construction.		6/24/2020	Follow Up



) # I	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.38	5/27/2020	Anna Swenson	On 4/22, I asked what the ongoing noise would be. Phil answered noise should be minimal, but nothing can be minimal from 400-600 ft. The other thing I would like to encourage is that Susie has been very active and is knowledgeable in that area. I hope the DWR will take a genuine swipe at discovering what personal toll will have on her and her family. Karen: the domestic wells are close to the 150 ft down tunnel. What are you going to do about them?	The currently proposed Byron Tract Tunnel Maintenance Shaft would be over 4,100 feet (0.75 miles) from the eastern boundary of Discovery Bay development. The tunnel crosses under State Route 4 at approximately 120 feet below the ground surface and about 750 feet from the southeastern corner of Discovery Bay development.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.39	5/27/2020	Cecilia Giacoma		We are considering relocation of several tunnel shafts located along State Route 4 to reduce construction traffic along this road. If relocation is not advisable, the DCA can consider alternatives.	Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded
8.4	5/27/2020	Jim Cox	How about the tours of the fishing manufacturing?	We can plan a visit to the ISI facility in Freeport that manufacturers cylindrical tee fish screens. This will be discussed in more detail at the June SEC meeting.	Nazli Parvizi	6/24/2020	Responded
8.41	5/27/2020	Jim Wallace	Map 13 is wrong, it says Sacramento River but it should say Slough.		Don Hubbard	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.42	5/27/2020 Melissa Tayaba	Where are cultural resources in all of this? The AB52 meeting hasn't happened yet, we have concerns but we haven't had communication with them at this time.There are concerns about fish, pollution, restoration, birds. Some of those topics I feel like I can't bring to this setting here but all the maps that you're showing us, there are sites there. They're not being accounted for. We keep asking for alternatives but still we have those big questions. How will you protect sites and cultural resources before COVID, we were looking into the screens. Do you have any kind of statistics from the north and about screens and how they affected the fish and do you have the science of the vibrations on the fish?	out to all tribes with initial communications and updates. However, DWR understands that the tribes may not be staffing their offices during the COVID-19 operations. Additional updates will be provided to the tribes as alternatives are developed. The DCA can provide flash drives to the tribes with meeting presented at the Stakeholder Engagement Committee meetings.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	Responded
8.43	5/27/2020 Sean Wirth	I've continued to do outreach and have talked to Kathryn. The major concern is the largest impact environmentally of areas that were set aside for the environment. It's concerning to see that level of impact on areas that we should completely avoid. We're going to need to return to get new aspects on what we can do for these species that we need to protect.	measures during development of the EIR.	Carrie Buckman	6/24/2020	Responded
8.44	5/27/2020 Douglas Hsia	How many more meetings do we have ahead of us?	We would like to have the Stakeholder Engagement Committee meetings continue as an ongoing process. We are planning for one meeting each month during the next year. Between June and September, we will be discussing siting and engineering items. In September, we can revisit the purpose and structure of the meetings.	Nazli Parvizi	6/24/2020	Responded
8.45	5/27/2020 Douglas Hsia	Is the DCA Board meeting monthly? Will the 4 SEC presenters happen every month?	The DCA Board of Directors meeting happens on the third Thursday of every month. The ideas was for the Stakeholder Engagement Committee members to present to the Board of Directors. Depending upon what the Committee members desire, the presentation can continue to be 1 to 4 people. Due to the need for compliance with the Brown Act, Committee members cannot meet with a quorum of the other SEC members for their thoughts or opinions for this report to the Board of Directors.	Nazli Parvizi	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.46	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	River Road to the west to avoid the construction and use of such damaging new haul roads in the refuge. The intakes, which are drivers for the haul roads, but also have hugely damaging effects on the Refuge, should be moved to an area	The proposed haul road would be located along the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment so that vehicles can enter and leave the intake sites from the east side of the construction sites. It should be recognized that the intake construction sites extend towards the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment. We currently do not want to access the intake sites from the west near the river side to try to stay out of the community of Hood, and to avoid using State Route 160 which may not be suitable for large volumes of truck traffic. The haul roads would also be sited west of the toe of the abandoned railroad embankment in order to be outside of the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge to take advantage of the embankment and tree barrier to serve as a buffer from the wildlife refuge on the east.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded
8.47	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth		Road for major construction vehicles that would access Intakes 2 and 3.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.48	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	wildlife. The road widening of Lambert would be disruptive to wildlife and further isolate wildlife attempting terrestrial movement to the north and south in the Refuge, as well as wildlife attempting to utilize habitats nearby the road. The haul roads to the north and to the south of Lambert Road	We have modified the roadway access plans to avoid the need for a new interchange at Lambert Road and Interstate 5. Lambert Road and the bridge are not proposed to be widened over Snodgrass Slough and the embankment with the abandoned railroad alignment within the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge. Materials must be moved from Interstate 5 to the intake locations, and DCA believes that Lambert Road currently represents the best overall choice to be used as a single corridor to the intake haul road which would be located to the west of the abandoned railroad embankment. State Route 160 may not be suitable for this amount of construction traffic and the traffic would go through the community of Hood.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded
8.49	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	For the intakes 3 and 5 configuration, the widening of Lambert road and the new interchange was just mentioned. The new haul roads associated with this configuration would disrupt and isolate wildlife in the Refuge.	We have modified the roadway access plans to avoid the need for a new interchange at Lambert Road and Interstate 5. Lambert Road and the bridge are not proposed to be widened over Snodgrass Slough and the embankment with the abandoned railroad alignment within the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge. Materials must be moved from Interstate 5 to the intake locations, and DCA believes that Lambert Road currently represents the best overall choice to be used as a single corridor to the intake haul road which would be located to the west of the abandoned railroad embankment. State Route 160 may not be suitable for this amount of construction traffic and the traffic would go through the community of Hood.	Phil Ryan	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.5	5/26/2020 Sean Wirth			Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
		1 , 5	abandoned railroad embankment so that vehicles can enter and leave the			
		Lakes National Wildlife Refuge would be severe unless the	intake sites from the east side of the construction sites. It should be			
			recognized that the intake construction sites extend towards the western			
		for hauling material to and from the intake sites.	toe of the abandoned railroad embankment.			
			We currently do not wish to access the intake sites from the west near the			
			river side to try to stay out of the community of Hood, and to avoid using			
			State Route 160 which may not be suitable for large volumes of truck			
			traffic. The proposed haul roads would also be sited west of the toe of			
			the abandoned railroad embankment in order to be outside of the Stone			
			Lakes National Wildlife Refuge to take advantage of the embankment and			
		tree barrier to serve as a buffer from the wildlife refuge on the east.				
			It may not be feasible to move large volumes of equipment, construction			
		materials, and employees on barges along the Sacramento River. The				
			Sacramento River between Rio Vista and the intake locations includes			
			several relatively shallow areas, including one area between Rio Vista and			
			Walnut Grove where barges could only move during high tides. There are			
			also four moveable bridges between the intakes and Rio Vista which would			
			affect traffic on the river road.			



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.51	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	A corridor that is further west of the current Central Corridor should be considered to avoid these substantial adverse effects to the Refuge.	We have modified the roadway plans to eliminate major construction traffic on Hood-Franklin Road between Interstate 5 and the community Hood. We have also moved the proposed tunnel launch shaft site from the site on the western side of Interstate 5 to the Twin Cities Complex on the eastern side of Interstate 5. These changes to reduce the need for road modifications to Hood-Franklin and portions of Lambert Roads. The proposed haul road would be located along the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment so that vehicles can enter and leave the intake sites from the east side of the construction sites. It should be recognized that the intake construction sites extend towards the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment. We currently do not wish to access the intake sites from the west near the river side to try to stay out of the community of Hood, and to avoid using State Route 160 which may not be suitable for large volumes of truck traffic. The haul roads would also be sited west of the toe of the abandoned railroad embankment in order to be outside of the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge to take advantage of the embankment and tree barrier to serve as a buffer from the wildlife refuge on the east.		6/24/2020	Responded
8.52	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Both corridors are squarely within the Pacific flyway and enormous numbers of waterfowl and other migratory birds use the areas being contemplated for both alignments. As well, many non-migratory listed species utilize the areas being contemplated for both alignments. It is important to keep this in mind in any discussion of the two corridors under consideration.	terrestrial species.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.53	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	new roads are within the boundary of the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge. And here again, the Refuge would take the	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.54	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	hawks, and supplementing with substantial additional foraging	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.55	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Stone Lakes National Refuge boundary, but they are extremely close to the conserved lands of the Cosumnes River Preserve	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project on terrestrial resources. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.56	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	The interchange work at Twin Cities and the road widening would both be growth inducing and have detrimental effects to wildlife, and further isolate and disrupt them.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources and the potential for growth inducement as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.57	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	It has been extremely disappointing to see that our most important regional conservation efforts and successes are being squandered for a project that is so regionally damaging to the environment.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources and the potential for growth inducement as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.58	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	A corridor that is further west should be considered to avoid these substantial adverse effects to the Refuge.	As described in the January 22, 2020 Stakeholder Engagement Committee meeting, intake sites would be located downstream of the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District Wastewater Treatment Plant outfall to minimize effects to the Sacramento Regional Water Authority Freeport intake. The intakes also would be located north of the confluence of the Sacramento River and Sutter Slough to minimize effects to some Delta fisheries. The proposed haul road would be located along the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment so that vehicles can enter and leave the intake sites from the east side of the construction sites. It should be recognized that the intake construction sites extend towards the western toe of the abandoned railroad embankment.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
				We do not wish to access the intake sites from the west near the river side to try to stay out of the community of Hood, and to avoid using State Route 160 which may not be suitable for large volumes of truck traffic. The haul roads would also be sited west of the toe of the abandoned railroad embankment in order to be outside of the Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge to take advantage of the embankment and tree barrier to serve as a buffer from the wildlife refuge on the east.			
				It also may not be feasible to move large volumes of equipment, construction materials, and employees on barges along the Sacramento River. The Sacramento River between Rio Vista and the intake locations includes several relatively shallow areas, including one area between Rio Vista and Walnut Grove where barges could only move during high tides. There are also four moveable bridges between the intakes and Rio Vista			
8.59	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	New Hope Tract Maintenance Shaft: This is a high use area for Sandhill Cranes and migrating waterfowl, and local listed species. The shaft and the road improvements would effect wildlife and further isolate them. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.6	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Staten Island Maintenance Shaft: Staten Island is ground zero in terms of regional Sandhill Crane population. More cranes and migratory waterfowl use this Island than any other area in our region. I provided coordinates and a description for a maintenance shaft location that should have the least effect on the Island's wildlife, but that statement needs to be tempered with the acknowledgment that any effect on the most important regional resource for Sandhill Cranes and other waterfowl is too much. The suggested location for the maintenance shaft was 38 degrees 10" 59" N by 121 degrees 30'31"W, as near the road as possible, adjacent to Luc's house. This is an already disturbed area and, if near the road and power line, the facility would help keep cranes flying over that spot elevated over the line, perhaps reducing risk of collisions.	the suggested location.	Graham Bradner	6/24/2020	Responded
8.61	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Bouldin Island Launch Shaft: This is another important location for foraging and roosting Sandhill Cranes, as well as many other listed species. It is close to Staten Island and an important component of the available conservation for the Sandhill Crane. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) claimed that it purchased this island, along with three other Delta Islands, for the co-equal goals of a "restored Delta and a reliable water supply for California." If the incredibly damaging shaft is not located here, does that mean that MWD would only be planning for restoration for this site? This is an important point to understand in trying to determine which corridor would have less detrimental effects to terrestrial wildlife. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.62	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Mandeville Maintenance Shaft: This shaft and its new haul roads and bridges would further isolate and negatively effect local listed species. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.63	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Bacon Island Reception Shaft: This shaft and its new haul roads and bridge would further isolate and negatively effect local listed species. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.64	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Byron Tract Maintenance Shaft: This shaft and its new haul roads would further isolate and negatively effect local listed species. No available recommendations to minimize effects.	The proposed tunnel shaft location on Byron Tract north of State Route 4 has been eliminated.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.65	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Southern Forebay Facilities: This huge expansion of the forebay facilities would further isolate and negatively effect local listed species. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility. Given the amount of space depicted between the elements of the facility, there should be ample opportunity to maximize native plantings.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.66	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	New Hope Tract Maintenance Shaft: This more easterly location is preferable because of the reduction in road improvements, but it is unclear how access to the shaft would be attained. The one described road goes straight to the alignment and then stops with no indication of how it would proceed either north or west. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	shaft on the Central Corridor would extend to the west from West Lauffer	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.67	5/26/2020 Sean Wirth	the north and the south units of the Woodbridge Ecological Reserve, which is second only to Staten Island in terms of Sandhill Crane density. This is also an incredibly popular area for crane viewing, with the south unit parking lot overflowing	The proposed tunnel shaft has been moved to Canal Ranch Tract. The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.68	5/26/2020 Sean Wirth	level of disturbing effects to terrestrial wildlife is reduced for this shaft compared to its central corridor counterpart. No	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.69	5/26/2020 Sean Wirth	level of disturbing effects to terrestrial wildlife is reduced for this shaft compared to its central corridor counterpart. No	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.7	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Lower Roberts Island Launch Shaft: local listed species here may be pushed over the brink by the added pressures of the construction and operation of this shaft, which could increase the chance for permanent abandonment of the area by some of those species. This shaft and its new haul roads and bridge and barge landing would further isolate and negatively effect local listed species. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The proposed barge landing was deleted from the Lower Roberts Island tunnel launch shaft site. The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.71	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Lower Jones Mainenance Shaft: The needed roadwork and level of disturbing effects to terrestrial wildlife is reduced for this shaft compared to its central corridor counterpart. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to terrestrial resources would be addressed by DWR.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.72	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	Victoria Island Maintenance Shaft: The needed roadwork and level of disturbing effects to terrestrial wildlife is reduced for this shaft compared to its central corridor counterpart. No available recommendations to minimize effects beyond minimizing the footprint and maximizing native plantings on and around the facility.	The proposed Victoria Island tunnel maintenance shaft has been deleted.	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded
8.73	5/26/2020	Sean Wirth	north so that it is more than one mile from both the southern and northern units of the Woodbridge Ecological Reserve, the eastern corridor would appear to have less negative effects on	The EIR will analyze potential effects of implementation of the alternatives on terrestrial resources as compared to existing and future conditions without the Delta Conveyance Project. Responses to potential impacts to	Gwen Buchholz	6/24/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
8.74	5/30/2020	Karen Mann	Please make sure the traffic people are aware and monitor the number of deaths/year on Hwy 4 (San Joaquin County AND Contra Costa County area) – then check Byron Highway.	The DCA shares the community's emphasis on safety regarding State Route 4. We are evaluating potential adjustments to tunnel shaft locations based on Stakeholder Engagement Committee feedback as well as our own observations to minimize construction traffic on the two State Route 4 bridges.	Kathryn Mallon	6/24/2020	Responded
9.01	6/24/2020	David Gloski	At the last meeting, during the non-agenized portion, I asked if the SEC could hear from members that attended the DCA Board meeting and it was cited that it would be an issue with the Brown Act. Can this be explained?	The Brown Act was discussed in detail during the June SEC meeting.	Josh Nelson	7/22/2020	Responded
9.02	6/24/2020	Gil Cosio	How do we locate the actual Section 404 application package that DWR submitted to the USACE, and what is USACE's public notice process?	The application is on DWR's website: https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR- Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Delta-Conveyance/Public- Engagement/DCP_Section404_Application_Package_508.pdf?la=en&hash= 00A1F058F9AD8947F9DEF251558C9CF88CF0A2B3.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.03		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	What will happen in terms of having a lead agency for NEPA and what the NEPA process look like with the President's executive order rolling back NEPA processes for water projects? Can the SEC be updated if there are any changes in the process?	DWR's understanding is that the President's executive order does not apply to the Delta Conveyance Project. After the last SEC meeting, USACE sent a letter to DWR indicating that their office will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under NEPA, which is consistent with this understanding.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.04		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	One of the departments not listed on the presentation was CalEPA's Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), will you be looking at standards that would be evaluated by a department like that for pollution and soil by CalEPA?	Yes, DTSC standards would be included along with criteria adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.05		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	In WaterFix, one of the engineering reports stated there were levels of Chromium-6 found in the soils. That has not been mentioned in this presentation.	The response provided in the meeting was incorrect. Based on review of available data, Chromium VI was not detected in either the baseline (non- conditioned) samples or conditioned samples. The analyses indicate that the Maximum Detection Limit (MDL) of the testing method is above the USEPA Regional Screening Level (RSL).	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.06	6/24/2020	Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	native plants? Want to ensure that conditions won't cause	Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S products (available from the manufacturer Boraid Products) which are surfactants (i.e. detergents) and mixed with clean water as a foaming conditioner. Sometimes, a cellulose product, like Soilax C, is added into the conditioner mix to provide added strength to the soap bubbles, which helps when the conditioner is injected into certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for different soil conditions. These include such products available from Mapei Products. These are just examples of some products that could be used, including products from CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF. Safety Data Sheets for CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF will be placed on the DCA website. The construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert (chemically inactive). See https://dcdca.sharepoint.com/sites/DCAProgram/Working/SE/Outreach/F orms/AllItems.aspx?viewid=b67b83df%2D738a%2D464e%2D85ff%2Dc14a 0897a80b&id=%2Fsites%2FDCAProgram%2FWorking%2FSE%2FOutreach% 2F2020%20SEC%20Meetings%2F2020%2D06%2D24%2F00%2DQ%26A%20 Log%20Final As currently proposed, the RTM will be placed in areas following removal of vegetation during clearing and grubbing efforts at the construction sites for the Southern Forebay embankments or tunnel shafts. Runoff from these construction sites will be collected, and treated if necessary, to meet		7/22/2020	Responded
9.07	6/24/2020	Michael Moran	In regards to the 15 million cubic yards, what accounts for the large difference? Is it evaporation? Is it differences between the two alignments? How confident are you that the cores	all regulatory water quality criteria for adjacent lands or water bodies The differences in RTM volumes produced are based on the range of tunnel diameters and variations in project alignment. Tunnel diameter could range from 28 to 40 feet (Internal Diameter) depending on the project diversion rate. Under the current configurations, total tunnel length could range between approx. 43 to 48 miles.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.08	6/24/2020		water. What impact does that have on the total resulting RTM? From what comes out of the ground to what is actually reusable later, is there a dramatic difference?	Bulking and compaction factors along with reduction in moisture content affect the volume estimates. The RTM will coming from more consolidated soil deposits that are confined at depth. When they come to the surface they will expand, then as they are dried and compacted for structural fill they will reduce in volume back down to approximately the original volume.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.09	6/24/2020		between 5 and 14 million cubic yards. Where could that come from? Are these new borrow pits or existing? If it's not coming out of the Delta, maybe Easter SJ County or Mt Diablo. Curious as to where borrow material is coming from and if enough has been identified as available.	The current approach is to use all available on-site material that is suitable for reuse in an effort to limit imports and associated hauling. However, there may be some instances where materials need to be imported because they cannot be derived through project activities, or because the timing of the need does not match the material production schedule. As such, some materials are likely to be imported. The source of these materials may vary depending on the material type, such as rip-rap, AB road base, embankment filter sand, and fine-grained embankment core. It is assumed that the materials would be acquired and hauled from a range of existing quarries or borrow sites that surround the Delta.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded



#	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.10	6/24/2020	Jim Wallace	The presentation says that metals and organics generally	Arsenic was detected in both baseline and conditioned soils samples at	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	For Future Discussion
			resemble naturally occurring levels. Arsenic is very high	concentrations between 4.03 and 4.51 mg/kg, which is above the EPA and			
			naturally occuring in the Delta and it is a water quality issue.	DTSC screening levels but consistent with or below typical background			
			Although they might be naturally occuring, doesn't mean they	concentrations and regulatory-agency-acceptable remediation goals, which			
			meet environmental standards or environmental minimums	for California sites range up to approximately 12 mg/kg.			
			for soil contamination.				
				Waste classification in California is accomplished, in part, through			
				comparison with regulatory thresholds. Thresholds include the total			
				threshold limit concentration (TTLC), based on solid-phase concentrations			
				of the soil matrix, and soluble threshold limit concentrations (STLC), based			
				on an extraction procedure that releases soil-bound materials into liquid in			
				soil pores. The total concentrations of inorganic constituents and dissolved			
				concentrations of inorganic constituents, including Arsenic, in baseline and			
				conditioned soil samples are generally orders-of-magnitude lower than			
				corresponding waste-classification thresholds for hazardous materials.			
				Based on the available test results, there is no indication that RTM would			
				require handling as hazardous waste material. RTM would be expected to			
				meet conditions acceptable for unrestricted land uses, with or without			
				added soil conditioners. However, further risk assessment(s) are			
				anticipated. Determination of appropriate exposure scenarios, and the			
				specific risk-assessment details, is a collaborative process with regulatory			
				agency and/or permitting agency authorities (e.g., the California RWQCB,			
				the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), or the DTSC),			
				depending on the re-use option.			
	c /o 4 /o c c					- / /	
9.11	6/24/2020	Douglas Hsia	At the beginning of SEC meetings in November, there were a	Based on studies reviewed or completed by the DCA, the RTM appears to	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
				meet the geotechnical requirements. The biggest challenge will			
			to this presentation, it seems this is no longer an issue. Is this	be removing the moisture from the RTM. The moisture will be removed			
			correct?	with mechanical dryers or evaporation.			



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.12	6/24/2020	Karen Mann	This is not very good for the environment. Regarding EPA, this seems a lot like mining. The photos on the presentation show a lot of equipment. Where is the energy coming from to transport the RTM? Concerned about the EPA requirements. PG&E has been having a lot of trouble.	Electricity to the construction sites will be provided by either Sacramento Municipal Utility District, Pacific Gas & Electric Company, or Western Area Power Administration. DWR is currently working with these entities to determine the most appropriate entity for each construction site. The RTM material will be moved from the Twin Cities Complex to the Southern Forebay by railroad. RTM material will be moved from the Twin Cities Complex to tunnel shaft locations by truck. RTM material will be moved around the Southern Forebay Complex by rail and truck.		7/22/2020	Responded
9.13	6/24/2020	Karen Mann	Will the cost of electric come out of tax payer money? Who will pay for the cost of electrical use? Why won't generators be used?		Gwen Buchholz/Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.14	6/24/2020	Karen Mann	Are the power companies aware of this anticipated draw of electricity at the proposed sites? It's shocking considering the hydro-electrical troubles in California.	Electricity to the construction sites will be provided by either Sacramento Municipal Utility District, Pacific Gas & Electric Company, or Western Area Power Administration. DWR is currently working with these entities to determine the most appropriate entity for each construction site.	Gwen Buchholz/Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.15	6/24/2020	Gil Cosio	This is a big construction project so the power lines, sub	Conditioners will be introduced within the tunneling operation to provide	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
			stations, etc. are not surprising. Doesn't look like there will be	moisture and surfactant to make the soil workable and not clog the			
		material left over for levees which isn't a bad thing after seeing	operations. When the RTM is raised to the surface, the moisture will be				
			what the material is made from. A lot of money will be spent	removed. During drier periods, a mixture of mechanical drying and			
			getting the water out of the material, then at some point, the	evaporation will be used to remove the moisture from the RTM.			
			water will have to be put back in to compact it. The work it will	Depending upon how the RTM will be used, water may be added during			
			take to keep the moisture at allowable limits will be tough. A	placement at future embankments and tunnel shafts.			
			couple of rainstorms could shut down the operations for				
			awhile. What are the conditioners made from? What do they	Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling			
			do physically or chemically to material? At which process will it	based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are			
			be put in?	generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent			
				projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S products			
				(available from the manufacturer Boraid Products) which are surfactants			
				(i.e. detergents) and mixed with clean water as a foaming conditioner.			
				Sometimes, a cellulose product, like Soilax C, is added into the conditioner			
				mix to provide added strength to the soap bubbles, which helps when the			
				conditioner is injected into certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such			
				as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for			
				different soil conditions. These include such products available from Mapei			
				Products. These are just examples of some products that could be used.			
				The construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert			
				(chemically inactive).			



	nents	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
will become airborn area. The levels of t hazardous. Methyl not mentioned in th are hazardous to hu nature. It's importa ingredients in the c	the toxic metals. Chromium-6 and arsenic orne when they're dried, blowing around the f the boring samples were found to be yl mercury, a threat to rivers in the Delta, was the presentation. These all exceed levels that human health, as well as fish and the rest of tant to address that. What are the		Graham Bradner	-	Responded



ID #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.17	6/24/2020 Anna Swenson	6, arsenic, and methyl mercury are being used at approved levels, cumulatively how will they affect the community? How loud are the dryers? How often will they run? What will the	soil samples from those investigations will be used to evaluate potential RTM characteristics.	Graham Bradner/Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	For Future Discussion
9.18	6/24/2020 David Gloski	The water vapor will likely cause a cloud of condensation so it would be good to have a discussion about this so that local people will understand.	Moisture discharged from dryers should be minimal compared to the surrounding air mass.	Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	Responded
9.19	6/24/2020 Peter Robertson	The presentation mentioned spreading the material out to dry		Graham Bradner/Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.20		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	are at the surface. Levels of peat soil will be hit when excavating 150 feet. There is documented history of peat soil causing lung disease in the Delta, particulate number 2.5-10. This is a concern because funding for monitoring of this issue is being cut for COVID-19 budget. By the time the project starts, there could be a different type of budget for monitoring air quality. There would be particulate matter issues whether or not there is peat soil.	extremely moist and will not generate dust. As the RTM dries, dust control measures would be implemented to meet regulatory requirements. Dust control measures is expected to generally involve application of water. The water for the RTM areas will generally be applied by a sprinkler system to		7/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.21		Dr. Mel Lytle	16 heavy metals in this material. It's anticipated that that could change if the Eastern alignment is selected. Can the ingredients of the soil conditioners be listed so can the DCA find this out for the committee? At least what was in the 2014 report because one conditioner from EASF called MasterRoc ACP 127's composition on MSDS sheet has glucopyranose and glycosides which are sugar compounds. Because they are sugar compounds, 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol is put in which is a fungicide material and could be anticipated to be in the tunnel muck when it's brought to the surface. The materials in that report should be provided to the SEC.	Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S products (available from the manufacturer Boraid Products) which are surfactants (i.e. detergents) and mixed with clean water as a foaming conditioner. Sometimes, a cellulose product, like Soilax C, is added into the conditioner mix to provide added strength to the soap bubbles, which helps when the conditioner is injected into certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for different soil conditions. These include such products available from Mapei Products. These are just examples of some products that could be used, including products from CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF. Safety Data Sheets for CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF will be placed on the DCA website. The construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert (chemically inactive). See https://dcdca.sharepoint.com/sites/DCAProgram/Working/SE/Outreach/F orms/AllItems.aspx?viewid=b67b83df%2D738a%2D464e%2D85ff%2Dc14a 0897a80b&id=%2Fsites%2FDCAProgram%2FWorking%2FSE%2FOutreach% 2F2020%20SEC%20Meetings%2F2020%2D06%2D24%2F00%2DQ%26A%20 Log%20Final The previous BDCP/WaterFix report is publically available.		7/22/2020	
9.22		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	will all the sources of truck traffic together be discussed?	The presentation in the May SEC meeting included information related to hauling of many materials, not just the RTM. The different types of materials were provided with different colors, such as on Slide 27 of the truck traffic presentation.	Nazli Parvizi	7/22/2020	Responded



) #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.23	6/24/2020	Jim Wallace	The Twin Cities complex is about 640 acres and it has been	The currently proposed Twin Cities Complex site has been reduced in size	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
			identified as a borrow pit. If borrow material wasn't needed,	to about 450 acres, and could be reduced further as plans are developed.			
			would Twin Cities still be used as a borrow area? Is it	The Twin Cities Complex site was selected due to its geographical position			
			specifically identified as a borrow area? If it's identified as a	along the tunnel alignments between the intakes and the Southern			
			borrow area, does it become subject to SMARA? To what	Forebay. Due to the geotechnical conditions at this location, the soil could			
			depth are you excavating?	be used to construct the tunnel shaft at the Twin Cities Complex and			
				possibly two other shafts prior to the generation of RTM at Twin Cities			
				Complex. Site specific geotechnical investigations wil determine the depths			
				of the borrow areas. RTM material will be used to refill the borrow areas			
				following the tunneling activities.			
				Based on information available at this conceptual level of detail, it is			
				anticipated that excavation activities on the Twin Cities Complex may			
				require compliance with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975			
				(SMARA). Under SMARA, "surface mining operations" are defined as "all,			
				or any part of, the process involved in the mining of minerals on mined			
				lands by removing overburden and mining directly from the mineral			
				deposits, open-pit mining of minerals naturally exposed, mining by the			
				auger method, dredging and quarrying, or surface work incident to an			
				underground mine" Regulations promulgated by the Department of			
				Conservation to implement SMARA state that "surface mining operations"			
				include borrow pitting and stockpiling. Further assessment of the activities			
				on the Twin Cities Complex will be required to determine SMARA			
				compliance needs. DWR will be coordinating with the Department of			
				Conservation to assess the process for compliance with SMARA.			
9.24	6/24/2020	Cecelia Giacoma	What is SMARA?	SMARA is the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA). It is	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
				anticipated that SMARA will apply to the activities required for			
				construction of the proposed Delta Conveyance Project. DWR has an			
				exception under SMARA that applies to "mining operations" on lands			
				owned or leased, or upon which easements or rights-of-way have been			
				obtained by DWR, for the purpose of the State Water Resources			
				Development System (SWRDS) or flood control. The proposed Delta			
				Conveyance Project is considered part of the State Water Project (SWP).			
				To comply with SMARA under the DWR-specific exemption, DWR will be			
				required to consult with the Department of Conservation, submit			
				reclamation plan(s) and annual reports, and pay annual fee(s).			



ID #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.25	6/24/2020 Cecelia Giac	Do the levee improvements on Bouldin Island take sea level rise into account?	The DCA is evaluating the condition of existing levees using the currently available 100-year return period water surface elevation produced by the US Army Corps of Engineers consistent with elevations used by the Reclamation Districts to evaluate levee geometry. The period of Project construction is potentially several years in the future, and maintenance and rehabilitation of levees in the Delta is an ongoing and continual process due to subsidence/settlement and increasing/changing water levels. An evaluation of current levee geometry using a water surface elevation that includes sea level rise for the purposes of identifying potential levee repair extents for the Delta Conveyance Project will not include proposed projects by local Reclamation Districts in case those projects were not completed prior to tunnel construction. Future refinement of levee repair extents would be coordinated closely with the Reclamation Districts and using the current and future predicted water surface conditions appropriate for that time period.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.26	6/24/2020 Anna Swens	Air quality should be a topic of discussion in the future. What will be done with all the water that comes out of these sites? Will the existing sloughs be used? Who owns the land at Twin Cities? Does DWR own it? If it's privately owned, what is the plan to obtain it?	Air quality will be discussed in the EIR and at future SEC meetings. Runoff and dewatering water from the intakes, tunnel shafts, and Southern Forebay Complex construction sites will be collected, treated, and reused on-site for dust control, ground improvement, and other construction activities. If the amount of runoff or dewatering flows exceed the on-site water demand, the treated flows will be stored on the construction site or discharged to surface water bodies in accordance with State Water Resources Control Board permits. Capacities of surface water bodies to accept these discharges will be confirmed prior to inclusion in the applications to the State Water Resources Control Board for discharge permits. DWR does not own the proposed Twin Cities Complex land, and acquisition plans will be developed in the future by DWR.		7/22/2020	For Future Discussion



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.27	6/24/2020	Dr. Mel Lytle	Joaquin to Old River or Middle River because of high sediment. In the future, there may be a supply of dredge materials.	expected to be performed to understand the conditions, but based on available information the shallow subsurface materials at Twin Cities Complex appear suitable for reuse based on the likely geotechnical criteria. The available testing of baseline and conditioned materials representing potential RTM were collected along an alignment more similar to the Central Corridor, but were within geologic formations that extend broadly within the region of the Central Valley and will likely also be encountered along the Eastern Corridor. More investigation and testing along both the Central and Eastern Corridors will be helpful to further validate the reuse plans.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.28	6/24/2020	Lindsey Liebig		development of the Environmental Impact Report, and will consider the concerns associated with dividing parcels.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.29	6/24/2020	Cecelia Giacoma	Suggestion for DWR's Tribal Consultant to remain engaged in the process.	DWR's Tribal Policy Advisor, Anecita Agustinez, is leading DWR's tribal consultation processes under both AB 52 and DWR's Tribal Engagement Policy. She will continue to be actively engaged throughout the project.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.30	6/24/2020	Peter Robertson	The maps are still missing some aids to navigation on the waterways. Boaters are going to come up on construction and a lot will look different to them. Even with electronic charting and mapping, it's different. Request for those aids to navigation to be properly plotted on the land maps by comparison on the water areas. Also, some coordination will be needed with the Coast Guard, with notice to mariners. They are very good about putting out notices when there are giong to be changes in the river, such as when bridges aren't running, ferries aren't running, etc. The proposed project will be going on for a long period of time and this information is needed.	This request was received and is in development. The DCA is overlaying Delta Conveyance Project construction sites on nautical navigation charts within the project area to serve as a resource for mariners. The DCA is aware of the need to coordinate with the US Coast Guard and the need to provide notice to mariners regarding any changes within waterways.	Karen Askeland	7/22/2020	Responded
9.31	6/24/2020	Jim Wallace	It appears that this will be the first time that tunnels will go under I-5 if the Twin Cities Glanville Shaft is moved to the east. Where is the tunnel going to cross under I-5? What is the height of the crane going to be at that location? Now Caltrans and federal highways will probably have to be included.	As proposed, the tunnel will cross I-5 north of Dierssen Rd. and then near the Twin Cities Road/I-5 intersection. A gantry crane would extend about 80 feet above the top of the tunnel shaft. If a track mountes crane were used it could extend up as much as about 150 feet, which would be somewhere around 130 feet above the top of the shaft. The Delta Conveyance Project would require coordination and permits with CalTrans and Federal Highway Adminstration near several locations along I-5. The Project also would require coordination and permits from CalTrans due to work along State Routes 160, 12, and 4. The DCA and DWR have already been in discussions with CalTrans.	Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	Responded
9.32	6/24/2020	Michael Moran	Will moving the Glanville Shaft over to Twin Cities depot extend the footprint or will it remain the same?	The total area for the proposed Twin Cities Complex would be less than the total area for Glanville Tract Tunnel Launch Shaft Site and the area located along Franklin Boulevard.	Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.33		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla	To expand on impacts to the Consumnes Preserve, the farmland around the Preserve is a place for feeding and roosting for Greater Sandhill Cranes. Concerned if this is getting bigger near the Preserve.	DWR will analyze the potential impacts associated with changes in available feeding and roosting areas as part of the Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.34	6/24/2020	Sean Wirth	Great idea moving to the other side of I-5 because for years there has been an effort trying to connect Stone Lakes crane population, with the cranes at the Preserve and points further south. Not having the shaft there would help to do that but the new position of the shaft is a problem.	DWR will analyze potential impacts to cranes at Stone Lakes and Cosumnes preserves as part of the Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.35	6/24/2020	Anna Swenson		DWR is planning to assess the potential for increased water surface elevations through modeling; based on preliminary information, any increase would be insubstantial. Therefore, the project does not currently include raising levees near the intakes on the Sacramento River. No construction or construction traffic would occur on the western side of the Sacramento River for the eastern or central corridors. DWR will assess the potential for noise or vibration impacts as part of development of the Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.36		Barbara Barrigan- Parilla		The traffic portion of the May SEC meeting included an appendix (starting on Slide 67) with slides showing the truck volumes by month to individual locations. The appendix slides were not discussed in the May SEC meeting due to time limitations, but did refer the SEC members to these slides.	Don Hubbard	7/22/2020	Responded
9.37	6/24/2020	Anna Swenson	are uniformed on the project and they need more	An update with some of the key effects to Hood can be put together, especially around the intakes. A webinar type format can be used. The DCA are planning to contact representatives of businesses and/or residents of Hood. The DCA would appreciate being provided with appropriate contacts for the Hood community.	Nazli Parvizi	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.38	6/24/2020 Peter Robertson	The current infrastructure of bridges and ferries are not running at 100%. There has been construction repair to some of the major arteries with one lane roads. The top concern in presentations to stakeholders bridges and ferries and how to go from point A to point B.	Any road, bridge, or ferry improvement project currently under way should be completed before work on the Delta Conveyance begins. The traffic presentation in the May SEC meeting described a number of possible roadway and bridge improvement projects that will be included in the alternatives sent forward for environmental review. If the selected alternative includes roadway improvements then these would be done in advance of major construction at the sites served by these roadways. Project traffic is not expected to use roads, bridges, or ferries that are partially closed for construction.	Don Hubbard	7/22/2020	Responded
9.39	6/24/2020 Melissa Tayaba	Update from tribes: had tribal engagement meeting yesterday with DWR. Delta tribes remain concerned about the destruction of cultural and natural resources. Tribes seem to be paying a higher price with the proposed project. Discussed having DWR report directly to the tribal group and DCA. That is a request that the tribal group is asking the DCA. Hoping for a meeting with just the tribes and the DCA. The reason for that is because the materials are hard to obtain and print. It is hard to understand engineering aspects and DCA would explain better. As tribal liaison, Ms. Tayaba will be hand delivering many of the materials.		Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.40	6/24/2020 Anna Swenson	How many more SEC meetings should members be expected to attend? Is there an end date?	Overall, DCA is planning for monthly meetings through June 2021. However, as the project continues, the meeting frequency could be reduced based upon the need for input and the development of new information by DCA.	Nazli Parvizi	7/22/2020	Responded
9.41	6/24/2020 Sean Wirth	Interested in the idea of converting the Twin Cities Complex to permanent wildlife-friendly agriculture (irrigated pasture for wildlife foraging) after the project is constructed.	DWR will consider this option during development of the Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded



D #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.42	6/24/2020	Sean Wirth	Are there ideas for funding to preserve land in agriculture in perpetuity and would this be discussed at a future SEC meeting?	Preserving agricultural land may be considered as a mitigation measure as part of DWR's efforts to develop an Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded
9.43	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Earthquake Analysis – I'd like to see anything available on Earthquake analysis being done.	The seismic analysis results will be discussed at future SEC meetings.	Phil Ryan	7/22/2020	For Future Discussion
9.44	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Drying Process – I hear discussion about the project will either use natural drying, but when that is not available it'll use mechanical dryers. It sounded like either/or. I suggest thinking about whether the drying process overall, even during the summer, maybe the mechanical drying makes sense to get the bulk water out and when the muck is dryer, it might be easier to handle for getting the last bits out naturally.	We agree with the suggestion and are developing footprint accomodations and evaluating plans for potential hybrid approaches to drying RTM.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.45	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Rainy Season and Drying – So during the winter, what does this drying process look like? So you use mechanical dryers but when you are done it gets soaked anyway? Do you cover it somehow? Support drainage off it?	Soil that has been mechanically dried will be stockpiled either at the drying location or at the reuse location. Rainfall could saturate the top several inches of the stockpiled RTM; however the entire stockpile would not become saturated. Drainage would be directed away from the stockpiles to prevent ponded water from unneccessarily saturating stockpiled soils.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.46	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Electric Dryers – I didn't chime in at the meeting due to time, but I agree that using electric dryers seems like a bad use of smart energy. For something like drying I would expect oil or gas to be used. Is there an issue here with environmental emissions and electric being cleaner?	The thermal mechanical dryers under consideration will be electrically heated. The electrical source would likely be from the existing electrical grid, which has a range of contributing power generation sources. On-site diesel or oil generators would result in increased air quality emissions. The proposed Twin Cities Complex and northen Southern Forebay locations are not located near natural gas utilities.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded
9.47	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Indirect Emissions for Electric Use – The GHG footprint of the project needs to consider the indirect sources of energy like the electric use. Much of that is likely low GHG content due to hydro power, but it should be factored in.	climate change in the Environmental Impact Report.	Carrie Buckman	7/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date	Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responde
9.48	6/24/2020	David Gloski	Air Emissions from Dryers – So I do wonder about Arsenic and other parts of the RTM being blown into the air as part of the drying process. Normally I would expect it to stay in the soil, but if we are blowing air through or over the soil to dry it, does this create unwanted emissions?	Immediately after removal of the RTM from the tunnel, the RTM will be extremely moist and will not generate dust. As the RTM dries, dust control measures will be implemented to meet regulatory requirements. Dust control measures will generally involve application of water. The water for the RTM areas will generally be applied by a sprinkler system to minimize the use of water trucks. The dust will be controlled on-site to minimize dust leaving the construction site.	
9.49	6/24/2020	Michael Moran	During Graham's first presentation, the referenced core sample locations coincided with neither the Eastern nor Central Corridor alternatives. What is the confidence level applying these samples to either alignment? Will new cores be taken along the chosen corridor or is the geology consistent enough that the exisiting cores provide necessary accuracy?	The available testing of baseline and conditioned materials representing potential RTM were collected along an alignment more similar to the Central Corridor, but were within geologic formations that extend broadly within the region of the Central Valley and will likely also be encountered along the Central and Eastern Corridors. More investigation and testing along both the Central and Eastern Corridors will be helpful to further validate the reuse plans.	Graham B

nder	Date Responded	Response Status
uchholz	7/22/2020	Responded
n Bradner	7/22/2020	Responded



ID #	Date Requester	Questions/Comments	Response	Responder	Date Responded	Response Status
9.50	6/24/2020 Michael Moran	provide some background information IN LAY TERMS? A	Many different types and brands of conditioners are used in tunneling based upon soil conditions present along the alignment. Conditioners are generally categorized as foams, polymers and bentonites. On recent projects, DCA consultants have observed the use of Soilax S products (available from the manufacturer Boraid Products) which are surfactants (i.e. detergents) and mixed with clean water as a foaming conditioner. Sometimes, a cellulose product, like Soilax C, is added into the conditioner mix to provide added strength to the soap bubbles, which helps when the conditioner is injected into certain soil formations. Thickening agents, such as polymers and a bentonite (a naturally occurring clay), are also used for different soil conditions. These include such products available from Mapei Products. These are just examples of some products that could be used, including products from CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF. Safety Data Sheets for CONDAT, NORMET, and BASF will be placed on the DCA website. The construction specifications would require any conditioners to be inert (chemically inactive). See https://dcdca.sharepoint.com/sites/DCAProgram/Working/SE/Outreach/F orms/AllItems.aspx?viewid=b67b83df%2D738a%2D464e%2D85ff%2Dc14a 0897a80b&id=%2Fsites%2FDCAProgram%2FWorking%2FSE%2FOutreach% 2F2020%20SEC%20Meetings%2F2020%2D06%2D24%2F00%2DQ%26A%20 Log%20Final If desired, a presentation could be provided for the SEC at a future meeting.	Graham Bradner	7/22/2020	For Future Discussion
9.51	6/24/2020 Michael Moran	Beyond managing/phasing the Twin Cities Road footprint in such a way to minimize impact on Sandhill cranes/other wildlife, consider creating or enhancing adjacent/nearby habitat to "redirect" wildlife.	DWR will analyze the potential impacts associated with changes in available feeding and roosting areas as part of the Environmental Impact Report.	Gwen Buchholz	7/22/2020	Responded
9.52	6/24/2020 Michael Moran	I realize we are early in the project and operational/contractual issues are not being addressed yet, but are there ongoing/long term mitigation/enhancement/improvement funding sources being considered for the life of the project? The model that comes to mind is a Land & Water Conservation Fund (LCWF) model for the Delta.	part of the Environmental Impact Report.	Gwen Buchholz	7/22/2020	Responded